UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

OR[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF

THE SECURITIES EXC	CHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period fi	rom to
Commission file	number 0-21554
DENMARK BAN (Exact name of registrant	
Wisconsin	39-1472124
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
103 East Main Street, Denm (Address of principal exe	
(920) 86 (Registrant's telephone num	
(Former name, former address and former	r fiscal year, if changed since last report)
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has file of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the pre registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has days. Yes [X] No []	eceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 more required to submit and post such files). Yes [X]No[]	ed and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	"large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell cover [] No [X]	ompany (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

As of August 4, 2011, there were 118,917 shares of the registrant's common stock (no par value) outstanding.

DENMARK BANCSHARES, INC. TABLE OF CONTENTS

Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2011

PART I	. Financial Information	Page No.
Item 1. I	Financial Statements	
Consolio	dated Statements of Financial Condition	3
Consolio	dated Statements of Income	4
Consolio	dated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity	5
Consolio	dated Statements of Cash Flows	6
Notes to	Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	19
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	25
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	25
PART I	I. Other Information	
Item 1A	. Risk Factors	25
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	25
Item 6.	Exhibits	26
Signatu	res	26

Item 1. Financial Statements

Denmark Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition

	June 30,	December 31,
	2011	2010
	(Unaudited)	
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$15,570,661	\$16,917,728
Federal funds sold	12,548,000	18,321,000
Investment securities available-for-sale, at fair value	68,546,513	63,049,646
Loans	293,854,612	299,355,004
Allowance for credit losses	(6,387,542)	(6,864,497)
Net loans	\$287,467,070	\$292,490,507
Loans held for sale	272,340	3,715,671
Premises and equipment, net	7,201,737	7,368,904
Other investments, at cost	4,642,936	4,608,899
Accrued interest receivable	1,246,501	1,204,984
Other assets	12,527,062	12,637,998
TOTAL ASSETS	\$410,022,820	\$420,315,337
Liabilities		
Deposits		
Noninterest-bearing	\$35,537,135	\$37,965,690
Interest-bearing	274,673,030	282,533,731
Total Deposits	\$310,210,165	\$320,499,421
Short-term borrowings	12,458,861	13,888,046
Accrued interest payable	377,801	404,467
Other liabilities	1,780,837	1,897,580
Long-term debt	30,149,999	29,699,999
Total Liabilities	\$354,977,663	\$366,389,513
Stockholders' Equity		
Common stock, no par value, authorized 640,000 shares; outstanding 118,917	\$18,173,975	\$18,173,975
Treasury stock shares, at cost (2,613 shares)	(2,125,865)	(2,125,865)
Paid in capital	469,986	469,986
Retained earnings	38,950,630	38,031,717
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(423,569)	(623,989)
Total Stockholders' Equity	\$55,045,157	\$53,925,824
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$410,022,820	\$420,315,337

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Denmark Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Income

(Unaudited)

	(Ondianica)			
	For the Three June 30, 2011	Months Ended June 30, 2010	For the Six M June 30, 2011	In June 30, 2010
Interest Income	2011	2010	2011	2010
	\$3,935,613	\$4.279.427	\$7,024,106	¢9 422 970
Loans including fees	\$3,933,013	\$4,278,427	\$7,924,196	\$8,422,870
Investment securities:	202 204	252.040	5.45.015	550.054
Taxable	302,294	253,840	547,217	559,854
Exempt from federal tax	251,676	379,941	575,871	781,031
Interest on federal funds sold	3,504	6,139	10,973	7,212
Other interest income	19,209	12,037	72,897	49,358
	\$4,512,296	\$4,930,384	\$9,131,154	\$9,820,325
Interest Expense				
Deposits	\$769,912	\$959,932	\$1,592,260	\$1,982,774
Short-term borrowings	27,894	45,920	57,351	89,845
Long-term debt	254,824	268,669	506,010	546,874
6	\$1,052,630	\$1,274,521	\$2,155,621	\$2,619,493
Net interest income	\$3,459,666	\$3,655,863	\$6,975,533	\$7,200,832
Provision for Credit Losses	150,000	330,000	300,000	730,000
Net interest income after	130,000	330,000	300,000	730,000
	Φ2 200 σσσ	Φ2 225 0 62	Φε επε ερο	Φ
provision for credit losses	\$3,309,666	\$3,325,863	\$6,675,533	\$6,470,832
Other Income				
Service fees and commissions	\$238,604	\$226,486	\$447,415	\$440,844
Loan sale gains	39,628	53,891	119,099	93,579
Investment security gains	31,953	8,889	31,953	68,156
Other	198,691	188,861	401,215	388,530
	\$508,876	\$478,127	\$999,682	\$991,109
Other-than-Temporary Impairment Losses, Net				
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses Amount in other comprehensive income, before	\$46,536	\$0	\$963,226	\$0
taxes	33,817	0	(858,399)	0
	\$80,353	\$0	\$104,827	\$0
Other Expense	 -			-
Salaries and employee benefits	\$1,497,646	\$1,598,849	\$3,012,800	\$3,234,984
Occupancy expenses	239,011	249,649	484,046	522,016
FDIC Insurance	81,000	166,073	246,000	302,024
Data processing expenses	194,752	175,942	392,954	351,605
Professional fees	87,743	82,390	185,332	176,454
Amortization of intangibles	48,098	48,098	96,196	96,196
(Gain) loss on sale of other real estate	11,371	30,695	17,891	(40,937)
Other real estate expenses Other operating expenses	74,615 252,276	84,378 245,744	154,195 449,068	209,188 447,144
Other operating expenses	\$2,486,512	\$2,681,818	\$5,038,482	\$5,298,674
Income before income taxes	\$1,251,677	\$1,122,172	\$2,531,906	\$2,163,267
Income tax expense	383,481	281,917	750,845	528,491
NET INCOME	\$868,196	\$840,255	\$1,781,061	\$1,634,776
Per Share	Ψ000,170	40 10,233	ψ1,701,001	ψ1,05 f,110
Net income	\$7.30	\$7.07	\$14.98	\$13.75
Dividends declared	\$7.25	\$7.25	\$7.25	\$7.25
Weighted average shares outstanding	118,917	118,917	118,917	118,917

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Denmark Bancshares, Inc. Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

(Unaudited)

	Common Stock				Accumulated	
					Other	
			Paid in	Retained	Comprehensive	
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Earnings	Income	Total
Balance, December 31, 2010	118,917	\$16,048,110	\$469,986	\$38,031,717	(\$623,989)	\$53,925,824
Comprehensive income						
Net income				1,781,061		1,781,061
Other comprehensive income, net of tax						
Change in unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale,						
net of reclassification adjustment (1)					200,420	200,420
Total comprehensive income						\$1,981,481
Cash dividends, \$7.25 per share				(862,148)		(862,148)
Balance, June 30, 2011	118,917	\$16,048,110	\$469,986	\$38,950,630	(\$423,569)	\$55,045,157
(1) Disclosure of reclassification amount:						
Unrealized gains arising during the period				\$304,975		
Plus: Tax expense on unrealized gains				(148,279)		
Plus: Reclassification adjustment for losses realized and inc	cluded in net in	icome		72,874		
Plus: Reclassification adjustment for tax benefit on realized	l losses			(29,150)		
Net change in unrealized losses on securities				\$200,420		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Denmark Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net income	\$1,781,061	\$1,634,776
Adjustments to reconcile net income to		
net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	202,047	245,571
Provision for credit losses	300,000	730,000
Amortization of intangibles	96,196	96,196
Gains on sales of loans	(119,099)	(93,579)
Loss (gains) on sale of other real estate	17,891	(40,937)
Gains on sale of securities	(31,953)	(68,156)
Loss on investment securities impairment writedowns	104,827	0
Amortization of bond premium	216,675	127,190
Accretion of bond discount	(87,181)	(151,814)
Mortgage loans originated for sale	(7,127,170)	(9,239,705)
Proceeds from sale of mortgage loans	10,570,599	8,375,647
Income from bank owned life insurance	(130,070)	(132,175)
(Increase) decrease in interest receivable	(41,517)	84,400
Decrease in interest payable	(26,666)	(91,969)
Other, net	58,705	1,527,341
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$5,784,345	\$3,002,786
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Maturities of held-to-maturity securities	\$0	\$4,210,000
Maturities and sales of available-for-sale securities	15,542,834	13,070,710
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(20,937,094)	(11,648,592)
Money market mutual funds, net	(34,037)	(1,892,598)
Federal funds sold, net	5,773,000	(10,500,000)
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets	652,908	664,154
Net decrease (increase) in loans made to customers	4,036,446	(5,199,376)
Capital expenditures	(34,880)	(192,876)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities	\$4,999,177	(\$11,488,578)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:	Ψ1,222,177	(ψ11, 100,370)
Net increase (decrease) in deposits	(\$10,289,256)	\$3,867,047
Dividends paid	(862,148)	(862,148)
Debt proceeds	1,795,815	8,489,978
Debt repayments	(2,775,000)	(10,879,999)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	(\$12,130,589)	\$614,878
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(\$1,347,067)	
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(\$7,870,914)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning	16,917,728	17,425,697
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, ENDING	\$15,570,661	\$9,554,783
Noncash Investing Activities:		*
Loans transferred to foreclosed properties	\$805,992	\$514,903
Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosures:		
Cash paid for interest	\$1,621,871	\$2,075,502
Cash paid for income taxes	471,980	160,439
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financi	al statements.	

NOTE 1 – FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The consolidated financial statements included herein are unaudited. In the opinion of management, these statements contain all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position of Denmark Bancshares, Inc. ("DBI"), its results of operation and cash flows for the periods presented. All adjustments necessary for the fair presentation of the financial statements are of a normal recurring nature. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes hereto included in DBI's latest annual report on Form 10-K. DBI's subsidiaries are Denmark State Bank ("DSB"), Denmark Agricultural Credit Corporation ("DACC"), and DBI Properties, Inc. ("Properties").

Reclassifications – Certain amounts in the prior period financial statements have been reclassified for comparative purposes to conform to the presentation in the current year.

NOTE 2 – INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The amortized cost and estimated fair market value of securities available-for-sale were as follows:

	June 30, 2011			
		Gross	Gross	Estimated
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
U.S. Government-sponsored agencies	\$1,476,550	\$24,750	(\$1,000)	\$1,500,300
U.S. Government-sponsored agency MBS	32,588,944	522,217	(10,340)	33,100,821
State and local governments	25,240,255	798,999	(292,989)	25,746,265
Residential mortgage-backed securities	10,006,465	60,705	(1,868,186)	8,198,984
	\$69,312,214	\$1,406,671	(\$2,172,515)	\$68,546,370
		December	r 31, 2010	
		Gross	Gross	Estimated
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
U.S. Government-sponsored agencies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
U.S. Government-sponsored agency MBS	20,854,514	251,779	(41,020)	21,065,273
State and local governments	30,524,272	876,975	(494,985)	30,906,262
Residential mortgage-backed securities	12,741,679	117,494	(1,781,062)	11,078,111
	\$64,120,465	\$1,246,248	(\$2,317,067)	\$63,049,646

Proceeds of \$6.6 million from calls, \$2.1 million from maturities, \$2.0 million from sales and \$4.8 million from normal paydowns were primarily used for the purchases of \$16.0 million of agency mortgage-backed securities, \$3.1 million taxable municipals, \$1.5 million of agencies and \$0.3 million of tax-exempt municipals to provide better liquidity and less credit risk. There were no purchases of non-agency mortgage-backed securities. The sales consisted of two non-agency mortgage-backed security. The sales were executed to improve credit quality and to utilize a portion of a capital loss carry forward at the Nevada investment subsidiary.

The amortized cost and estimated fair values of securities at June 30, 2011, by maturity were as follows:

	Securities Avai	Securities Available-for-Sale		
		Estimated		
	Amortized	Fair		
Amounts Maturing	Cost	Value		
Within one year	\$2,763,499	\$2,789,377		
From one through five years	40,554,082	40,418,325		
From five through ten years	12,981,729	13,124,676		
After ten years	13,012,904	12,214,135		
	\$69,312,214	\$68,546,513		

(Unaudited)

Mortgage-backed securities are allocated according to their expected prepayments rather than their contractual maturities. Certain state and local governments' securities are allocated according to their put date. Fair values of securities are estimated based on financial models or prices paid for similar securities. It is possible interest rates could change considerably resulting in a material change in the estimated fair value of the securities.

At June 30, 2011, thirteen debt securities have unrealized losses with aggregate depreciation of 16.0% from DSB's amortized cost basis. Information pertaining to securities with gross unrealized losses aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous loss position follows:

<u>June 30, 2011</u>	Less Than Twelve Months		Over Twelv	e Months
	Gross	Estimated	Gross	Estimated
	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair
Securities Available for Sale	Losses	Value	Losses	Value
U.S. Government-sponsored agencies	\$1,000	\$499,000	\$0	\$0
U.S. Government-sponsored agency MBS	10,340	1,687,798	0	0
State and local governments	27,869	1,269,265	265,120	1,755,953
Residential mortgage-backed securities	674,256	2,585,386	1,193,930	3,607,275
Total securities available for sale	\$713,465	\$6,041,449	\$1,459,050	\$5,363,228

<u>December 31, 2010</u>	Less Than Twelve Months		Over Twelve Months	
	Gross	Estimated	Gross	Estimated
	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair
Securities Available for Sale	Losses	Value	Losses	Value
U.S. Government-sponsored agency MBS	\$41,020	\$5,082,159	\$0	\$0
State and local governments	67,071	3,284,508	427,914	1,592,771
Residential mortgage-backed securities	628,597	2,796,779	1,152,465	4,110,985
Total securities available for sale	\$736,688	\$11,163,446	\$1,580,379	\$5,703,756

All securities with unrealized losses are assessed to determine if the impairment is other-than-temporary. Factors that are evaluated include the mortgage loan types supporting the securities, delinquency and foreclosure rates, credit support, weighted average loan-to-value, and year of origination, among others.

Currently, a quarterly analysis by a third party is performed on three residential mortgage-backed securities secured by non-traditional loan types in order to determine whether they are other-than-temporarily impaired ("OTTI"). The purpose of the third party evaluation is to determine if the present value of the expected cash flows is less than the amortized costs, thereby resulting in credit loss, in accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance under *FASB ASC Topic 320*. The third party determines an estimated fair value for each security based on discounted cash flow analyses. The estimates are based on the following key valuation assumptions – collateral cash flows, prepayment assumptions, default rates, loss severity, liquidation lag, bond waterfall and internal rate of return. Since there is currently no active secondary market for these types of securities due to the non-traditional loan types supporting the securities, these valuations are considered Level 3 inputs as defined in Note 5 – Fair Value Measurement. Additional securities may be analyzed in the future if deemed necessary to determine whether they are OTTI and if so, if any possible credit loss exists.

Two of the three securities supported by non-traditional loan types were found to have credit losses during an analysis as of March 31, 2009 since a portion of the unrealized losses is due to an expected cash flow shortfall. As such, these securities were determined to be OTTI. DBI does not intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that DBI will be required to sell the securities before the anticipated recovery of their remaining amortized cost bases, which may be maturity. The total credit loss that was recognized in earnings as of March 31, 2009 was \$0.3 million. The analysis on the third security did not reveal any credit loss nor was the security found to be OTTI. Based on the analyses performed in 2010, there was an additional \$0.1 million of credit loss recognized on one of the two OTTI securities. The analysis performed as of June 30, 2011 resulted in an additional \$80,353 of credit loss that was recorded through the income statement during the current period, for a total credit loss year-to-date of \$104,827 on this security. Unrealized losses on the three securities

(Unaudited)

analyzed by the third party were recognized through accumulated other comprehensive loss on the balance sheet as of June 30, 2011, net of tax, in the amount of \$1.1 million.

The unrealized losses on the remainder of the residential mortgage-backed securities are due to the distressed and illiquid markets for collateralized mortgage obligations. The securities are investments in senior tranches with adequate credit support from subordinate tranches, are supported by traditional mortgage loans that originated between 2002 and 2005, have low delinquency and foreclosure rates, and reasonable loan-to-value ratios. DBI does not consider these investments to be OTTI at June 30, 2011.

Changes in credit losses recognized for securities with OTTI were as follows:

	For the Six M	For the Year Ended December	
	June 30,		31,
	2011	2010	2010
Credit losses recognized in earnings, beginning of period	(\$432,488)	(\$312,716)	(\$312,716)
Credit losses for OTTI not previously recognized	(104,827)	0	(119,772)
Credit losses recognized in earnings, end of period	(\$537,315)	(\$312,716)	(\$432,488)

NOTE 3 – LOANS

Loans are reported at the principal amount outstanding, net of the allowance for credit losses. Interest on loans is calculated and accrued by using the simple interest method on the daily balance of the principal amount outstanding. Loan origination fees are credited to income when received and the related loan origination costs are expensed as incurred. Capitalization of the fees net of the related costs would not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

DBI's customer information system tracks the past due status of all loans beginning with the first day a payment is late. On a weekly basis, lenders are given a report with all loans past due one day or more to allow them to actively monitor the portfolio and attempt to keep past due levels to a minimum.

All loans are given an internal risk rating when the loan is originated. On a quarterly basis, risk rating reports are distributed to the lenders to ensure that loans are appropriately rated. On an annual basis, all commercial loans over \$100,000 and agricultural loans over \$200,000 are reviewed by the loan officer and/or credit analyst. All loans over \$1 million are independently reviewed annually by the Chief Credit Officer. An independent third party also performs periodic reviews of risk ratings to ensure that loans are accurately graded. The internal risk ratings are defined as:

- Non-classified loans are assigned a risk rating of 1-4 with a one-rated credit being the highest quality. Non-classified loans have credit quality that ranges from well above average quality to some inherent industry weaknesses that may present higher than average risk due to conditions affecting the borrower, the borrower's industry or economic environment.
- Special mention loans are assigned a risk rating of 5. Potential weaknesses exist that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, the potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of repayment prospects or in the bank's credit position at some future date.
- Substandard loans are assigned a rating of 6. These loans are inadequately protected by the current worth and borrowing capacity of the borrower. Well-defined weaknesses exist that may jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. There is a possibility of some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. At this point, the loan may still be performing and accruing.
- Doubtful loans are rated 7 and have all the weaknesses of a substandard credit plus the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full on the basis of current facts, conditions and values highly questionable and improbable. The possibility of loss is extremely high but because of certain important and reasonable specific pending factors, which may work to the advantage of strengthening the asset, its classification as an estimated loss is deferred until its more exact status can be determined.
- Loss loans are internally rated as an 8. A loss amount has been determined and this has been charged-off against the allowance for loan losses. All or a portion of the charge-off may be recovered in the future and any such recoveries would also be recorded through the allowance.

(Unaudited)

DBI's policy is to place into nonaccrual status all loans that are contractually past due 90 days or more, along with other loans as to which reasonable doubt exists to the full and timely collection of principal and/or interest based on management's view of the financial condition of the borrower. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual, all interest previously accrued but not collected is reversed against current period interest income. Income on such loans is then recognized only to the extent that cash is received and where the future collection of principal is probable. Interest accruals are resumed on such loans only when they are brought current with respect to interest and principal and when, in the judgment of management, the loans are estimated to be fully collectible as to both principal and interest.

Loan charge-offs for all loans will occur as soon as there is a reasonable probability of loss. When the amount of the loss can be readily calculated, the charge-off will be recorded as soon as practical within the calendar quarter the loss was identified. Loans that are partially charged-off will be placed in nonaccrual status unless the remaining loan is restructured with adequate collateral and payments are assured and current.

A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that not all amounts due will be collected according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Interest income is recognized in the same manner described above for nonaccrual loans. Further detail on the analysis of impaired loans can be found below in the discussion of the Allowance for Loan Losses.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is an estimate of the losses that estimated to have been incurred in the loan portfolio. The allowance is based on two basic accounting principles: (1) FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 310-10 "Receivables – Overall," (formerly FAS 114) which requires that losses be accrued when it is probable that DBI will not collect all principal and interest payments according to the loan's contractual terms, and, (2) FASB ASC Topic 450, "Contingencies," (formerly FAS 5) which requires that losses be accrued when they are probable of occurring and estimable. The FFIEC "Interagency Policy Statement on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses" provides additional guidance on the allowance methodology.

On a quarterly basis, management utilizes a systematic methodology to determine an appropriate allowance for loan losses. This methodology includes a loan grading system that requires quarterly reviews; identification of loans to be evaluated on an individual basis for impairment; results of independent reviews of asset quality and the adequacy of the allowance by regulatory agencies; consideration of current trends and volumes of nonperforming, past-due, nonaccrual and potential problem loans; as well as national and local economic trends and industry conditions.

In applying the methodology, all troubled debt restructurings, regardless of size, are considered impaired and will be individually evaluated. All nonaccrual and watchlist commercial real estate, construction and land development, agricultural real estate, multifamily residential real estate, commercial, and agricultural production loans over \$50,000 are evaluated individually to determine if they are impaired. Nonaccrual residential real estate or consumer loans that are larger than customary for DBI will also be considered impaired and evaluated individually as there would be no pool of similar loans to evaluate these loans under *ASC Topic 450*. Impaired loans are measured at the estimated fair value of the collateral. If the estimated fair value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, an impairment is recognized by creating a valuation allowance in conjunction with *ASC Topic 310-10*.

Loans that are not impaired are segmented into groups by type of loan. The following loan types are utilized so each segment of loans will have similar risk factors: (1) residential real estate, (2) agricultural real estate, (3) commercial real estate, (4) construction and land development, (5) commercial, (6) agricultural, (7) consumer, (8) guaranteed loans and (9) other. These loans are further segmented by internal risk ratings of non-classified, special mention, substandard and doubtful, which are defined above.

Risk factor percentages are applied to the risk rating segments of the non-impaired loans to calculate an allowance allocation in conjunction with ASC Topic 450. The risk factor percentages are based on historical loan loss experience for each loan type and are adjusted for current economic conditions and trends as well as internal loan quality trends. The historical loan loss percentages are applied to the non-classified portion of the portfolio to determine the required allocation to the allowance. The historical loan loss percentages are then multiplied by a factor based on current economic conditions to calculate the allocation for each of the remaining risk rating categories of the non-impaired loans. The current economic conditions take into account items such as vacancy rates for rental properties; property values based on actual sales transactions; income projections based on current prices such as dairy commodities; and other available economic data.

(Unaudited)

The above steps result in calculations that estimate the credit losses inherent in the portfolio at that time. The calculations are used to confirm the adequacy and appropriateness of the actual balance of the allowance, recognizing that the allowance represents an aggregation of judgments and estimates by management. Such calculations will influence the amount of future provisions for loan losses charged to expense.

The calculation is submitted to DSB's Board of Directors quarterly along with a recommendation for the amount of the monthly provision to the allowance. If the mix and amount of future charge-offs differ significantly from those assumptions used by management in making its determination, the allowance and provision expense could be materially affected.

Major categories of loans included in the loan portfolio are as follows:

	June 30,	December 31,
	2011	2010
Real Estate:	_	
Residential	\$74,371,943	\$77,983,729
Commercial	61,907,992	58,304,345
Agricultural	71,864,115	71,782,458
Construction	11,985,029	12,792,496
	220,129,079	220,863,028
Commercial	38,622,955	42,427,251
Agricultural	24,858,698	24,725,859
Consumer and other	10,243,880	11,338,866
TOTAL	\$293,854,612	\$299,355,004

(Unaudited)

The following tables show the investment in impaired loans and the corresponding allowance for those loans along with the reduction in interest income associated with impaired loans:

Impaired Loans As of June 30, 2011 and 2010

\$(000)s	Recorded	Unpaid Principal	Related	Average Recorded	Interest Income
2011	Investment	Balance	Allowance	Investment	Recognized
With no related allowance:					
Residential Real Estate	\$428	\$567	\$0	\$573	\$5
Commercial Real Estate	1,426	1,831	0	1,862	11
Construction & Land Dev	2,339	2,339	0	2,344	52
Agricultural Real Estate	246	246	0	246	2
Commercial	686	944	0	950	6
Agricultural	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer	43	43	0	44	1
With a related allowance:					
Residential Real Estate	\$1,182	\$1,272	\$299	\$1,282	\$7
Commercial Real Estate	3,528	4,090	698	4,122	8
Construction & Land Dev	1,961	1,961	89	1,962	45
Agricultural Real Estate	0	0	0	0	0
Commercial	40	42	17	42	0
Agricultural	116	116	101	116	0
Consumer	146	147	133	149	0
Total:					
Residential Real Estate	\$1,610	\$1,839	\$299	\$1,855	\$12
Commercial Real Estate	4,954	5,921	698	5,984	19
Construction & Land Dev	4,300	4,300	89	4,306	97
Agricultural Real Estate	246	246	0	246	2
Commercial	726	986	17	992	6
Agricultural	116	116	101	116	0
Consumer	189	190	133	193	1
Total	\$12,141	\$13,598	\$1,337	\$13,692	\$137

\$(000)s	D 11	Unpaid	D.I. d.	Average	Interest
2010	Recorded	Principal	Related	Recorded	Income
	Investment	Balance	Allowance	Investment	Recognized
With no related allowance:	Φ0.5.6	0051	Φ.Ο.	Φ 77 2	Φ.4
Residential Real Estate	\$856	\$951	\$0	\$773	\$4
Commercial Real Estate	2,765	4,067	0	2,342	36
Construction & Land Dev	2,001	2,001	0	667	27
Agricultural Real Estate	0	0	0	0	0
Commercial	321	434	0	296	1
Agricultural	130	130	0	109	(1)
Consumer	20	20	0	16	1
W'd					
With a related allowance:	Φ 7.2 0	Φ 7 20	Φ2.52	Φ < 4.1	#10
Residential Real Estate	\$738	\$738	\$253	\$641	\$18
Commercial Real Estate	2,024	2,447	405	2,257	28
Construction & Land Dev	438	438	204	394	10
Agricultural Real Estate	0	0	0	0	0
Commercial	253	299	157	233	3
Agricultural	0	0	0	0	0
Consumer	0	0	0	0	0
Total:					
Residential Real Estate	\$1,594	\$1,689	\$253	\$1,414	\$22
Commercial Real Estate	4,789	6,514	405	4,599	64
Construction & Land Dev	2,439	2,439	204	1,061	37
Agricultural Real Estate	0	2,139	0	0	0
Commercial	574	733	157	529	4
Agricultural	130	130	0	109	(1)
Consumer	20	20	0	16	1
Total	\$9,546	\$11,525	\$1,019	\$7,728	\$127

Recorded Investment in Financing Receivables June 30,

	2011			D 1	D 21 2010		
		2011		2010		December 31, 2010	
						Ending	
		Ending Balance		Ending Balance		Balance	
		Individually		Individually		Individually	
\$(000)s	Ending	Evaluated	Ending	Evaluated	Ending	Evaluated	
	Balance	for Impairment	Balance	for Impairment	Balance	for Impairment	
Residential Real Estate	\$74,372	\$1,610	\$83,081	\$1,594	\$77,984	\$1,785	
Commercial Real Estate	61,908	4,954	64,957	4,789	58,304	5,768	
Construction & Land Dev	11,985	4,300	13,997	2,438	12,793	4,326	
Agricultural Real Estate	71,864	246	61,552	0	71,782	0	
Commercial	38,623	726	30,423	574	42,427	285	
Agricultural	24,859	116	35,802	131	24,726	116	
Consumer	10,244	189	11,167	20	11,339	150	
Unallocated	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	\$293,855	\$12,141	\$300,979	\$9,546	\$299,355	\$12,430	

Allowance for Loan Losses For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Ending

\$(000)s

	Beginning Balance				Ending Balance	Balance Individually Evaluated for
2011	1/1/2011	Charge-offs	Recoveries	Provision	6/30/2011	Impairment
Residential Real Estate	\$1,429	(\$32)	\$19	\$68	\$1,484	\$299
Commercial Real Estate	2,849	(431)	3	272	2,693	698
Construction & Land Dev	880	(139)	25	47	813	89
Agricultural Real Estate	204	0	0	1	205	0
Commercial	278	(252)	29	187	242	17
Agricultural	347	0	5	(10)	342	101
Consumer	160	(7)	3	(2)	154	133
Unallocated	718	0	0	(263)	455	0
Total	\$6,865	(\$861)	\$84	\$300	\$6,388	\$1,337
\$(000)s	Beginning Balance				Ending Balance	Ending Balance Individually Evaluated for
\$(000)s 2010	Balance 1/1/2011	Charge-offs	Recoveries	Provision	Balance 6/30/2011	Balance Individually Evaluated for Impairment
	Balance	Charge-offs (\$107)	Recoveries \$6	Provision (\$285)	Balance	Balance Individually Evaluated for
2010	Balance 1/1/2011				Balance 6/30/2011	Balance Individually Evaluated for Impairment
2010 Residential Real Estate	Balance 1/1/2011 \$1,748	(\$107)	\$6	(\$285)	Balance 6/30/2011 \$1,362 1,880 1,578	Balance Individually Evaluated for Impairment \$253
2010 Residential Real Estate Commercial Real Estate	Balance 1/1/2011 \$1,748 2,221 1,319 67	(\$107) (339) 0 0	\$6 8 0 14	(\$285) (10)	Balance 6/30/2011 \$1,362 1,880	Balance Individually Evaluated for Impairment \$253 405
2010 Residential Real Estate Commercial Real Estate Construction & Land Dev Agricultural Real Estate Commercial	Balance 1/1/2011 \$1,748 2,221 1,319 67 310	(\$107) (339) 0	\$6 8 0	(\$285) (10) 259 89 122	Balance 6/30/2011 \$1,362 1,880 1,578 170 441	Balance Individually Evaluated for Impairment \$253 405 204
2010 Residential Real Estate Commercial Real Estate Construction & Land Dev Agricultural Real Estate	Balance 1/1/2011 \$1,748 2,221 1,319 67 310 150	(\$107) (339) 0 0 (13) 0	\$6 8 0 14 22 4	(\$285) (10) 259 89 122 153	Balance 6/30/2011 \$1,362 1,880 1,578 170 441 307	Balance Individually Evaluated for Impairment \$253 405 204 0
2010 Residential Real Estate Commercial Real Estate Construction & Land Dev Agricultural Real Estate Commercial Agricultural Consumer	Balance 1/1/2011 \$1,748 2,221 1,319 67 310 150 59	(\$107) (339) 0 0 (13)	\$6 8 0 14 22 4 10	(\$285) (10) 259 89 122 153 (14)	Balance 6/30/2011 \$1,362 1,880 1,578 170 441 307 18	Balance Individually Evaluated for Impairment \$253 405 204 0 157
2010 Residential Real Estate Commercial Real Estate Construction & Land Dev Agricultural Real Estate Commercial Agricultural	Balance 1/1/2011 \$1,748 2,221 1,319 67 310 150	(\$107) (339) 0 0 (13) 0	\$6 8 0 14 22 4	(\$285) (10) 259 89 122 153	Balance 6/30/2011 \$1,362 1,880 1,578 170 441 307	Balance Individually Evaluated for Impairment \$253 405 204 0 157 0

Nonaccrual loans totaled \$7.2 million and \$8.6 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. There were no loans past due ninety days or more and still accruing. A schedule of loans by the number of days past due (including nonaccrual loans) along with a schedule of credit quality indicators follows:

Age Analysis of Past Due Financing Receivables

\$(000)s	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days & Over	Total Past Due	Current	Total Financing Receivables
June 30, 2011					
Residential Real Estate	\$545	\$176	\$721	\$73,651	\$74,372
Commercial Real Estate	218	3,942	4,160	47,828	61,908
Construction & Land Dev	0	250	250	11,735	11,985
Agricultural Real Estate	0	246	246	71,618	71,864
Commercial	296	33	329	48,214	38,623
Agricultural	2	115	117	24,742	24,859
Consumer	28	53	81	10,163	10,244
Total	\$1,089	\$4,815	\$5,904	\$287,951	\$293,855

	30-89 Days	90 Days	Total		Total Financing
\$(000)s	Past Due	& Over	Past Due	Current	Receivables
<u>December 31, 2010</u>					
Residential Real Estate	\$376	\$396	\$772	\$77,212	\$77,984
Commercial Real Estate	0	4,220	4,220	54,084	58,304
Construction & Land Dev	0	402	402	12,391	12,793
Agricultural Real Estate	0	0	0	71,782	71,782
Commercial	4	61	65	42,362	42,427
Agricultural	0	116	116	24,610	24,726
Consumer	74_	30_	104	11,235	11,339
Total	\$454	\$5,225	\$5,679	\$293,676	\$299,355

Credit Quality Indicators

\$ (0	0	0).

	Non-	Special			
<u>June 30, 2011</u>	Classified	Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
Residential Real Estate	\$58,945	\$7,528	\$6,962	\$937	\$74,372
Commercial Real Estate	47,746	5,450	4,442	4,270	61,908
Construction & Land Dev	4,378	2,386	4,971	250	11,985
Agricultural Real Estate	63,455	8,163	246	0	71,864
Commercial	34,611	2,751	1,083	178	38,623
Agricultural	20,735	4,002	6	116	24,859
Consumer	9,800	189	102	153	10,244
Total	\$239,670	\$30,469	\$17,812	\$5,904	\$293,855

\$(000)s

December 31, 2010	Non- Classified	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
Residential Real Estate	\$62,133	\$7,782	\$6,903	\$1,166	\$77,984
Commercial Real Estate	42,362	7,249	3,163	5,530	58,304
Construction & Land Dev	4,311	3,289	4,791	402	12,793
Agricultural Real Estate	66,103	5,630	49	0	71,782
Commercial	38,383	2,953	870	221	42,427
Agricultural	22,283	2,320	7	116	24,726
Consumer	10,929	113	142	155	11,339
Total	\$246,504	\$29,336	\$15,925	\$7,590	\$299,355

NOTE 4 – NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In April 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2011-02 A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring ("TDR"). This update to Topic 310, "Receivables," provides guidance for evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a TDR. The ASU indicates that the creditor's evaluation must separately conclude that both the following exist: (a) the restructuring constitutes a concession; and (b) the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The amendments also further clarify the guidance on a creditor's evaluation of whether a concession has been granted and whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The amendments in this update are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning after June 15, 2011. Adoption of these amendments did not have a significant impact on DBI's financial statements.

(Unaudited)

In April 2011, FASB issued ASU No. 2011-03 Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements. This update to Topic 860, "Transfers and Servicing," modifies the criteria for determining when repurchase agreements would be accounted for as a secured borrowing rather than a sale. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-03 remove from the assessment of effective control the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee and the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. This update does not change the other existing criteria used in the assessment of effective control. ASU No. 2011-03 is effective prospectively for transactions, or modifications of existing transactions, that occur on or after January 1, 2012. These amendments will not have an impact on DBI's financial statements.

In May 2011, FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04 Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. These amendments to Topic 820, "Fair Value Measurement," result in common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). The changes to U.S. GAAP as a result of ASU No. 2011-04 are as follows; (a) the concepts of highest and best use and valuation premise are only relevant when measuring the fair value of nonfinancial assets; (b) U.S. GAAP currently prohibits application of a blockage factor in valuing financial instruments with quoted prices in active markets. ASU No. 2011-04 extends that prohibition to all fair value measurements; (c) an exception is provided to the basic fair value measurement principles for an entity that holds a group of financial assets and financial liabilities with offsetting positions in market risks or counterparty credit risk that are managed on the basis of the entity's net exposure to either of those risks. This exception allows the entity, if certain criteria are met, to measure the fair value of the net asset or liability position in a manner consistent with how market participants would price the net risk position; (d) aligns the fair value measurement of instruments classified within an entity's shareholders' equity with the guidance for liabilities; and (e) disclosure requirements have been enhanced for recurring Level 3 fair value measurements to disclose quantitative information about unobservable inputs and assumptions used, to describe the valuation processes used by the entity, and to describe the sensitivity of fair value measurements to changes in unobservable inputs and interrelationships between those inputs. In addition, entities must report the level in the fair value hierarchy of items that are not measured at fair value in the statement of condition but whose fair value must be disclosed. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-04 are effective for interim reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-04 is not expected to have a material impact on DBI's financial statements.

In June 2011, FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*. This update to *Topic 220*, "Comprehensive Income," allow an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. The statement(s) are required to be presented with equal prominence as the other primary financial statements. ASU No. 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareholders' equity but does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive must be reclassified to net income. ASU No. 2011-05 is effective for interim reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011, with retrospective application required. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-05 is expected to result in presentation changes to DBI's income statement and the addition of a statement of other comprehensive income. The adoption will have no impact on DBI's statement of financial condition.

NOTE 5 - FAIR VALUE MEASURMENT

Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in a transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Some assets and liabilities are measured on a recurring basis while others are measured on a non-recurring basis, as required by U.S. GAAP, which also establishes a fair value hierarchy that requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. The three levels of inputs defined in the standard that may be used to measure fair value are as follows:

• Level 1: Quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

(Unaudited)

- Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, and other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.
- Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that are supported by little, if any, market activity. These unobservable inputs reflect estimates that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liability.

DBI used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate fair value:

Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Federal Funds Sold: For cash, cash equivalents and federal funds sold, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Investment Securities: Investment securities available-for-sale ("AFS") are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. The fair value measurement of most of DBI's AFS securities is currently determined by an independent provider using Level 2 inputs (except as noted below). The measurement is based upon quoted prices for similar assets, if available. If quoted prices are not available, fair values are measured using matrix pricing models, or other model-based valuation techniques requiring observable inputs other than quoted prices such as yield curves, prepayment speed and default rates. Two of DBI's AFS mortgage-backed securities ("MBS") that are secured by non-traditional mortgage loans and one AFS MBS secured by traditional mortgage loans that was downgraded during 2009 were analyzed by a third party in order to determine an estimated fair value. The estimated fair values were based on discounted cash flow analyses and are considered Level 3 inputs.

Refer to Note 2 – Investment Securities above for additional detail on the assumptions used in determining the estimated fair values and additional disclosures regarding DBI's investment securities. For other securities held as investments, fair value equals quoted market price, if available. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices for similar securities.

Loans Receivable: The fair value of loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

Loans Held for Sale: Mortgage loans held for sale are recorded at the lower of cost or market value. The fair value is based on a market commitment for the sale of the loan in the secondary market. These loans are typically sold within one week of funding. DBI classifies mortgage loans held for sale as nonrecurring Level 2 assets.

Impaired Loans: As defined below in the *Glossary of Loan Terms* section, a loan is considered impaired when, based on current information or events, it is probable that not all amounts due will be collected according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impairment is measured based on the fair value of the underlying collateral. The collateral value is determined based on appraisals and other market valuations for similar assets. Under *FASB ASC Topic 820*, "*Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*," the fair value of impaired loans is reported before selling costs of the related collateral, while *FASB ASC Topic 310*, "*Receivables*," requires that impaired loans be reported on the balance sheet net of estimated selling costs. Therefore, significant estimated selling costs would result in the reported fair value of impaired loans being greater than the measurement value of impaired loans as maintained on the balance sheet. In most instances, selling costs were estimated for real estate-secured collateral and included broker commissions, legal and title transfer fees and closing costs. Impaired loans are classified as nonrecurring Level 2 assets.

Other Investments: For other investments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Other Real Estate Owned: Real estate that DBI has taken control of in partial or full satisfaction of debt is valued at the lower of book value or fair value. The fair value is determined by analyzing the collateral value of the real estate using appraisals and other market valuations for similar assets less any estimated selling costs. The value carried on the balance sheet for other real estate owned is estimated fair value of the properties. Other real estate owned is classified as nonrecurring Level 2 assets.

Bank Owned Life Insurance: The carrying amount of bank owned life insurance approximates fair value.

Deposit Liabilities: The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts and certain money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Borrowings: Rates currently available to DSB for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate fair value of existing debt.

Commitments to Extend Credit, Standby Letters of Credit and Financial Guarantees Written: The fair value of commitments is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. The fair value of guarantees and letters of credit is not material.

Assets Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis, are summarized in the table below:

	Fair	Fair Value Measurements Using			
Description	Level 1	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>	Fair Value	
U.S. Government-sponsored agencies	\$0	\$1,500,300	\$0	\$1,500,300	
U.S. Government-sponsored agency MBS	0	33,100,821	0	33,100,821	
State and local governments	0	25,746,265	0	25,746,265	
Residential mortgage-backed securities	0	3,520,581	4,678,546	8,199,127	
Total securities available for sale	\$0	\$63,867,967	\$4,678,546	\$68,546,513	

	December 31, 2010				
	Fai	Fair Value Measurements Using			
<u>Description</u>	Level 1	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>	Fair Value	
U.S. Government-sponsored agencies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
U.S. Government-sponsored agency MBS	0	21,065,273	0	21,065,273	
State and local governments	0	30,906,262	0	30,906,262	
Residential mortgage-backed securities	0	5,812,427	5,265,684	11,078,111	
Total securities available for sale	\$0	\$57,783,962	\$5,265,684	\$63,049,646	

The table below presents a reconciliation and income statement classification of gains and losses for all assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable (Level 3) for the quarter ended June 30, 2011.

T ' 37 1 34	TT : C: 'C' /	TT 1 11 T .	(T 1.2)
Fair Value Measurements	Using Significant	Unobservable Inputs	(Level 3)

	Available- for-Sale Securities
Beginning balance, January 1, 2011	\$5,265,684
Total realized and unrealized gains/(losses):	
Included in earnings	(104,827)
Included in other comprehensive income	(76,711)
Purchases, issuances, sales and settlements	
Purchases	0
Issuances	0
Sales	0
Settlements	(405,600)
Transfers into Level 3	0
Transfers out of Level 3	0
Ending balance, June 30, 2011	\$4,678,546

Assets Recorded at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, are summarized in the following table:

T	20	-201	-1
June	311	7111	- 1
June	20.	201	

	Fair			
<u>Description</u>	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Fair Value
Loans held for sale	\$0	\$272,340	\$0	\$272,340
Other real estate owned	0	999,134	0	999,134
Impaired loans	0	10,995,693	0	10,995,693
Total Assets	\$0	\$12,267,167	\$0	\$12,267,167

December 31, 2010

	Fair V			
<u>Description</u>	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Fair Value
Loans held for sale	\$0	\$3,715,671	\$0	\$3,715,671
Other real estate owned	0	863,941	0	863,941
Impaired loans	0	11,342,589	0	11,342,589
Total Assets	\$0	\$15,922,201	\$0	\$15,922,201

The table below summarizes fair value of financial assets and liabilities at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

June 30	, 2011	December	31, 2010
Carrying Fair Amount Value		Carrying Amount	Fair Value
\$28,119	\$28,119	\$35,239	\$35,239
68,547	68,547	63,050	63,050
287,467	288,115	292,491	294,838
272	272	3,716	3,716
6,948	6,948	6,818	6,818
4,642	4,642	4,609	4,609
\$395,995	\$396,643	\$405,923	\$408,270
\$310,210	\$308,280	\$320,499	\$321,441
42,609	45,194	43,588	44,605
\$352,819	\$353,474	\$364,087	\$366,046
	\$28,119 68,547 287,467 272 6,948 4,642 \$395,995 \$310,210 42,609	\$28,119 \$28,119 68,547 68,547 287,467 288,115 272 272 6,948 6,948 4,642 4,642 \$395,995 \$396,643 \$310,210 \$308,280 42,609 45,194	Carrying Amount Fair Value Carrying Amount \$28,119 \$28,119 \$35,239 68,547 68,547 63,050 287,467 288,115 292,491 272 272 3,716 6,948 6,948 6,818 4,642 4,642 4,609 \$395,995 \$396,643 \$405,923 \$310,210 \$308,280 \$320,499 42,609 45,194 43,588

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

2nd Otr 1st Otr 4th Otr 3rd Otr 2nd Otr

(In thousands, except per share data)	2011	2011	2010	2010	2010 2010
(c,,,,					
Operating Results					
Interest income	\$4,512	\$4,619	\$4,773	\$4,902	\$4,930
Interest expense	1,052	1,103	1,136	1,230	1,275
Net interest income	3,460	3,516	3,637	3,672	3,655
Provision for credit losses	150	150	200	310	330
Noninterest income	509	491	561	532	478
Noninterest expense	2,487	2,576	2,759	2,651	2,682
Net income	868	913	901	906	840
Per Share Data					
Net income per share	\$7.30	\$7.68	\$7.58	\$7.61	\$7.07
Book value per share	\$462.89	\$460.25	\$453.47	\$452.11	\$441.43
Financial Condition (1)					
Total Loans	\$293,855	\$299,539	\$299,355	\$300,666	\$300,979
Allowance for credit losses	6,388	6,717	6,864	6,578	6,524
Investment securities	68,547	63,934	63,050	64,548	62,572
Assets	410,023	412,803	420,315	399,509	411,704
Deposits	310,210	313,393	320,499	301,723	310,345
Other borrowed funds	42,609	43,363	43,588	42,475	46,431
Stockholders' equity	55,045	54,732	53,926	53,763	52,493
Financial Ratios					
Return on average equity	6.41%	6.86%	6.78%	6.95%	6.50%
Return on average assets	0.85%	0.89%	0.90%	0.90%	0.82%
Interest rate spread	3.39%	3.46%	3.70%	3.70%	3.62%
Average equity to average assets	13.22%	12.94%	13.25%	12.94%	12.68%
Allowance for credit losses					
to total loans (1)	2.17%	2.24%	2.29%	2.19%	2.17%
Non-performing loans to allowance for					
credit losses (1)	112%	117%	126%	137%	130%

⁽¹⁾ As of the period ending.

Financial Highlights

This report may contain certain forward-looking statements, including without limitation, statements regarding results of operations, the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses, the amounts of charge-offs and recoveries, capital to asset ratios, capacity for paying dividends and liquidity. These statements speak of DBI's plans, goals, beliefs or expectations, and refer to estimates or use of similar terms. Forward-looking statements can generally be identified because they contain words and phrases such as "may," "project," "are confident," "should," "predict," "believe," "plan," "expect," "estimate," "anticipate," and similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are inherently uncertain and actual results may differ from DBI's expectations. The factors that, among others, could impact current and future performance include but are not limited to: (i) adverse changes in asset quality and resulting credit risk-related losses and expenses; (ii) adverse changes in the local economy; (iii) fluctuations in market rates and prices which can negatively affect net interest margin, asset valuations and expense expectations; (iv) changes in regulatory requirements of federal and state agencies applicable to banks and bank holding companies; and (v) the factors set forth in Item 1A of DBI's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (the "2010 Annual Report"), which item is incorporated herein by reference, as well as other risks identified in this Report. When reviewing forward-looking statements to make decisions with respect to DBI, investors and others are cautioned to consider these and other risks and uncertainties. All forward-looking statements contained in this report are based upon information presently available and DBI assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act

On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank Act") into law. This legislation makes extensive changes to the laws regulating financial services firms and requires significant rulemaking. In addition, the legislation mandates multiple studies, which could result in additional legislative or regulatory action. While the full effects of the legislation on DBI and DSB cannot yet be determined, this legislation is generally perceived as negatively impacting the banking industry. This legislation may result in higher compliance and other costs, reduced revenues and higher capital and liquidity requirements, among other things, which could adversely affect DBI's and DSB's business.

Critical Accounting Policies

The accounting and reporting policies of DBI are in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and conform to general practices in the banking industry. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with these principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. These estimates and assumptions are based on information available at the date of the financial statements. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions, and judgments and as such have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. Management believes that DBI's critical accounting policies are those relating to the allowance for loan and lease losses, the valuation of investment securities and intangible assets.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The allowance for loan and lease losses ("ALLL") is an estimate of the losses that may be sustained in the loan and lease portfolio. Please refer to the Allowance for Loan Losses section of Note 3 – Loans above for detail on the allowance methodology. Management believes the ALLL is appropriate as of June 30, 2011.

Valuation of Investment Securities

Investment securities are classified as available-for-sale and are valued at their fair market value. Please refer to Note 2 – Investment Securities and Note 5 – Fair Value Measurement for additional details on the valuation of investment securities.

Intangible Assets

DBI has a core deposit intangible asset that was originated in connection with DSB's expansion through acquisition of an established branch operation in 1997. The acquisition did not meet the definition of a business combination in accordance with ASC 805 – "Accounting for Business Combinations Occurring in Periods Beginning before December 15, 2008." As such, DBI continues to amortize the intangible asset related to the acquisition over a period of fifteen years. Annually DBI reviews the intangible assets for impairment and records an impairment charge, if any, to earnings.

Glossary of Loan Terms

Impaired Loan – A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that not all amounts due will be collected according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured at the estimated fair value of the collateral. If the estimated fair value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, an impairment is recognized by creating a valuation allowance.

Nonaccrual Loan – DSB's policy is to place in nonaccrual status all loans which are contractually past due 90 days or more as to any payment of principal or interest and all other loans as to which reasonable doubt exists as to the full, timely collection of interest or principal based on management's view of the financial condition of the borrower. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual, all interest previously accrued but not collected is reversed against current period interest income. Income on such loans is then recognized only to the extent that cash is received and where the collection of principal is probable. Interest accruals are resumed on such loans only when they are brought fully current with respect to interest and principal and when, in the judgment of management, the loans are estimated to be fully collectible as to both principal and interest.

Non-Performing Assets – Non-performing assets include nonaccrual loans as defined above and real and personal properties acquired in satisfaction of debts previously owed.

Past Due Accruing Loans – A loan on which all or part of a scheduled payment is delinquent by more than 30 days but less than 90 days past due, except loans that are considered nonaccrual.

Potential Problem Loans – Potential problem loans are accruing loans in which there exists doubt as to the ability of the borrower to comply with present loan repayment terms. Management's decision to place loans in this category does not necessarily mean that DBI expects losses to occur on these loans, but that management recognizes that a higher degree of risk is associated with these accruing loans and they deserve closer scrutiny.

Restructured Loans – Restructured loans involve the granting of some concession to the borrower involving the modification of terms of the loan, such as changes in the payment schedule, the amortization term, the interest rate, or a combination of these.

Risk Rating – Risk rating, which is also sometimes referred to as loan grade, is the credit quality grade assigned to each loan. Loans are assigned a risk rating upon origination. Lenders and credit review analysts conduct periodic reviews and evaluations and make adjustments to the assigned grades when appropriate. The range of categories from the best quality to the worst is as follows: highest quality, solid quality, some weakness, inherent industry weakness, special mention, substandard, doubtful and loss. Impaired loans are generally rated a substandard or lower risk rating.

Special Mention Loans – Loans classified "special mention" are one step above substandard loans as described below. These loans contain some weaknesses, which if not corrected or improved upon could lead to further deterioration and a lower rating.

Substandard – A "substandard" loan is a loan that is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the borrower or the value of the collateral. Loans classified "substandard" have well-defined weaknesses that jeopardize prospects for liquidation and are characterized by the distinct possibility that some loss will be sustained if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Results of Operations

Net income for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, was \$0.9 million, a moderate increase of \$27,941 or 3.3% from \$0.8 million for the corresponding period in 2010. This improvement was primarily the result of \$0.2 million reduction in the provision for loan losses partially offset by increases of \$0.1 million in credit losses recognized on an OTTI security and \$0.1 million in income tax expense.

Year-to-date net income for 2011 is \$1.8 million compared to \$1.6 million for the same period in 2010. This is an increase of \$0.2 million or 9.0% and is primarily attributable to a decline of \$0.4 million in the provision for loan losses and a reduction in salaries and employee benefits of \$0.2 million during the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period of 2010. These improvements were partially offset by credit losses of \$0.1 million on an OTTI security and a \$0.2 million increase in income tax expense.

Net interest income for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 was \$3.5 million, compared to \$3.7 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, a decline of \$0.2 million or 5.4%. Net interest income for the first six months of 2011 was \$7.0 million, a \$0.2 million or 3.1% decline from \$7.2 million recognized during the same period in 2010. The following tables set forth a summary of the changes in interest earned and interest paid resulting from changes in volume and changes in rates for both the current quarter and year-to-date:

	2011			2010		
	Increase (Decrease)			Inc	rease (Decreas	se)
	D	ue to Change I	n	D	ue to Change I	n
(In thousands)	Average	Average	Total	Average	Average	Total
	Balance	Rate	Change	Balance	Rate	Change
Interest income:			·			
Loans	(\$72)	(\$271)	(\$343)	\$4	(\$215)	(\$211)
Taxable securities	74	(25)	49	21	(189)	(168)
Nontaxable securities	(106)	(22)	(128)	(78)	1	(77)
Federal funds sold	0	(3)	(3)	0	6	6
Other investments	39	(32)	7	(3)	10	7
Total interest income	(\$65)	(\$353)	(\$418)	(\$56)	(\$387)	(\$443)
Interest expense:			-			
NOW accounts	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1	(\$6)	(\$5)
Savings accounts	2	2	4	0	(2)	(2)
Money market accounts	16	(46)	(30)	58	(48)	10
Certificates and other time deposits	(51)	(113)	(164)	(82)	(250)	(332)
Other borrowed funds	(26)	(6)	(32)	(31)	(32)	(63)
Total interest expense	(\$59)	(\$163)	(\$222)	(\$54)	(\$338)	(\$392)
Net interest income	(\$6)	(\$190)	(\$196)	(\$2)	(\$49)	(\$51)

Six Months Ended June 30,

	-	2011			2010	
	Inc	Increase (Decrease)			crease (Decrea	se)
		ue to Change I		Due to Change In		
(In thousands)	Average	Average	Total	Average	Average	Total
	Balance	Rate	Change	Balance	Rate	Change
Interest income:						
Loans	(\$58)	(\$440)	(\$498)	(\$4)	(\$632)	(\$636)
Taxable securities	84	(97)	(13)	2	(368)	(366)
Nontaxable securities	(179)	(26)	(205)	(149)	1	(148)
Federal funds sold	9	(5)	4	10	(5)	5
Other investments	52	(29)	23	(6)	36	30
Total interest income	(\$92)	(\$597)	(\$689)	(\$147)	(\$968)	(\$1,115)
Interest expense:						
NOW accounts	\$2	(\$1)	\$1	\$3	(\$14)	(\$11)
Savings accounts	4	8	12	0	(4)	(4)
Money market accounts	48	(75)	(27)	91	(147)	(56)
Certificates and other time deposits	(118)	(258)	(376)	(134)	(520)	(654)
Other borrowed funds	(59)	(15)	(74)	(57)	(65)	(122)
Total interest expense	(\$123)	(\$341)	(\$464)	(\$97)	(\$750)	(\$847)
Net interest income	\$31	(\$256)	(\$225)	(\$50)	(\$218)	(\$268)

The decline in net interest income for the quarter-ended June 30, 2011 was primarily due to a decline in the yield on loans and securities along with an \$8.2 million decline in the average balance of tax-exempt municipal securities. The net interest rate spread declined 23 basis points during this period from 3.62% for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 to 3.39% for the most recent quarter. DBI's yield on earning assets declined 49 basis points from 5.20% for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 to 4.71% as of the most recent quarter-end. The yields on taxable securities, loans and tax-exempt securities declined 41 basis

points, 37 basis points and 21 basis points, respectively when comparing the current quarter with the same period of 2010. This reduction in the yield on earning assets was partially offset by a decline in the cost of funds of 26 basis points from 1.58% for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 to 1.32% during the second quarter of 2011. This reduction in the cost of funds was primarily impacted by a 39 basis point reduction in the rate on certificates of deposits.

For the second quarter of 2011, DBI's provision for credit losses was \$0.2 million compared to \$0.3 million during the same period of 2010. Net charge-offs of \$0.5 million were recognized in the second quarter of 2011 compared to net charge-offs of \$0.4 million during the corresponding period in 2010.

Noninterest income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 increased \$30,749 compared to the corresponding period in 2010, an increase of 6.4%. Gains on the sale of investment securities were \$31,953 for the second quarter of 2011, which were \$23,064 higher than the gains recorded during the same period in 2010. Service fees and commissions increased \$12,118 or 5.4% to \$238,604 during the second quarter of 2011 due to an increase in commissions from securities brokerage sales. These improvements were partially offset by a decline in loan sales gains of \$14,263 during the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010.

Noninterest expense decreased by \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010. The decrease is primarily attributable to a decline in salary expense of \$0.1 million and a reduction in FDIC insurance expense of \$0.1 million during current period. The reduction in salaries is primarily due to staffing levels, which are lower than last year by three full-time-equivalents. The lower FDIC insurance premiums are due to a new premium calculation that went into effect as of April 1, 2011.

Additional OTTI credit loss was recognized during the most recent quarter on one of the two securities previously determined to be other-than-temporarily-impaired. The credit loss recognized through the income statement was \$80,353 during the three months ended June 30, 2011, while there was no credit loss recognized during the second quarter of 2010.

For the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, DBI recorded combined federal and state income tax expense of \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. These provisions reflect effective income tax rates of 31% in 2011 and 25% in 2010. DBI's combined statutory tax rate is 39%. The lower effective income tax rates are primarily due to certain federally tax exempt interest earned on state and local government investment securities. The effective tax rates for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were 30% and 24%, respectively.

Financial Condition

Total assets decreased by \$10.3 million between December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2011. Cash, cash equivalents and fed funds sold decreased by \$7.1 million since December 31, 2010, while loans declined \$5.5 million and loans held for sale fell by \$3.4 million during the same period. An increase in investment securities of \$5.5 million during the first six months of 2011 partially offset these declines.

The following table sets forth major types of loans, excluding loans held for sale, by primary collateral and the percentage of total loans for each type:

	June 30, 2	June 30, 2011		, 2010
(In thousands)	Amount	%	Amount	%
Real Estate:				
Residential	\$74,372	25.3%	\$77,984	26.0%
Commercial	61,908	21.1%	58,304	19.5%
Agricultural	71,864	24.4%	71,782	24.0%
Construction	11,985	4.1%	12,793	4.3%
	\$220,129	74.9%	\$220,863	73.8%
Commercial	38,623	13.1%	42,427	14.2%
Agricultural	24,859	8.5%	24,726	8.2%
Consumer and other	10,244	3.5%	11,339	3.8%
TOTAL	\$293,855	100.0%	\$299,355	100.0%

During the second quarter of 2011, management made the decision to purchase government guaranteed loans given the lack of quality loan growth potential currently available within our lending market. As of June 30, 2011 there were approximately \$4.5 million of commercial real estate government guaranteed loans recorded. The average maturity of the loans purchased is approximately 10 years. The premiums on the loans are being amortized over a three year period. Management will continue to evaluate our loan portfolio and make additional purchases as deemed appropriate. At this point, management is prepared to invest between \$10 million and \$20 million in government guaranteed loan purchases.

The allowance for loan losses decreased approximately \$0.5 million during the first six months of 2011. Provisions of \$0.3 million were added to the allowance in the first half of 2011 and were offset by net charge-offs of \$0.8 million during the period. The allowance equals 2.17% of total loans as of June 30, 2011 compared to 2.29% at December 31, 2010. Nonaccrual loans totaled \$7.2 million at June 30, 2011, a decrease of approximately \$1.5 million compared to December 31, 2010. The following table sets forth nonaccrual loans by major category:

	June 30	December 31,
\$(000)s	2011	2010
Secured By Real Estate:		
Residential	\$1,615	\$2,042
Agricultural	246	0
Commercial	4,532	5,673
Construction	250	402
Subtotal Real Estate Loans	6,643	8,117
Secured by commercial assets	184	227
Secured by agricultural assets	116	116
Secured by other assets	227	173
TOTAL	\$7,170	\$8,633

DBI's ratio of loans more than 30 days past due (including nonaccrual loans) to total loans was 2.74% at June 30, 2011, compared to 3.04% at year-end 2010. As of June 30, 2011, management had identified \$46.7 million of potential problem loans compared to \$44.1 million at year-end 2010. Loan quality continues to be a concern and improving the portfolio is the primary focus for management. DBI has no accruing loans that are past due 90 days or more.

The following table sets forth certain data concerning nonaccrual loans, past due accruing loans, restructured loans and other real estate (property acquired through foreclosure or in satisfaction of loans):

	June 30, 2011		December :	31, 2010
		% of Total		% of Total
(In thousands)	Amount	Loans	Amount	Loans
Nonaccrual Loans (1)	\$7,170	2.4%	\$8,633	2.9%
Restructured Accruing Loans	5,552	1.9%	4,642	1.5%
Accruing Loans Past Due				
90 Days or More	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	\$12,722	4.3%	\$13,275	4.4%
Other Real Estate	\$999		\$864	

⁽¹⁾ Includes restructured loans of \$2.2 million and \$1.3 million as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Total deposits declined \$10.3 million at June 30, 2011 compared to balances at December 31, 2010. Management attributes this decline to a seasonal fluctuation as deposit balances tend to increase at year-end and then decline in the following months. Noninterest-bearing deposits decreased by \$2.4 million, or 6.4%, during the first six months of 2011. Interest-bearing deposits fell \$7.9 million between December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2011. NOW accounts declined \$11.3 million, or 37.5%, and certificates of deposits fell \$6.1 million, or 5.2%, during the first six months of 2011, while money market

accounts increased \$8.4 million, or 7.4%, during this period. Given the current interest rate environment, there has been a shift away from certificates of deposits into money market accounts as customers are reluctant to lock into a rate at this time. DBI's money market rates are comparable to its rates for short-term certificates of deposits.

Interest-bearing deposits consisted of the following:

(In thousands)	6/30/2011	12/31/2010
NOW accounts	\$18,785	\$30,034
Savings accounts	20,858	19,676
Money market accounts	121,822	113,472
Certificates of deposit	113,208	119,352
TOTAL	\$274,673	\$282,534

Short-term borrowings decreased \$1.4 million or 10.3% as of June 30, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010 while long-term borrowings increased \$0.5 million during the same period.

Capital Resources

Stockholders' equity increased by \$1.1 million to \$55.0 million as of June 30, 2011 from December 31, 2010 due primarily to earnings for the period of \$1.8 million and a decrease in other comprehensive loss of \$0.2 million that were partially offset by the dividend payable of \$0.9 million. As of June 30, 2011 DBI's leverage ratio was 13.5%, its risk-based core capital ratio was 18.0% and its risk-based total capital ratio was 19.25%. DBI and DSB continue to maintain capital levels well above regulatory minimum levels established for "well-capitalized" institutions. As of June 30, 2011, DSB's leverage ratio was 10.6% and its risk-based total capital ratio was 15.9%. DBI believes its and DSB's capital positions as of June 30, 2011 are adequate under current economic conditions.

Liquidity

Liquidity refers to the ability of DBI to generate adequate amounts of cash to meet its needs. DBI maintains liquid assets and established lines of credit to meet its liquidity needs. DBI's Board of Directors annually approves a Consolidated Contingent Liquidity Plan, which reviews the sources and uses of liquidity for DBI, DSB and DACC. Cash, cash equivalents and federal funds sold decreased \$7.1 million or 20.2% to \$28.1 million during the first six months of 2011 primarily due to the reduction in deposits of \$10.3 million. The major sources and uses of cash are detailed in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

In addition to on-balance sheet sources of funds, DBI also has off-balance sheet sources available to meet liquidity needs. Specifically, DBI has unused lines of credit of \$49.4 million as of June 30, 2011. This includes a \$20.0 million line of credit with the Federal Reserve Bank that was established in 1999. DSB has not borrowed on this line. DBI also has \$70.1 million of eligible loans and securities that could be pledged to increase its available credit. Management believes DBI's and DSB's liquidity positions as of June 30, 2011 are adequate under current economic conditions.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

DBI and its subsidiaries are parties to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of their customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and stand-by letters of credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the statement of financial condition. The contract or notional amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement DBI and its subsidiaries have in particular classes of financial instruments.

The exposure of DBI and its subsidiaries to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual or notional amount of these instruments. DBI and its subsidiaries use the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as for on-balance sheet instruments. DBI and its subsidiaries require collateral or other security to support financial instruments with credit risk. The following table sets forth DBI's commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit:

	Contract or	
	Notional Amount	Secured
(In thousands)	June 30, 2011	Portion
Financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk:		
Commitments to extend credit	\$46,569	\$41,754
Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees written	1,826	1,826

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. DBI and its subsidiaries evaluate each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment and income-producing commercial properties.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by DSB to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support commercial business transactions. When a customer fails to perform according to the terms of the agreement, DSB honors drafts drawn by the third party in amounts up to the contract amount. A majority of the letters of credit expire within one year. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment and income-producing commercial properties and residential properties. All letters of credit are fully collateralized.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

DBI's primary market risk position has not materially changed from that disclosed in DBI's 2010 Annual Report.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, DBI's management, under the supervision and with the participation of DBI's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated DBI's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Report. Based on that evaluation, DBI's principal executive officer and principal financial officer believe that DBI's disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of the end of the period covered by this Report.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness of future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

There were no significant changes in DBI's internal controls over financial reporting or in other factors that have significantly affected those controls during the fiscal quarter covered by this Report, including any correction actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Part II. Other Information

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this Report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Item 1A – Risk Factors of DBI's 2010 Annual Report, which could materially affect DBI's business, financial condition or future results. There have been no material changes in risk factors as described in such Report.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, DBI did not sell any equity securities which were not registered under the Securities Act of 1033, as amended, or repurchase any of its equity securities.

The Federal Reserve Board ("the Board") has adopted regulations that deal with the measure of capitalization for bank holding companies. The Board has also issued a policy statement on the payment of cash dividends by bank holding companies, wherein the Board has stated that a bank holding company experiencing earnings weaknesses should not pay cash dividends exceeding its net income or which could only be funded in ways that weaken the bank holding company's financial health, such as by borrowing.

The ability of DBI to pay dividends on its common stock is largely dependent upon the ability of DSB to pay dividends on its stock held by DBI. DSB's ability to pay dividends is restricted by both state and federal laws and regulations. DSB is subject to policies and regulations issued by the FDIC and the Division of Banking of the Wisconsin Department of Financial Institutions ("the Division"), which, in part, establish minimum acceptable capital requirements for banks, thereby limiting the ability of such banks to pay dividends. In addition, Wisconsin law provides that state chartered banks may declare and pay dividends out of undivided profits but only after provision has been made for all expenses, losses, required reserves, taxes and interest accrued or due from the bank. Payments of dividends in some circumstances may require the written consent of the Division.

Item 6. Exhibits

- (a) Exhibits:
 - 31.1 Certification by the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 31.2 Certification by the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 32.1 Certification of Periodic Financial Report by the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

DENMARK BANCSHARES, INC.

Date: August 4, 2011 /s/ John P. Olsen John P. Olsen

President and CEO

Date: August 4, 2011 /s/ Dennis J. Heim Dennis J. Heim

Vice President, CFO and Treasurer

DENMARK BANCSHARES, INC. EXHIBIT 31.1 CERTIFICATIONS

- I, John P. Olsen, CEO and President of Denmark Bancshares, Inc., certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Denmark Bancshares, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
- a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:
- (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

	/s/ John P. Olsen
Date: August 4, 2011	John P. Olsen,

CEO and President

DENMARK BANCSHARES, INC. EXHIBIT 31.2 CERTIFICATIONS

- I, Dennis J. Heim, Vice President, CFO and Treasurer of Denmark Bancshares, Inc., certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Denmark Bancshares, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
- a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:
- (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 4, 2011

/s/ Dennis J. Heim

Dennis J. Heim

Vice President, CFO and Treasurer

DENMARK BANCSHARES, INC.

EXHIBIT 32.1

CERTIFICATIONS

Written Statement of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

Solely for the purposes of complying with 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we, the undersigned Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Denmark Bancshares, Inc. ("DBI"), hereby certify, based on our knowledge, that the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of DBI for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act and that information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of DBI.

/s/ John P. Olsen

John P. Olsen, President and CEO

/s/ Dennis J. Heim

Dennis J. Heim Vice President, CFO and Treasurer

Date: August 4, 2011