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## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## THE JONES FINANCIAL COMPANIES, L.L.L.P. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION ASSETS

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Unaudited) } \\ \text { March } 25 \text {, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 117,517 | \$ 87,584 |
| Cash and investments segregated under federal regulations | 3,685,737 | 3,614,163 |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell | 1,153,333 | 955,209 |
| Receivable from: |  |  |
| Customers | 2,282,979 | 2,318,306 |
| Brokers, dealers and clearing organizations | 270,595 | 239,520 |
| Mutual funds, insurance companies and other | 178,788 | 142,480 |
| Securities owned, at fair value: |  |  |
| Inventory securities | 116,355 | 81,175 |
| Investment securities | 91,308 | 93,411 |
| Equipment, property and improvements, at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization | 607,043 | 615,036 |
| Other assets | 74,810 | 94,266 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$8,578,465 | \$8,241,150 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 1. Financial Statements, continued

## THE JONES FINANCIAL COMPANIES, L.L.L.P. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION LIABILITIES

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Unaudited) } \\ \text { March } 25 \text {, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ \quad 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Payable to: |  |  |
| Customers | \$5,786,094 | \$5,606,760 |
| Brokers, dealers and clearing organizations | 101,917 | 59,233 |
| Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value | 8,141 | 6,704 |
| Accrued compensation and employee benefits | 432,483 | 506,221 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 161,729 | 187,162 |
| Long-term debt | 63,971 | 66,397 |
|  | 6,554,335 | 6,432,477 |
| Liabilities subordinated to claims of general creditors | 203,700 | 203,700 |
| Commitments and contingencies (See Notes 8 and 9) |  |  |
| Partnership capital subject to mandatory redemption, net of reserve for anticipated withdrawals | 1,726,797 | 1,496,725 |
| Reserve for anticipated withdrawals | 93,633 | 108,248 |
| Total partnership capital subject to mandatory redemption | 1,820,430 | 1,604,973 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | \$8,578,465 | $\underline{\underline{\$ 8,241,150}}$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements, continued

## THE JONES FINANCIAL COMPANIES, L.L.L.P. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME <br> (Unaudited)

| (Dollars in thousands, except per unit information) | Three Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 25, \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 26, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Revenue: |  |  |
| Trade revenue |  |  |
| Commissions | \$ 422,430 | 372,850 |
| Principal transactions | 79,408 | 78,512 |
| Investment banking | 42,238 | 44,565 |
| Total trade revenue | 544,076 | 495,927 |
| Fee revenue |  |  |
| Asset fees | 418,441 | 311,307 |
| Account and activity fees | 125,103 | 122,396 |
| Total fee revenue | 543,544 | 433,703 |
| Interest and dividends | 30,610 | 28,319 |
| Other revenue | 5,663 | 5,496 |
| Total revenue | 1,123,893 | 963,445 |
| Interest expense | 17,623 | 14,645 |
| Net revenue | 1,106,270 | 948,800 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |
| Compensation and benefits | 712,649 | 600,305 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 89,271 | 85,759 |
| Communications and data processing | 71,930 | 71,990 |
| Payroll and other taxes | 48,580 | 42,705 |
| Advertising | 15,797 | 21,107 |
| Postage and shipping | 11,463 | 12,883 |
| Clearance fees | 3,408 | 2,781 |
| Other operating expenses | 35,533 | 34,727 |
| Total operating expenses | 988,631 | 872,257 |
| Income before allocations to partners | 117,639 | 76,543 |
| Allocations to partners: |  |  |
| Limited partners | 17,212 | 8,622 |
| Subordinated limited partners | 12,359 | 8,045 |
| General partners | 88,068 | 59,876 |
| Net Income | \$ | \$ - |
| Income before allocations to partners per weighted average $\$ 1,000$ equivalent limited partnership unit outstanding | \$ 25.55 | \$ 18.72 |
| Weighted average \$ 1,000 equivalent limited partnership units outstanding | 673,659 | 460,577 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 1. Financial Statements, continued
THE JONES FINANCIAL COMPANIES, L.L.L.P. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN PARTNERSHIP CAPITAL SUBJECT TO MANDATORY REDEMPTION THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 25, 2011 AND MARCH 26, 2010
(Unaudited)

| (Dollars in thousands) | Limited Partnership Capital $\qquad$ | Subordinated Limited Partnership Capital | General Partnership Capital | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL PARTNERSHIP CAPITAL |  |  |  |  |
| SUBJECT TO MANDATORY |  |  |  |  |
| REDEMPTION, DECEMBER 31, 2009 | \$475,737 | \$ 198,913 | \$792,162 | \$1,466,812 |
| Reserve for anticipated withdrawals | $(12,736)$ | $(2,972)$ | $(14,576)$ | $(30,284)$ |
| Partnership capital subject to mandatory redemption, net of reserve for anticipated withdrawals, December 31, 2009 | \$463,001 | \$ 195,941 | \$777,586 | \$1,436,528 |
| Issuance of partnership interests | - | 23,365 | - | 23,365 |
| Redemption of partnership interests | $(3,158)$ | $(9,458)$ | $(2,219)$ | $(14,835)$ |
| Income allocated to partners | 8,622 | 8,045 | 59,876 | 76,543 |
| Withdrawals and distributions | (144) | (339) | $(2,348)$ | $(2,831)$ |
| TOTAL PARTNERSHIP CAPITAL |  |  |  |  |
| SUBJECT TO MANDATORY |  |  |  |  |
| REDEMPTION, MARCH 26, 2010 | \$468,321 | \$ 217,554 | \$832,895 | \$1,518,770 |
| Reserve for anticipated withdrawals | $(8,478)$ | $(7,706)$ | $(41,003)$ | $(57,187)$ |
| Partnership capital subject to mandatory redemption, net of reserve for anticipated withdrawals, March 26, 2010 | \$459,843 | \$ 209,848 | \$791,892 | \$1,461,583 |
| TOTAL PARTNERSHIP CAPITAL |  |  |  |  |
| SUBJECT TO MANDATORY |  |  |  |  |
| REDEMPTION, DECEMBER 31, 2010 | \$479,554 | \$ 237,415 | \$888,004 | \$1,604,973 |
| Reserve for anticipated withdrawals | $(28,205)$ | $(15,447)$ | $(64,596)$ | $(108,248)$ |
| Partnership capital subject to mandatory redemption, net of reserve for anticipated withdrawals, December 31, 2010 | \$451,349 | \$ 221,968 | \$823,408 | \$1,496,725 |
| Issuance of partnership interests | 223,560 | 26,334 | 12,967 | 262,861 |
| Issuance of partnership interests through partnership loans | - | - | 89,440 | 89,440 |
| Redemption of partnership interests | $(2,044)$ | (936) | $(51,160)$ | $(54,140)$ |
| Income allocated to partners | 17,212 | 12,359 | 88,068 | 117,639 |
| Withdrawals and distributions | (15) | (494) | $(3,241)$ | (3,750) |
| Total partnership capital, including capital financed with partnership loans | 690,062 | 259,231 | 959,482 | 1,908,775 |
| Partnership loans outstanding | - | - | $(88,345)$ | $(88,345)$ |
| TOTAL PARTNERSHIP CAPITAL |  |  |  |  |
| SUBJECT TO MANDATORY |  |  |  |  |
| REDEMPTION, MARCH 25, 2011 | \$690,062 | \$ 259,231 | \$871,137 | \$1,820,430 |
| Reserve for anticipated withdrawals | $(17,197)$ | $(11,865)$ | (64,571) | $(93,633)$ |
| Partnership capital subject to mandatory redemption, net of reserve for anticipated withdrawals, March 25, 2011 | \$672,865 | \$ 247,366 | \$806,566 | \$1,726,797 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements, continued

## THE JONES FINANCIAL COMPANIES, L.L.L.P. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

| (Dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 25, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 26, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | \$ | - |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Income before allocations to partners | 117,639 |  | 76,543 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 23,042 |  | 24,063 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: |  |  |  |
| Cash and investments segregated under federal regulations | $(71,574)$ |  | $(21,847)$ |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell | $(198,124)$ |  | $(122,757)$ |
| Net payable to customers | 214,661 |  | 73,696 |
| Net receivable from brokers, dealers and clearing organizations | 11,609 |  | 51,982 |
| Receivable from mutual funds, insurance companies and other | $(36,308)$ |  | $(3,830)$ |
| Securities owned, net | $(31,640)$ |  | $(78,744)$ |
| Other assets | 19,456 |  | 980 |
| Accrued compensation and employee benefits | $(73,738)$ |  | $(53,397)$ |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | $(25,530)$ |  | $(3,055)$ |
| Net cash used in operating activities | $(50,507)$ |  | $(56,366)$ |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |
| Purchase of equipment, property and improvements, net | $(14,952)$ |  | $(18,972)$ |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (14,952) |  | $(18,972)$ |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |
| Issuance of long-term debt | - |  | 1,983 |
| Repayment of long-term debt | $(2,426)$ |  | $(1,048)$ |
| Issuance of partnership interests | 262,861 |  | 23,365 |
| Redemption of partnership interests | $(54,140)$ |  | $(14,835)$ |
| Withdrawals and distributions from partnership capital | $(111,998)$ |  | $(33,115)$ |
| Repayment of general partnership loans | 1,095 |  | - |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 95,392 |  | $(23,650)$ |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 29,933 |  | $(98,988)$ |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS: |  |  |  |
| Beginning of period | 87,584 |  | 227,544 |
| End of period | \$ 117,517 |  | 128,556 |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 13,978 |  | 9,968 |
| Cash paid for taxes | \$ 669 | \$ | 695 |
| NON-CASH ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |
| Additions of equipment, property and improvements in accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ 2,250 | \$ | 3,286 |
| Issuance of general partnership interests through partnership loans | \$ 89,440 | \$ | - |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 1. Financial Statements, continued

# THE JONES FINANCIAL COMPANIES, L.L.L.P. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS 

(Unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands, except per unit information)

## NOTE 1 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The Partnership's Business and Basis of Accounting. The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of The Jones Financial Companies, L.L.L.P. and all wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, the "Partnership"). All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Non-controlling minority interests are accounted for under the equity method. The results of the Partnership's subsidiary in Canada are included in the Partnership's Consolidated Financial Statements for the three months ended February 28, 2011 and 2010 because of the timing of the Partnership's financial reporting process.

The Partnership's principal operating subsidiary, Edward D. Jones \& Co., L.P. ("Edward Jones"), is comprised of two registered broker-dealers primarily serving individual investors in the United States of America ("U.S.") and Canada. Edward Jones primarily derives its revenues from the retail brokerage business through the sale of listed and unlisted securities and insurance products, investment banking, principal transactions, as a distributor of mutual fund shares and through revenues related to assets held by and account services provided to its customers. Edward Jones conducts business in the U.S. and Canada with its customers, various brokers, dealers, clearing organizations, depositories and banks. For financial information related to the Partnership's two operating segments for the three months ended March 25, 2011 and March 26, 2010, see Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Trust services are offered to Edward Jones' U.S. customers through Edward Jones Trust Company ("EJTC"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Partnership.

The Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. ("GAAP") which require the use of certain estimates by management in determining the Partnership's assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Under the terms of the Eighteenth Amended and Restated Partnership Agreement (the "Partnership Agreement"), a partner's capital will be redeemed by the Partnership in the event of the partner's death, resignation or termination. In the event of a partner's death, the Partnership must generally redeem the partner's capital within six months. Limited partners withdrawing from the Partnership due to termination or resignation are repaid their capital in three equal annual installments beginning the month after their resignation or termination. The capital of general partners resigning or terminating from the Partnership is usually converted to subordinated limited partnership capital. Subordinated limited partners are repaid their capital in six equal annual installments beginning the month after their request for withdrawal of contributed capital. The Partnership's Managing Partner has discretion to waive these withdrawal restrictions. All current and future partnership capital is subordinate to all current and future liabilities of the Partnership.

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements, continued
The interim financial information included herein is unaudited. However, in the opinion of management, such information includes all adjustments, consisting primarily of normal recurring accruals, which are necessary for a fair presentation of the results of interim operations. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

The results of operations for the three months ended March 25, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the year ended December 31, 2011. These Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Revenue Recognition. The Partnership records customer transactions on a settlement date basis and the related commissions, principal transactions and investment banking revenues are recorded on a trade date basis. All other forms of revenue are recorded on an accrual basis. The Partnership classifies its revenue into the following categories:

Commissions revenue consists of charges to customers for the purchase or sale of listed and unlisted securities, insurance products and mutual fund shares.

Principal transactions revenue is the result of the Partnership's participation in market-making activities in over-the-counter corporate securities, municipal obligations (including general obligations and revenue bonds), U.S. government obligations, unit investment trusts, mortgage-backed securities and certificates of deposit. In addition, the Partnership has determined that certain unit investment trusts revenue is more appropriately reflected as investment banking revenue and therefore has reclassified the related prior year revenue balances to investment banking revenue, from principal transactions revenue, which better aligns with the nature of the revenue and common industry practice.

Investment banking revenue is derived from the Partnership's underwriting of corporate securities and municipal obligations and distribution of U.S. government obligations and unit investment trusts on behalf of issuers.

Asset-based fee revenue consists of service fees and other revenues received under agreements with mutual fund and insurance companies based on the underlying value of the Partnership's customers' assets invested in those companies' products. The Partnership also receives asset-based fee revenue from its advisory programs, which primarily include Advisory Solutions and Managed Account Program products. These programs provide investment advisory services to its customers for a fee based upon their asset values in the program. Asset-based fee revenue related to the Partnership's interest in the advisor to the Edward Jones Money Market Funds (Passport Research Ltd.) is also included in asset-based fee revenue.

Account and activity fee revenue includes fees received from mutual fund companies for sub-transfer agent accounting services performed by the Partnership and self-directed IRA custodian account fees. It also includes other activity-based fee revenue from customers, mutual fund companies and insurance companies.

Interest and dividend revenue is earned on margin account balances, cash and cash equivalents, cash and investments segregated under federal regulations, securities purchased under agreements to resell, interest on partnership loans for general partnership interests, inventory securities and investment securities.

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements, continued

The Partnership derived $20 \%$ and $24 \%$ of its total revenue for the three months ended March 25, 2011 and March 26, 2010, respectively, from one mutual fund vendor. All of the revenue generated from this vendor relates to business conducted with the Partnership's U.S. segment. Significant reductions in the revenues from this mutual fund source due to regulatory reform or other changes to the Partnership's relationship with this mutual fund vendor could have a material impact on the Partnership's results of operations.

## NOTE 2 - FAIR VALUE

Substantially all of the Partnership's financial assets and liabilities are carried at fair value or contracted amounts which approximate fair value.

Fair value of a financial instrument is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (the "exit price"). Financial assets are marked to bid prices and financial liabilities are marked to offer prices. Upon the adoption of fair value guidance set forth in Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") No. 825, Financial Instruments, the Partnership elected not to take the fair value option on all debt and liabilities subordinated to the claims of general creditors.

The Partnership's financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition are categorized based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. Hierarchical levels, defined by FASB ASC No. 820, Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure, with the related amount of subjectivity associated with the inputs to fair valuation of these assets and liabilities for each level, are as follows:

Level I - Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.
The types of assets and liabilities categorized as Level I generally are government and agency securities, equities listed in active markets, unit investment trusts and investments in publicly traded mutual funds with quoted market prices.

Level II - Inputs (other than quoted prices included in Level I) are either directly or indirectly observable for the asset or liability through correlation with related market data at the measurement date and for the duration of the instrument's anticipated life. The Partnership uses the market approach valuation technique (incorporates prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities) in valuing these types of investments.

The types of assets and liabilities categorized as Level II generally are certificates of deposit, municipal bonds, mortgage and asset backed securities and corporate debt.

Level III - Inputs are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. These inputs reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. Consideration is given to the risk inherent in the valuation technique and the risk inherent in the inputs to the model.

The Partnership did not have any assets or liabilities categorized as Level III during the periods ended March 25, 2011 and December 31, 2010. In addition, there were no transfers into or out of Levels I, II or III during these periods.

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements, continued

The following tables set forth the Partnership's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value:

|  | Financial Assets at Fair Value as of March 25, 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level I | Level II | Level III | Total |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell | \$1,153,333 | \$ | \$ - | \$1,153,333 |
| Investments segregated under federal regulations: |  |  |  |  |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ | \$150,000 | \$ | \$ 150,000 |
| U.S. treasuries | 704,832 | - | - | 704,832 |
| Total investments segregated under federal regulations | \$ 704,832 | \$150,000 | \$- | \$ 854,832 |
| Securities owned: |  |  |  |  |
| Inventory securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ | \$ 3,533 | \$ | \$ 3,533 |
| U.S. and Canadian government and U.S. agency obligations | 837 | - | - | 837 |
| State and municipal obligations | - | 98,513 | - | 98,513 |
| Corporate bonds and notes | - | 7,239 | - | 7,239 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | - | 823 | - | 823 |
| Equities | 4,794 | - | - | 4,794 |
| Unit investment trusts | 616 | - | - | 616 |
| Total inventory securities | \$ 6,247 | \$110,108 | \$- | \$ 116,355 |
| Investment securities: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government and agency obligations held by U.S. broker-dealer | \$ 11,977 | \$ | \$ - | \$ 11,977 |
| U.S. and Canadian government and U.S. agency obligations held by foreign broker-dealer | 3,140 | - | - | 3,140 |
| Municipal bonds | - | 4,711 | - | 4,711 |
| Mutual funds | 71,480 | - | - | 71,480 |
| Total investment securities | \$ 86,597 | \$ 4,711 | \$- | \$ 91,308 |


|  | Financial Liabilities at Fair Value as of March 25, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level I |  | Level II |  | Level III |  | Total |  |
| Securities sold, not yet purchased: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ | - | \$ | 718 | \$ | - | \$ | 718 |
| U.S. and Canadian government and U.S. agency obligations |  | 334 |  | - |  | - |  | 334 |
| State and municipal obligations |  | - |  | 444 |  | - |  | 444 |
| Corporate bonds and notes |  | - |  | 5,215 |  | - |  | 5,215 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations |  | - |  | 60 |  | - |  | 60 |
| Equities |  | 1,191 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,191 |
| Unit investment trusts |  | 179 |  | - |  | - |  | 179 |
| Total securities sold, not yet purchased | \$ | 1,704 | \$ | 6,437 |  | - | \$ | 8,141 |

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements, continued

|  | Financial Assets at Fair Value as of December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level I | Level II | Level III | Total |
| Securities purchased under agreements to resell | \$955,209 | \$ | \$ - | \$955,209 |
| Investments segregated under federal regulations: |  |  |  |  |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ - | \$200,000 | \$ - | \$200,000 |
| U.S. treasuries | 705,907 | - | - | 705,907 |
| Total investments segregated under federal regulations | $\underline{\underline{\$ 705,907}}$ | \$200,000 | \$ - | \$905,907 |
| Securities owned: |  |  |  |  |
| Inventory securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ - | \$ 1,772 | \$ - | \$ 1,772 |
| U.S. and Canadian government and U.S. agency obligations | 474 | - | - | 474 |
| State and municipal obligations | - | 55,639 | - | 55,639 |
| Corporate bonds and notes | - | 6,441 | - | 6,441 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | - | 602 | - | 602 |
| Equities | 15,980 | - | - | 15,980 |
| Unit investment trusts | 267 | - | - | 267 |
| Total inventory securities | \$ 16,721 | \$ 64,454 | \$ - | \$81,175 |
| Investment Securities: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government and agency obligations held by U.S. broker-dealer | \$ 12,078 | \$ | \$ - | \$ 12,078 |
| U.S. and Canadian government and U.S. agency obligations held by foreign broker-dealer | 3,176 | - | - | 3,176 |
| Municipal bonds | - | 4,711 | - | 4,711 |
| Mutual funds | 73,446 | - | - | 73,446 |
| Total investment securities | \$ 88,700 | \$ 4,711 | \$ - | \$ 93,411 |


|  | Financial Liabilities at Fair Value as of December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level I |  | Level II |  | Level III |  | Total |  |
| Securities sold, not yet purchased: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ | - | \$ | 323 | \$ | - | \$ | 323 |
| U.S. and Canadian government and U.S. agency obligations |  | 256 |  | - |  | - |  | 256 |
| State and municipal obligations |  | - |  | 506 |  | - |  | 506 |
| Corporate bonds and notes |  | - |  | 3,519 |  | - |  | 3,519 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations |  | - |  | 74 |  | - |  | 74 |
| Equities |  | 1,927 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,927 |
| Unit investment trusts |  | 99 |  | - |  | - |  | 99 |
| Total securities sold, not yet purchased | \$ | 2,282 | \$ | 4,422 | \$ | - | \$ | 6,704 |

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements, continued

The Partnership attempts to reduce its exposure to market price fluctuations of its inventory securities through the sale of U.S. government securities and, to a limited extent, the sale of fixed income futures contracts. The amount of the securities purchased or sold will fluctuate on a daily basis due to changes in inventory securities owned, interest rates and market conditions. Futures contracts are settled daily, and any gain or loss is recognized as principal transactions revenue. The notional amount of futures contracts outstanding were $\$ 8,000$ and $\$ 5,000$ at March 25,2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The average notional amount of futures contracts outstanding throughout the three months ended March 25, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010, were approximately $\$ 5,900$ and $\$ 8,900$, respectively. The underlying assets of these contracts are not reflected in the Partnership's Consolidated Financial Statements; however, the related mark-to-market adjustments of a $\$ 22$ gain and a $\$ 43$ loss are included in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition as of March 25, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The related gains or losses recorded with respect to these assets were a $\$ 36$ gain and a $\$ 78$ loss for the three months ended March 25, 2011 and March 26, 2010, respectively.

Due to the short-term nature of the Partnership's portion of liabilities subordinated to claims of general creditors maturing within one year, the fair value of this item is estimated at carrying value. The Partnership estimates the fair value of long-term debt and the portion of liabilities subordinated to claims of general creditors maturing beyond one year, based on the present value of future principal and interest payments associated with the debt, using current rates obtained from external lenders that are extended to organizations for debt of a similar nature as that of the Partnership. The following table shows the estimated fair values of long-term debt and liabilities subordinated to claims of general creditors as of:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 25, \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-term debt | \$ 64,149 | \$ 66,732 |
| Liabilities subordinated to claims of general creditors | 212,048 | 210,422 |
| Total | \$276,197 | \$ 277,154 |

See Notes 4 and 5 for carrying values of long-term debt and liabilities subordinated to claims of general creditors, respectively.
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## NOTE 3 - LINES OF CREDIT

The following table shows the composition of the Partnership's aggregate bank lines of credit in place as of:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 25, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Committed unsecured credit facilities: |  |  |
| 2010 Credit Facility | \$ - | \$ 320,000 |
| 2011 Credit Facility | 395,000 | - |
| Total committed | 395,000 | 320,000 |
| Uncommitted facilities: |  |  |
| Secured | 595,000 | 595,000 |
| Unsecured | - | 50,000 |
| Total uncommitted | 595,000 | 645,000 |
| Total bank lines of credit | \$990,000 | \$ 965,000 |

In April 2010, the Partnership entered into an agreement with eight banks for a $\$ 320,000$ committed unsecured revolving line of credit ("2010 Credit Facility"), which had a final maturity date of April 20, 2011. The 2010 Credit Facility was intended to provide short-term liquidity to the Partnership should the need arise.

In March 2011, the Partnership replaced the 2010 Credit Facility by entering into an agreement with 10 banks for a three year $\$ 395,000$ committed unsecured revolving line of credit ("2011 Credit Facility"), which has a final maturity date of March 18, 2014. The 2011 Credit Facility is intended to provide short-term liquidity to the Partnership should the need arise. The 2011 Credit Facility has a tiered interest rate margin based on the Partnership's leverage ratio (ratio of total debt to total capitalization). Borrowings made with a three day advance notice will have a rate of LIBOR plus a margin ranging from $1.50 \%$ to $2.25 \%$. Same day borrowings, which are subject to certain borrowing notification cutoff times, will have a rate consisting of a margin ranging from $0.50 \%$ to $1.25 \%$ plus the greater of the prime rate, the federal funds effective rate plus $1.00 \%$ or the one month LIBOR rate plus $1.00 \%$. In accordance with the 2011 Credit Facility, the Partnership is required to maintain a leverage ratio of no more than $35 \%$ and minimum partnership capital, net of reserve for anticipated withdrawals, of at least $\$ 1,200,000$ plus $50 \%$ of subsequent issuances of partnership capital. As of March 25, 2011, the Partnership is in compliance with all covenants related to the 2011 Credit Facility. As of May 6, 2011, the Partnership has not borrowed against the 2011 Credit Facility.

The Partnership's uncommitted lines of credit are subject to change at the discretion of the banks and, therefore, due to credit market conditions and the uncommitted nature of these credit facilities, it is possible that these lines of credit could decrease or not be available in the future. During the first quarter of 2011 , the Partnership's uncommitted lines of credit were reduced by $\$ 50,000$ by a bank participating in the Partnership's agreement for the 2011 Credit Facility. This decrease reduced the aggregated uncommitted bank lines of credit to $\$ 595,000$.
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Actual borrowing availability on the uncommitted secured lines is based on customer margin securities and Partnership securities, which serve as collateral on loans. There were no amounts outstanding on the uncommitted lines of credit as of March 25, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Additionally, there were no draws on these lines of credit for the three months ended March 25, 2011 or March 26, 2010.

## NOTE 4 - LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt as of March 25, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is composed of the following:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } 25, \\ & \quad 2011 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Note payable, collateralized by real estate, fixed rate of $7.28 \%$, principal and interest due in fluctuating monthly installments, with a final installment on June 1, 2017 | \$ 7,202 | \$ | 7,427 |
| Note payable, collateralized by office equipment, floating rate of $3.15 \%$ in excess of one-month LIBOR, principal and interest due in fixed monthly installments of $\$ 317$, with a final installment on January 1, 2014 | 21,819 |  | 23,694 |
| Note payable, collateralized by real estate, fixed rate of $3.50 \%$, principal and interest due in fluctuating monthly installments, with a final balloon payment of $\$ 32,693$ on December 22, 2012 | 34,950 |  | 35,276 |
|  | \$63,971 | \$ | 66,397 |

## NOTE 5 - LIABILITIES SUBORDINATED TO CLAIMS OF GENERAL CREDITORS

Liabilities subordinated to claims of general creditors as of March 25, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consists of:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 25, \\ \quad 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital notes $7.33 \%$, due in annual installments of $\$ 50,000$ commencing on June 12, 2010 with a final installment on June 12, 2014 | \$200,000 | \$ 200,000 |
| Capital notes $7.79 \%$, due in annual installments of $\$ 3,700$ commencing on August 15, 2005, with a final installment of $\$ 3,700$ on August 15, 2011 | 3,700 | 3,700 |
|  | \$203,700 | \$ 203,700 |
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## NOTE 6 - PARTNERSHIP CAPITAL SUBJECT TO MANDATORY REDEMPTION

Partnership capital subject to mandatory redemption as of March 25, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consists of:

|  | March 25, 2011 | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limited partnership capital | \$ 672,865 | \$ 451,349 |
| Subordinated limited partnership capital | 247,366 | 221,968 |
| General partnership capital issued | 894,911 | 823,408 |
| Partner capital contributions | 1,815,142 | 1,496,725 |
| Partnership loans: |  |  |
| General partnership loans issued | $(89,440)$ |  |
| Repayment of general partnership loans | 1,095 | - |
| Partnership loans outstanding | $(88,345)$ | - |
| Partnership capital subject to mandatory redemption, net of reserve for anticipated withdrawals | 1,726,797 | 1,496,725 |
| Reserve for anticipated withdrawals | 93,633 | 108,248 |
| Partnership capital subject to mandatory redemption | $\underline{\underline{\$ 1,820,430}}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 1,604,973}}$ |

FASB ASC No. 480, Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity ("ASC 480"), established standards for classifying and measuring certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. Under the provisions of ASC 480, the obligation to redeem a partner's capital in the event of a partner's death is one of the criteria requiring capital to be classified as a liability.

Since the Partnership Agreement obligates the Partnership to redeem a partner's capital after a partner's death, ASC 480 requires all of the Partnership's equity capital be classified as a liability. Income allocable to limited, subordinated limited and general partners prior to the issuance of ASC 480 was classified in the Partnership's Consolidated Statement of Income as net income. In accordance with ASC 480, these allocations are now classified as a reduction of income before allocations to partners, which results in a presentation of $\$ 0$ net income. The financial statement presentations required to comply with ASC 480 do not alter the Partnership's treatment of income, income allocations or capital for any other purposes.

Net income, as defined in the Partnership Agreement, is equivalent to income before allocations to partners on the Consolidated Statements of Income. Such income, if any, for each calendar year is allocated to the Partnership's three classes of capital in accordance with the formulas prescribed in the Partnership Agreement. Income allocations are based upon partner capital contributions including capital contributions financed with loans from the Partnership, as indicated in the above table. First, limited partners are allocated net income (as defined in the Partnership Agreement) in accordance with the prescribed formula for their share of net income. Limited partners do not share in the net loss in any year in which there is a net loss and the Partnership is not dissolved or liquidated. Thereafter, subordinated limited partners and general partners are allocated any remaining net income or net loss based on formulas as defined in the Partnership Agreement.
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The Partnership completed a limited partnership offering on January 3, 2011 with $\$ 223,900$ of limited partner capital accepted. The Partnership intends to use the proceeds of the limited partnership offering for working capital and general corporate purposes, including continued satisfaction of regulatory net capital requirements. For further information on the 2011 limited partnership offering, refer to the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Beginning in 2011, the Partnership is making loans available to those general partners that require financing for some or all of their new purchases of individual partnership interests. Loans made by the Partnership to general partners are generally for a period of one year and bear interest at the prime rate, as defined in the loan documents. The Partnership will recognize interest income for the interest paid by general partners in connection with such loans. The outstanding amount of general partner loans financed through the Partnership is reflected as a reduction to total general partnership capital in the Partnership's Consolidated Statements of Changes in Partnership Capital Subject to Mandatory Redemption. As of March 25, 2011, the outstanding amount of general partner loans financed through the Partnership amounted to $\$ 88,345$ and interest income from these loans was $\$ 726$ for the three months ended March 25, 2011.

The limited partnership capital subject to mandatory redemption is held by current and former employees and general partners of the Partnership. Limited partners participate in the Partnership's profits and are paid a minimum $7.5 \%$ annual return on the face amount of their capital, in accordance with the Partnership Agreement. The minimum 7.5\% annual return totaled $\$ 12,629$ and $\$ 8,637$ for the three months ended March 25, 2011 and March 26, 2010, respectively. These amounts are included as a component of interest expense.

The subordinated limited partnership capital subject to mandatory redemption is held by current and former general partners of the Partnership. Each subordinated limited partner receives a varying percentage of the net income of the Partnership. The subordinated limited partner capital subject to mandatory redemption is subordinated to the limited partnership capital.

The general partnership capital subject to mandatory redemption is held by current general partners of the Partnership. Each general partner receives a varying percentage of the net income of the Partnership. The general partner capital subject to mandatory redemption is subordinated to the limited partnership capital.

## NOTE 7 - NET CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

As a result of its activities as a broker-dealer, Edward Jones is subject to the net capital provisions of Rule 15c3-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") and withdrawal rules of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA") Rule 4110. Under the alternative method permitted by the rules, Edward Jones must maintain minimum net capital equal to the greater of $\$ 250$ or $2 \%$ of aggregate debit items arising from customer transactions. The net capital rule also provides that partnership capital may not be withdrawn if resulting net capital would be less than $5 \%$ of aggregate debit items. Additionally, certain withdrawals require the approval of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and FINRA to the extent they exceed defined levels, even though such withdrawals would not cause net capital to be less than minimum requirements.
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As of March 25, 2011, Edward Jones' net capital of $\$ 731,822$ was $34.3 \%$ of aggregate debit items and its net capital in excess of the minimum required was $\$ 689,093$. Net capital after anticipated withdrawals, as a percentage of aggregate debit items, was $31.7 \%$. Net capital and the related capital percentages may fluctuate on a daily basis.

As of March 25, 2011, the Partnership's Canadian broker-dealer's regulatory risk adjusted capital of \$46,508 was \$35,553 in excess of the capital required to be held by the Investment Industry Regulatory Organization of Canada ("IIROC"). In addition, EJTC was in compliance, as of March 25, 2011, with regulatory capital requirements in the jurisdiction in which it operates.

## NOTE 8 - CONTINGENCIES

In the normal course of business, the Partnership has been named as a defendant in various legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions and other litigation. Certain of these legal actions include claims for substantial compensatory and/or punitive damages or claims for indeterminate amounts of damages. The Partnership is also involved from time to time in investigations and proceedings by governmental and self-regulatory agencies, certain of which may result in adverse judgments, fines or penalties. In addition, the Partnership provides for potential losses that may arise related to other contingencies.

The Partnership assesses its liabilities and contingencies utilizing available information. For those matters where it is probable the Partnership will incur a potential loss and the amount of the loss is reasonably estimable, in accordance with FASB ASC No. 450, Contingencies ("ASC 450"), an accrued liability has been established. These reserves represent the Partnership's aggregate estimate of the potential loss contingency and are believed to be sufficient at this time. Such liability may be adjusted from time to time to reflect any relevant developments.

For such matters where an accrued liability has not been established and the Partnership believes a loss is both reasonably possible and estimable, as well as for matters where an accrued liability has been recorded but for which an exposure to loss in excess of the amount accrued is both reasonably possible and estimable, the current estimated aggregated range of possible loss is $\$ 3.0$ million to $\$ 32.0$ million. This range of reasonably possible loss does not necessarily represent the Partnership's maximum loss exposure as the Partnership was not able to estimate a range of reasonably possible loss for all matters.

Further, the matters underlying any disclosed estimated range will change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly. While the outcome of these matters is inherently uncertain, based on information currently available, the Partnership believes that its established reserves are adequate and the liabilities arising from such proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Partnership. However, based on future developments and the potential unfavorable resolution of these matters, the outcome could be material to the Partnership's future consolidated operating results for a particular period or periods.
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## NOTE 9 - COMMITMENTS

The Partnership committed in October 2009, to construct an additional parking garage at its St. Louis, Missouri, north campus location. The Partnership estimates the cost to construct the garage to be approximately $\$ 24,500$ and, as of March 25, 2011, has executed agreements of $\$ 24,314$ for the construction. As of March 25, 2011, the Partnership has paid $\$ 18,068$ related to commitments for this construction project resulting in $\$ 6,246$ of remaining commitments. The Partnership anticipates the remaining estimated amounts to be incurred and paid throughout 2011.

## NOTE 10 - SEGMENT INFORMATION

Operating segments are defined as components of an entity that has all of the following characteristics: it engages in business activities from which it may earn revenues and incur expenses; its operating results are regularly reviewed by the entity's chief operating decision-maker (or decision-making group) for resource allocation and to assess performance; and discrete financial information is available. Operating segments may be combined in certain circumstances into reportable segments for financial reporting. The Partnership has determined it has two operating and reportable segments based upon geographic location, the U.S. and Canada.

Each segment, in its own geographic location, primarily derives its revenues from the retail brokerage business through the sale of listed and unlisted securities and insurance products, investment banking, principal transactions, as a distributor of mutual fund shares and through revenues related to assets held by and account services provided to its customers.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Financial information about the Partnership's reportable segments is presented in the table below. For the computation of its segment information, the Partnership allocates costs incurred by the U.S. entity in support of Canadian operations to the Canadian segment.

The Partnership evaluates the performance of its segments based upon income from continuing operations as well as income before variable compensation, which is income before expenses for bonuses earned by financial advisors, headquarters and branch associates and profit sharing allocations. Variable compensation is determined at the Partnership level for profit sharing and headquarters bonus amounts, and therefore is allocated to each geographic segment independent of each segment's individual income before variable compensation. While overall Partnership profitability determines the amount of financial advisor and headquarters and branch employee bonuses, performance of the individual segment can impact the amount of financial advisor bonus expense for each segment. As such, both income from continuing operations and income before variable compensation are considered in evaluating segment performance.
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The Canada segment information is based upon the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Partnership's Canadian operations without eliminating any intercompany items, such as management fees that it pays to affiliated entities. The U.S. segment information is derived from the Partnership's Consolidated Financial Statements less the Canada segment information as presented. This is consistent with how management reviews the segments in order to assess performance.

Financial information for the Company's reportable segments is presented in the following table for the periods ended:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 25, <br> 2011 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 26, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Net revenue: |  |  |
| United States of America | \$1,061,070 | \$908,365 |
| Canada | 45,200 | 40,435 |
| Total net revenue | 1,106,270 | 948,800 |
| Pre-variable income (loss): |  |  |
| United States of America | 205,775 | 125,869 |
| Canada | (862) | $(4,890)$ |
| Total pre-variable income | 204,913 | 120,979 |
| Variable compensation: |  |  |
| United States of America | 84,609 | 42,874 |
| Canada | 2,665 | 1,562 |
| Total variable compensation | 87,274 | 44,436 |
| Income (loss) before allocation to partners: |  |  |
| United States of America | 121,166 | 82,995 |
| Canada | $(3,527)$ | $(6,452)$ |
| Total income before allocation to partners | \$ 117,639 | \$ 76,543 |
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## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis is intended to help the reader understand the results of operations and the financial condition of the Partnership. Management's Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the Partnership's Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes included in Item 1, Financial Statements of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.
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## OVERVIEW

The following table sets forth the increases (decreases) in major categories of the Consolidated Statements of Income as well as several key related metrics for the three months ended March 25, 2011 and March 26, 2010. Management of the Partnership relies on this financial information and the related metrics to evaluate the Partnership's operating performance and financial condition. All amounts are presented in millions, except the number of financial advisors and as otherwise noted.

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { March 25, }}{2011}$ | $\frac{\text { March 26, }}{2010}$ | Change |  |
|  |  |  | \$ | \% |
| Revenue: |  |  |  |  |
| Trade revenue: |  |  |  |  |
| Commissions | \$ 422.4 | \$ 372.9 | \$ 49.5 | 13\% |
| Principal transactions | 79.4 | 78.5 | 0.9 | 1\% |
| Investment banking | 42.2 | 44.5 | (2.3) | -5\% |
| Total trade revenue | 544.0 | 495.9 | 48.1 | 10\% |
| \% of net revenue | 49\% | 52\% |  |  |


| Fee revenue: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asset-based | 418.4 | 311.3 | 107.1 | 34\% |
| Account and activity | 125.1 | 122.4 | 2.7 | 2\% |
| Total fee revenue | 543.5 | 433.7 | 109.8 | 25\% |
| \% of net revenue | 49\% | 46\% |  |  |
| Net interest and dividends | 13.0 | 13.7 | (0.7) | -5\% |
| Other revenue | 5.8 | 5.5 | 0.3 | 5\% |
| Net revenue | 1,106.3 | 948.8 | 157.5 | 17\% |
| Operating expenses | 988.7 | 872.3 | 116.4 | 13\% |
| Income before allocation to partners | \$ 117.6 | \$ 76.5 | \$41.1 | 54\% |
| Related metrics: |  |  |  |  |
| Customer dollars invested ${ }^{(1)}$ : |  |  |  |  |
| Trade (\$ billions) | \$ 22.3 | \$ 20.6 | \$ 1.7 | 8\% |
| Advisory programs (\$ billions) | \$ 5.4 | \$ 5.8 | \$ (0.4) | -7\% |
| Customer households at year end (millions) | 4.48 | 4.46 | 0.02 | 0\% |
| Customer assets under care: |  |  |  |  |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |
| At period end (\$ billions) | \$ 592.0 | \$ 532.9 | \$ 59.1 | 11\% |
| Average (\$ billions) | \$ 586.1 | \$ 518.7 | \$ 67.4 | 13\% |
| Advisory Programs: |  |  |  |  |
| At period end (\$ billions) | \$ 60.2 | \$ 35.1 | \$ 25.1 | 72\% |
| Average (\$ billions) | \$ 57.3 | \$ 31.7 | \$ 25.6 | 81\% |
| Financial advisors: |  |  |  |  |
| At period end | 12,482 | 12,717 | (235) | -2\% |
| Average | 12,496 | 12,695 | (199) | -2\% |
| Attrition \% | 15.2\% | 15.9\% | n/a | n/a |
| Dow Jones Industrial Average: |  |  |  |  |
| At period end | 12,221 | 10,850 | 1,371 | 13\% |
| Average for period | 12,007 | 10,469 | 1,538 | 15\% |
| S\&P 500 Index: |  |  |  |  |
| At period end | 1,314 | 1,167 | 147 | 13\% |
| Average for period | 1,301 | 1,121 | 180 | 16\% |

${ }^{(1)}$ Customer dollars invested, related to trade revenue, includes the principal amount of customers' buy and sell transactions generating a commission. Customer dollars invested related to advisory programs revenue represents the net inflows of customer dollars into this program.
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## Basis of Presentation

The Partnership broadly categorizes its revenues into four categories; trade revenue (revenue from customer buy or sell transactions of securities), fee revenue, interest and dividends (net of interest expense) and other revenue. In the Partnership's Consolidated Statements of Income, trade revenue is composed of commissions, principal transactions and investment banking. Fee revenue is composed of asset-based fees and account and activity fees. These sources of revenue are affected by a number of factors. Trade revenue is impacted by the number of financial advisors, trading volume (customer dollars invested), mix of the products in which customers invest, margins earned on the transactions and market volatility. Asset-based fees are generally a percentage of the total value of specific assets in customer accounts. These fees are impacted by customer dollars invested in and divested from the accounts which generate asset-based fees and change in market prices of the assets. Account and activity fees and other revenue are impacted by the number of customer accounts, the variety of services provided to those accounts and foreign exchange rates, among other factors. Net interest income is impacted by the amount of cash and investments, receivables from customers and payables to customers, the variability of interest rates earned and paid on such balances and the number of limited partner interests.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 25, 2011 AND MARCH 26, 2010

During the first quarter of 2011, the Partnership's results of operations improved compared to the first quarter of 2010 primarily due to increased asset-based fees as a result of the continued investment of customer dollars into advisory programs and an increase in asset values as well as continued focus on cost discipline. These improvements are reflected in many of the financial and related metrics used by the Partnership in the evaluation of its business results. These factors also contributed to a changing composition of net revenue. For the three months ended March 25, 2011, trade revenue and fee revenue each comprised $49 \%$ of net revenue. For the three months ended March 26, 2010, net revenue was more heavily weighted towards trade revenue than fee revenue. For first quarter 2010, trade revenue and fee revenue comprised $52 \%$ and $46 \%$ of net revenue, respectively.

For the three months ended March 25, 2011, net revenue increased $17 \%$ ( $\$ 157.5$ million) to $\$ 1.1$ billion, which was attributable to increased trade revenue, asset-based fee revenue, account and activity fees and other revenue, partially offset by a decrease in net interest income. Trade revenue and fee revenue increased by $10 \%$ and $25 \%$, respectively, compared to the first three months of 2010. For further details on these fluctuations, see the discussion in the trade revenue and fee revenue sections below. Operating expenses for the three months ended March 25, 2011 increased 13\% quarter-over-quarter primarily as a result of increased financial advisor compensation and variable compensation due to the Partnership's increased revenues and profitability. Income before allocations to partners increased $54 \%$ ( $\$ 41.1$ million) to $\$ 117.6$ million. The Partnership's profit margin based on income before allocations to partners increased to $10.5 \%$ for the three months ended March 25, 2011 from $7.9 \%$ for the three months ended March 26, 2010.

In addition, the Partnership has remained focused on the efforts to grow the number of financial advisors and its branch office network. However, the Partnership's first quarter 2011 financial advisor total decreased compared to the first quarter 2010 total, ending the period with 12,482 financial advisors as of March 25, 2011 compared to 12,717 as of March 26, 2010. This decrease can be attributed in part to current employment market conditions as well as the Partnership's recent increase in financial advisor financial performance expectations. Not meeting Partnership growth objectives may result in slower growth in the number of financial advisors and potentially a reduced rate of revenue growth in future periods.
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The discussion below details the major fluctuations and their drivers for each of the major categories of the Partnership's Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended March 25, 2011 as compared to the three months ended March 26, 2010.

## Trade Revenue

Trade revenue, which consists of commissions revenue, principal transactions revenue and investment banking revenue, increased $10 \%$ ( $\$ 48.1$ million) to $\$ 544.0$ million during the three months ended March 25, 2011 compared to the three months ended March 26, 2010. This increase in trade revenue was due to increases in customer dollars invested (the principal amount of customers' buy and sell transactions generating a commission) and in the margin earned on these customer dollars invested. Total customer dollars invested were $\$ 22.3$ billion during the first three months of 2011, an $8 \%$ ( $\$ 1.7$ billion) increase from $\$ 20.6$ billion for the first three months of 2010. The Partnership's margin earned on each $\$ 1,000$ invested increased to $\$ 24.40$ in the first quarter of 2011 from $\$ 24.10$ in the first quarter of 2010. This increase was primarily due to customer investments continuing to shift to equities in 2011 from lower margin certificates of deposit and other fixed income products in 2010. A discussion specific to each component of trade revenue follows.

## Commissions

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 25, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March 26, } \\ & \quad 2010 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Commissions revenue (\$ millions): |  |  |  |  |
| Mutual funds | \$ 226.6 | \$ 214.7 | \$ 11.9 | 6\% |
| Equities | 112.7 | 87.1 | 25.6 | 29\% |
| Insurance | 83.1 | 71.1 | 12.0 | 17\% |
| Total commissions revenue | \$ 422.4 | \$ 372.9 | \$ 49.5 | 13\% |
| Related metrics: |  |  |  |  |
| Customer dollars invested (\$ billions) | \$ 16.3 | \$ 14.3 | \$ 2.0 | 14\% |
| Margin per \$1,000 invested | \$ 25.90 | \$ 26.00 | \$ (0.1) | 0\% |
| U.S. business days | 58 | 58 | - | 0\% |

Commissions revenue increased $13 \%$ ( $\$ 49.5$ million) in the first quarter of 2011 to $\$ 422.4$ million. This increase was due to a $14 \%$ ( $\$ 2.0$ billion) increase in customer dollars invested in commission generating transactions. Mutual fund commissions increased $6 \%$ ( $\$ 11.9$ million), equities commissions increased $29 \%$ ( $\$ 25.6$ million) and insurance commissions increased $17 \%$ ( $\$ 12.0$ million) due to the increase in customer dollars invested as mentioned above. The margin earned on each $\$ 1,000$ invested in commission generating transactions decreased slightly to $\$ 25.90$ in the first quarter of 2011 from $\$ 26.00$ in the first quarter of 2010. This decrease in margin earned is primarily due to a shift in product mix from higher margin mutual fund products to lower margin equity products.
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## Principal Transactions

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\text { March } 25,} \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \operatorname{arch} 26, \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Principal transactions revenue (\$ millions): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipal bonds | \$ 63.3 | \$ | 55.1 | \$ 8.2 | 15\% |
| Corporate bonds | 8.2 |  | 11.6 | (3.4) | -29\% |
| Certificates of deposit | 3.1 |  | 4.5 | (1.4) | -31\% |
| Unit investment trusts | 2.0 |  | 2.2 | (0.2) | -9\% |
| Government bonds | 1.8 |  | 3.3 | (1.5) | -45\% |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | 1.0 |  | 1.8 | (0.8) | -44\% |
| Total principal transactions revenue | \$ 79.4 | \$ | 78.5 | \$ 0.9 | 1\% |
| Related metrics: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer dollars invested (\$ billions) | \$ 4.6 | \$ | 4.9 | \$ (0.3) | -6\% |
| Margin per \$1,000 invested | \$ 17.10 | \$ | 16.00 | \$ 1.10 | 7\% |
| U.S. business days | 58 |  | 58 | - | 0\% |

Principal transactions revenue increased $1 \%$ ( $\$ 0.9$ million) to $\$ 79.4$ million compared to the first quarter of 2010 , attributable to an increase in the margin earned on the customer dollars invested, partially offset by a decrease in customer dollars invested. The Partnership's margin earned on principal transactions for each $\$ 1,000$ invested increased to $\$ 17.10$ during the first quarter of 2011 from $\$ 16.00$ during the first quarter of 2010 primarily as a result of a shift in product mix from lower margin taxable fixed-income products to higher margin tax free fixed-income products. Customer dollars invested decreased as customers invested $\$ 4.6$ billion in products that resulted in principal transactions revenue in the first three months of 2011 compared to $\$ 4.9$ billion in the first three months of 2010.

Quarter-over-quarter, revenue from municipal bonds increased 15\% ( $\$ 8.2$ million), while revenue from corporate bonds decreased $29 \%$ ( $\$ 3.4$ million), certificates of deposit decreased $31 \%$ ( $\$ 1.4$ million), unit investment trusts decreased $9 \%$ ( $\$ 0.2$ million), government bonds decreased $45 \%$ ( $\$ 1.5$ million) and collateralized mortgage obligations decreased $44 \%$ ( $\$ 0.8$ million). The increase in municipal bonds revenue was due to the attractive yields on municipal bonds relative to treasury securities in addition to a greater supply of traditional tax free bonds in first quarter of 2011 versus first quarter of 2010. Corporate bonds revenue decreased as a result of reduced activity due to the interest rate and market environment. Certificates of deposit revenue declined from the first three months of 2010, primarily due to a decrease in margins earned on certificates of deposit.

In addition, the Partnership has determined that certain unit investment trusts revenue is more appropriately reflected as investment banking revenue and therefore has reclassified the related 2010 revenue balances to investment banking revenue, from principal transactions revenue, which better aligns with the nature of the revenue and common industry practice. For further information, see the related discussion in the following investment banking section.
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## Investment Banking

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 25, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 26, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Investment banking revenue (\$ millions): |  |  |  |  |
| Unit investment trusts | \$ 36.8 | \$ 35.4 | \$ 1.4 | 4\% |
| Municipal bonds | 3.9 | 7.3 | (3.4) | -47\% |
| Corporate bonds | 1.2 | - | 1.2 | 100\% |
| Government bonds | 0.3 | 1.8 | (1.5) | -83\% |
| Total investment banking revenue | \$ 42.2 | \$ 44.5 | \$ (2.3) | -5\% |
| Related metrics: |  |  |  |  |
| Customer dollars invested (\$ billions) | \$ 1.3 | \$ 1.3 | \$ - | 0\% |
| Margin per \$1,000 invested | \$ 31.70 | \$ 33.10 | \$(1.40) | -4\% |
| U.S. business days | 58 | 58 | - | 0\% |

Investment banking revenue decreased 5\% (\$2.3 million) to $\$ 42.2$ million in the first three months of 2011 compared to the first three months of 2010, due to a decrease in margin earned on the customer dollars invested. The Partnership's margin earned on investment banking for each $\$ 1,000$ invested decreased to $\$ 31.70$ during the first quarter of 2011 from $\$ 33.10$ during the first quarter of 2010 primarily as a result of a shift in product mix from higher margin tax free fixed-income products to lower margin unit investment trusts equity products. Customer dollars invested remained flat at $\$ 1.3$ billion for both the first quarter of 2011 and 2010.

Municipal bonds revenue decreased $47 \%$ ( $\$ 3.4$ million) in the first quarter of 2011 resulting from the above mentioned shift in product mix from tax free fixed-income products to equity products. Unit investment trusts revenue increased $4 \%$ ( $\$ 1.4$ million) as a result of the increased demand for traditional tax free trusts and the introduction of a new product partner for taxable municipal bonds, partially offset by a lower volume of taxable debt underwritings.

As unit investment trusts revenue has grown to represent a larger portion of total trade revenue, the Partnership has determined that certain unit investment trusts revenue is more appropriately reflected in investment banking revenue and therefore has reclassified the related 2010 revenue balances to investment banking revenue, from principal transactions revenue, which better aligns with the nature of the revenue and common industry practice.
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## Fee Revenue

Fee revenue increased $25 \%$ ( $\$ 109.8$ million) to $\$ 543.5$ million compared to the first three months of 2010. A discussion specific to each component (asset-based and account and activity) of fee revenue follows.

Asset-based

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\text { March } 25,} \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March 26, } \\ & \quad 2010 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Asset-based fee revenue (\$ millions): |  |  |  |  |
| Advisory program fees | \$ 189.5 | \$ 105.3 | \$ 84.2 | 80\% |
| Service fees | 188.9 | 167.3 | 21.6 | 13\% |
| Revenue sharing | 30.4 | 29.2 | 1.2 | 4\% |
| Trust fees | 5.8 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 23\% |
| Money market fees | 3.8 | 4.8 | (1.0) | -21\% |
| Total asset-based fee revenue | \$ 418.4 | \$ 311.3 | \$107.1 | 34\% |
| Related metrics (\$ billions): |  |  |  |  |
| Average U.S. customer asset values ${ }^{(1)}$ : |  |  |  |  |
| Mutual fund assets held out side of advisory programs | \$ 308.6 | \$ 260.7 | \$ 47.9 | 18\% |
| Advisory programs | 57.3 | 31.7 | 25.6 | 81\% |
| Insurance | 50.4 | 43.0 | 7.4 | 17\% |
| Money market | 17.9 | 19.5 | (1.6) | -8\% |
| Total customer asset values | \$ 434.2 | \$ 354.9 | \$ 79.3 | 22\% |

${ }^{(1)}$ U.S. asset-based fee revenue represents $97 \%$ of consolidated asset-based fee revenue for the quarters ended March 25,2011 and March 26, 2010.

Compared to the first quarter of 2010, asset-based fee revenue increased $34 \%$ ( $\$ 107.1$ million) to $\$ 418.4$ million due primarily to continued investment of customer dollars into advisory programs and increases in the average market values of customer assets on which the Partnership receives asset-based fees.

Advisory programs fee revenue, which primarily includes Advisory Solutions and Managed Account Program fee revenue, was $\$ 189.5$ million in the first three months of 2011 as compared to $\$ 105.3$ million in the first three months of 2010, an increase of $\$ 84.2$ million. Customer assets in the advisory programs as of March 25, 2011 and March 26, 2010 were $\$ 60.2$ billion and $\$ 35.1$ billion, respectively. The average value of customer assets in the advisory programs were $\$ 57.3$ billion in the first quarter of 2011 compared to $\$ 31.7$ billion in the first quarter of 2010 , and has grown due to continued investment of customer dollars into advisory programs and an increase in the market appreciation of existing asset values. A majority of customer assets held in the advisory programs were converted from other client investments previously held with the Partnership.
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Service fee revenue increased $13 \%$, which is relatively consistent with the movement in the S\&P 500 Index, which averaged $16 \%$ higher during the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010.

Revenue sharing increased $4 \%$ ( $\$ 1.2$ million), to $\$ 30.4$ million in the first quarter of 2011 as compared to the first quarter of 2010. This increase in revenue is due to an increase in the average asset values on which revenue sharing is based, partially offset by a decrease in rates earned related to certain product partner revenue sharing agreements.

Money market fees decreased $21 \%$ ( $\$ 1.0$ million) in the first three months of 2011 as compared to the first three months of 2010 due primarily to an $8 \%$ decrease in the average money market asset values, partially offset by an increase in revenue caused by a decrease in the voluntary reduction of certain fees. As a $49.5 \%$ limited partner of Passport Research Ltd., the investment adviser to some of the money market funds made available to Edward Jones customers, the Partnership receives a portion of the income of the investment adviser. Due to the current low interest rate environment, the investment adviser voluntarily chose (beginning in March 2009) to reduce certain fees charged to the funds to a level that will maintain a positive customer yield on the funds. This reduction of fees resulted in a decrease of $\$ 20.4$ million and $\$ 22.8$ million to the revenue earned by the Partnership in the first quarter of 2011 and 2010 , respectively, which increased money market fees by $\$ 2.4$ million quarter-over-quarter. The waiver is expected to continue at this level in future periods, based upon the current interest rate environment.

Account and Activity

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 25, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 26, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Account and activity fee revenue (\$ millions): |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-transfer agent services | \$ 69.3 | \$ 67.9 | \$ 1.4 | 2\% |
| Retirement account fees | 32.7 | 32.3 | 0.4 | 1\% |
| Other account and activity fees | 23.1 | 22.2 | 0.9 | 4\% |
| Total account and activity fee revenue | \$ 125.1 | \$ 122.4 | \$ 2.7 | 2\% |
| Related metrics (millions): |  |  |  |  |
| Average customer accounts: |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-transfer agent services ${ }^{(1)}$ | 16.4 | 15.9 | 0.5 | 3\% |
| Retirement accounts | 3.5 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 6\% |

${ }^{(1)}$ Amount represents average number of individual mutual fund holdings serviced, on which the Partnership recognizes subtransfer agent services revenue.

Account and activity fee revenue of $\$ 125.1$ million increased 2\% ( $\$ 2.7$ million) quarter-over-quarter. Revenue received from subtransfer agent services performed for mutual fund companies increased $2 \%$ ( $\$ 1.4$ million) to $\$ 69.3$ million due to an increase in the number of sub-transfer agent services accounts. In addition, retirement account fees increased $1 \%$ ( $\$ 0.4$ million) to $\$ 32.7$ million compared to first quarter 2010 due to increases in the number of retirement accounts and termination fees. Other account and activity
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fees increased $4 \%$ ( $\$ 0.9$ million) to $\$ 23.1$ million due to net increases in various other types of fees including mortgage revenue, proxy revenue, dividend reinvestment fees, transaction fees and other fees.

## Net Interest and Dividends

| (\$ millions) | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 25, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 26, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Net interest and dividends revenue: |  |  |  |  |
| Customer loan interest | \$ 26.3 | \$ 25.4 | \$ 0.9 | 4\% |
| Short-term investing interest | 2.4 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 20\% |
| Other interest and dividends | 1.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 111\% |
| Interest expense | (17.6) | (14.6) | (3.0) | -21\% |
| Total net interest and dividends revenue | \$ 13.0 | \$ 13.7 | \$ (0.7) | -5\% |
| Related metrics: |  |  |  |  |
| Average funds invested | \$ 4,882.6 | \$ 3,728.8 | \$ 1,153.8 | 31\% |
| Average rate earned | 0.21\% | 0.23\% | -0.02\% | -9\% |
| Average aggregate customer loan balance | \$ 2,184.7 | \$ 2,074.4 | \$ 110.3 | 5\% |
| Average rate earned | 5.22\% | 5.27\% | -0.05\% | -1\% |
| Weighted average $\$ 1,000$ equivalent limited partnership units outstanding | 673,659 | 460,577 | 213,082 | 46\% |

Net interest and dividends revenue decreased $5 \%$ ( $\$ 0.7$ million) to $\$ 13.0$ million quarter-over-quarter due primarily to an increase in interest expense partially offset by increases in interest income. Interest expense increased $21 \%$ ( $\$ 3.0$ million) quarter-over-quarter primarily due to additional expense ( $\$ 4.0$ million) for the minimum $7.5 \%$ annual return on the limited partnership interests outstanding caused by the limited partnership offering completed in January 2011. Total interest expense related to the minimum $7.5 \%$ annual return on limited partnership interests amounted to $\$ 12.6$ million for the period ended March 25, 2011 as compared to $\$ 8.6$ million for the period ended March 26, 2010.

Interest income from customer loans increased $4 \%$ ( $\$ 0.9$ million) to $\$ 26.3$ million. The average aggregate customer loan balance increased $5 \%$ ( $\$ 110.3$ million) to $\$ 2.2$ billion; however, the average rate earned on those customer loan balances decreased to $5.22 \%$ during the first quarter of 2011 from $5.27 \%$ in the first quarter of 2010 . Collateral held in customer accounts with loan balances increased $4 \%$ ( $\$ 428.2$ million) to $\$ 10.0$ billion in the first three months of 2011 as compared to the first three months of 2010. However, collateral held in customer accounts can fluctuate due to market conditions or the withdrawal of securities, provided the customer remains in compliance with applicable New York Stock Exchange rules (loan no greater than $75 \%$ of the value of the securities in the account) and maintenance requirements imposed by the Partnership (generally, loan no greater than $65 \%$ of the value of the securities in the account). Losses incurred on customer loan balances were less than $\$ 100,000$ during the first three months of 2011 and 2010.
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Additionally, interest income from short-term, primarily overnight investments, of cash and cash equivalents, cash and investments segregated under federal regulations and securities purchased under agreements to resell increased $20 \%$ ( $\$ 0.4$ million). The related average funds invested during the first three months of 2011 was $\$ 4.9$ billion, compared to $\$ 3.7$ billion in the first three months of 2010 , including $\$ 3.6$ billion and $\$ 2.8$ billion of funds that were segregated in special reserve bank accounts for the benefit of U.S. customers under SEC Rule 15c3-3 as of March 25, 2011 and March 26, 2010, respectively. The average rate earned on this type of investment decreased to $0.20 \%$ during the first quarter of 2011 from $0.26 \%$ during the first quarter of 2010 . See the Liquidity and Capital Resources discussion below for additional information.

Other interest and dividends revenue increased $111 \%$ ( $\$ 1.0$ million) to $\$ 1.9$ million quarter-over-quarter due primarily to $\$ 0.7$ million of interest income recognized for the interest paid by general partners in connection with partnership loans. See further discussion of these loans in Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Other Revenue

Other revenue increased slightly quarter-over-quarter. The increase between quarters is primarily attributable to the change in value in the investments held related to the Partnership's non-qualified deferred compensation plan and foreign currency translation gains. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in transitional services revenue, resulting from the Transitional Services Agreement related to the sale of the Partnership's U.K. subsidiary, further described in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.
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## Operating Expenses

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 25, 2011 | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 26, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Operating expenses (\$ millions): |  |  |  |  |
| Compensation and benefits | \$ 712.7 | \$ 600.3 | \$112.4 | 19\% |
| Occupancy and equipment | 89.3 | 85.8 | 3.5 | 4\% |
| Communications and data processing | 71.9 | 72.0 | (0.1) | 0\% |
| Payroll and other taxes | 48.6 | 42.7 | 5.9 | 14\% |
| Advertising | 15.8 | 21.1 | (5.3) | -25\% |
| Postage and shipping | 11.5 | 12.9 | (1.4) | -11\% |
| Clearance fees | 3.4 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 21\% |
| Other operating expenses | 35.5 | 34.7 | 0.8 | 2\% |
| Total operating expenses | \$988.7 | \$872.3 | \$116.4 | 13\% |
| Related metrics: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of branches | 11,406 | 11,244 | 162 | 1\% |
| Full-time equivalent employees: |  |  |  |  |
| Financial advisors: |  |  |  |  |
| At period end | 12,482 | 12,717 | (235) | -2\% |
| Average | 12,496 | 12,695 | (199) | -2\% |
| Branch employees: |  |  |  |  |
| At period end | 12,878 | 12,381 | 497 | 4\% |
| Average | 12,891 | 12,302 | 589 | 5\% |
| Headquarters employees: |  |  |  |  |
| At period end | 4,902 | 4,858 | 44 | 1\% |
| Average | 4,893 | 4,848 | 45 | 1\% |
| Headquarters employees per 100 financial advisors (average) | 39.2 | 38.2 | 1.0 | 3\% |
| Branch employees per 100 financial advisors (average) | 103.2 | 96.9 | 6.3 | 7\% |
| Operating expenses per average financial advisor ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$40,248 | \$38,931 | \$1,317 | 3\% |

${ }^{(1)}$ Operating expenses used in calculation represents total operating expenses less financial advisor and variable compensation.
Operating expenses increased $13 \%$ ( $\$ 116.4$ million) to $\$ 988.7$ million compared to first quarter 2010 primarily due to increases in compensation and benefits and related payroll taxes.

Total compensation and benefits costs increased $19 \%$ ( $\$ 112.4$ million) to $\$ 712.7$ million, primarily due to increases in financial advisor compensation and variable compensation.

Financial advisor compensation (excluding financial advisor salary and subsidy and variable compensation) increased $19 \%$ ( $\$ 64.8$ million). This increase is primarily due to increases in trade and asset-based fee revenue on which financial advisor commissions are
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paid. Financial advisor salary and subsidy decreased $22 \%$ ( $\$ 7.9$ million) due to fewer financial advisor hires participating in these compensation programs. The Partnership found that potential new financial advisors who would have to leave successful positions in a different industry or at a different firm to embrace a new opportunity at Edward Jones were reluctant to change jobs. To help address this issue, effective January 2011, the Partnership raised the guaranteed base pay to better recruit financial advisors.

Variable compensation (which includes bonuses and profit sharing paid to financial advisors, branch office employees and headquarters employees) expands and contracts in relation to revenues, income before allocations to partners and the Partnership's related profit margin. As the Partnership's financial results and profit margin improve, a significant portion is allocated to variable compensation and paid to employees in the form of increased profit sharing and bonuses. In first quarter of 2011, variable compensation increased $97 \%$ ( $\$ 42.9$ million), to $\$ 87.3$ million, as compared to $\$ 44.4$ million in first quarter of 2010. This increase is a result of the Partnership's increased profitability.

Headquarters salary and benefit expense increased $6 \%$ ( $\$ 4.4$ million) to $\$ 84.2$ million and branch salary and benefit expense increased $8 \%$ ( $\$ 8.2$ million) to $\$ 115.6$ million in the first three months of 2011 primarily due to an increase in benefits expense caused by increased healthcare costs and an increase in personnel to support increased productivity of the Partnership's financial advisor network. On a full-time equivalent ("FTE") basis, the Partnership had 4,902 headquarters employees and 12,878 branch employees as of March 25, 2011, compared to 4,858 headquarters employees and 12,381 branch employees as of March 26, 2010.

The Partnership uses the ratios of the number of headquarters employees to the number of financial advisors and the number of branch employees to financial advisors as key metrics in managing its costs. In first quarter of 2011, there were an average of 39.2 headquarters employees and 103.2 branch employees for each 100 financial advisors, compared to an average of 38.2 headquarters employees and 96.9 branch employees for each 100 financial advisors in first quarter of 2010. The increase in the average headquarters employees for each 100 financial advisor ratio is primarily the result of the decrease in the number of financial advisors quarter-over-quarter as well as an increase in headquarters employees. If the Partnership is unable to grow its financial advisor network in 2011, the average number of headquarters employees per 100 financial advisors will continue to increase in 2011, which will result in growing headquarters compensation costs at a faster rate than financial advisors. The increase in average branch employees for each 100 financial advisor ratio quarter-over-quarter is due to growth in branch employees at a higher rate than the growth in financial advisors.

The remaining operating expenses increased $1 \%$ ( $\$ 4.0$ million) primarily due to increased payroll taxes due to the above noted increase in compensation, as well as an increase in occupancy and equipment costs related to the continued expansion of the Partnership's branch office network and headquarters facilities. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in advertising costs as the Partnership has decreased its advertising efforts compared to the same period last year.
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## Segment Information

Operating segments are defined as components of an entity that has all of the following characteristics: it engages in business activities from which it may earn revenues and incur expenses; its operating results are regularly reviewed by the entity's chief operating decision-maker (or decision-making group) for resource allocation and to assess performance; and discrete financial information is available. Operating segments may be combined in certain circumstances into reportable segments for financial reporting. The Partnership has determined it has two operating and reportable segments based upon geographic location, the U.S. and Canada.

Each segment, in its own geographic location, primarily derives its revenues from the retail brokerage business through the sale of listed and unlisted securities and insurance products, investment banking, principal transactions, as a distributor of mutual fund shares and through revenues related to assets held by and account services provided to its customers.

The Partnership evaluates the performance of its segments based upon income from continuing operations as well as income before variable compensation, which is income before expenses for bonuses earned by financial advisors, headquarters and branch employees and profit sharing allocations. Variable compensation is determined at the Partnership level for profit sharing and headquarters bonus amounts, and therefore is allocated to each geographic segment independent of each segment's individual income before variable compensation. While overall Partnership profitability determines the amount of financial advisor and headquarters and branch employee bonuses, performance at the individual financial advisor level, impacts the amount of financial advisor bonus expense allocated to each reportable segment. As such, both income from continuing operations and income before variable compensation are considered in evaluating each reportable segment's financial performance.

The Canada segment information as reported in the following table is based upon the Canadian broker-dealer's Consolidated Financial Statements of the Partnership's Canadian operations without eliminating any intercompany items, such as management fees that it pays to affiliated entities. The U.S. segment information is derived from the Partnership's Consolidated Financial Statements less the Canada segment information as presented. This is consistent with how management reviews the segments in order to assess performance.
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Financial information about the Partnership's reportable segments is presented in the following table. All amounts are presented in millions, except the number of financial advisors and as otherwise noted.

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 25, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 26, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Net revenue: |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States of America | \$1,061.1 |  | 908.4 | \$152.7 | 17\% |
| Canada | 45.2 |  | 40.4 | 4.8 | 12\% |
| Total net revenue | 1,106.3 |  | 948.8 | 157.5 | 17\% |
| Operating expenses (excluding variable compensation): |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States of America | 855.3 |  | 782.6 | 72.7 | 9\% |
| Canada | 46.1 |  | 45.3 | 0.8 | 2\% |
| Total operating expenses | 901.4 |  | 827.9 | 73.5 | 9\% |
| Pre-variable income (loss): |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States of America | 205.8 |  | 125.8 | 80.0 | 64\% |
| Canada | (0.9) |  | (4.9) | 4.0 | 82\% |
| Total pre-variable income | 204.9 |  | 120.9 | 84.0 | 69\% |
| Variable compensation: |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States of America | 84.6 |  | 42.8 | 41.8 | 98\% |
| Canada | 2.7 |  | 1.6 | 1.1 | 69\% |
| Total variable compensation | 87.3 |  | 44.4 | 42.9 | 97\% |
| Net income (loss): |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States of America | 121.2 |  | 83.0 | 38.2 | 46\% |
| Canada | (3.6) |  | (6.5) | 2.9 | 45\% |
| Total net income | $\overline{\$ 117.6}$ |  | 76.5 | \$41.1 | 54\% |
| Customer assets under care (\$ billions): |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States of America |  |  |  |  |  |
| At period end | \$ 575.8 |  | 518.9 | \$ 56.9 | 11\% |
| Average | \$ 570.1 | \$ | 505.4 | \$ 64.7 | 13\% |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |
| At period end | \$ 16.2 |  | 14.0 | \$ 2.2 | 16\% |
| Average | \$ 16.0 |  | 13.3 | \$ 2.7 | 20\% |
| Financial advisors: |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States of America |  |  |  |  |  |
| At period end | 11,858 |  | 12,027 | (169) | -1\% |
| Average | 11,873 |  | 12,004 | (131) | -1\% |
| Canada |  |  |  |  |  |
| At period end | 624 |  | 690 | (66) | -10\% |
| Average | 622 |  | 691 | (69) | -10\% |
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## United States of America

Net revenue increased $17 \%$ ( $\$ 152.7$ million) in the first quarter of 2011 from the same period in 2010 primarily due to increases in trade revenue ( $\$ 44.2$ million), service fees ( $\$ 19.4$ million) and advisory programs revenue ( $\$ 83.9$ million), partially offset by a decrease in net interest income ( $\$ 1.1$ million) and money market fees (\$0.9).

The increase in trade revenue is primarily due to an $8 \%$ ( $\$ 1.6$ billion) increase in customer dollars invested to $\$ 21.2$ billion for the first quarter of 2011 from $\$ 19.6$ billion for the same period in 2010. Additionally, margins earned on each $\$ 1,000$ invested by customers increased to $\$ 24.30$ in the first three months of 2011 from $\$ 23.90$ in the first three months of 2010 due to higher margins earned on mutual funds and insurance products. Service fee revenue increased as higher equity market values in the first three months of 2011 lifted the average asset values on which service fees are earned. Advisory programs fee revenue increased due to significant growth of customer assets under care which increased $81 \%$ ( $\$ 25.6$ billion). Net interest income decreased due primarily to an increase in interest expense partially offset by increases in interest income. Interest expense increased as a result of increased minimum 7.5\% annual return on the additional limited partnership interests outstanding in 2011 as compared to 2010 due to the limited partnership offering completed January 2011. Interest income increased due to an increase in the average aggregate customer loan balance, partially offset by a decrease in the average rate earned on those customer loan balances. The decrease in money market revenue is primarily due to a decrease in average asset values quarter-over-quarter. This decrease was partially offset by a decrease in the voluntary reduction in certain fees earned by the Partnership in order to maintain a positive customer yield, which began in March 2009, and reduced the Partnership's revenue by $\$ 20.4$ million in the first quarter of 2011 , as compared to a reduction of revenue of $\$ 22.8$ million in the first quarter of 2010 , therefore resulting in an increase in revenue of $\$ 2.4$ million quarter-over-quarter.

Operating expenses (excluding variable compensation) increased $9 \%$ ( $\$ 72.7$ million) primarily due to increases in financial advisor compensation ( $\$ 61.8$ million), headquarters and branch salary and fringe benefits ( $\$ 12.1$ million) and payroll and other taxes ( $\$ 5.6$ million). These increases were partially offset by a decrease in financial advisor salary and subsidy expense ( $\$ 6.8$ million). The increases in financial advisor compensation and payroll and other taxes were due to increases in trade and asset-based fee revenue on which financial advisor commissions are paid. Headquarters and branch salary and fringe benefits expense increased as the Partnership added personnel to support increased productivity of its financial advisor network. Financial advisor salary and subsidy decreased due to fewer financial advisor hires participating in these compensation programs.

## Canada

Net revenue increased $12 \%$ ( $\$ 4.8$ million) in the first quarter of 2011 from the same period in 2010 primarily due to increases in trade revenue ( $\$ 3.9$ million) and asset-based fee revenue ( $\$ 2.5$ million). These increases were partially offset by a decrease in other revenue ( $\$ 2.9$ million). Trade revenue increased due to higher customer dollars invested, which increased $16 \%$ ( $\$ 155.1$ million), from $\$ 968.4$ million in the first quarter of 2010 to $\$ 1.1$ billion in the first quarter of 2011. Asset-based fee revenue has increased due to the increase in average asset values on which these fees are earned, mostly due to the overall improved market conditions quarter-overquarter. Other revenue primarily decreased due to a $\$ 3.5$ million decrease in foreign currency gains due to the revaluation of U.S. dollar denominated balance sheet accounts.
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Operating expenses (excluding variable compensation) increased $2 \%$ ( $\$ 0.8$ million) in first quarter 2011 from first quarter 2010 primarily due to a $\$ 3.0$ million increase in financial advisor compensation resulting from an increase in revenue on which commissions are paid. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in financial advisor salary and subsidy ( $\$ 1.1$ million), which decreased due to fewer financial advisor hires participating in these compensation programs.

As a result, the pre-variable loss for the Canadian business segment improved from a loss of $\$ 4.9$ million in first quarter of 2010 to a loss of $\$ 0.9$ million in first quarter of 2011 , an improvement of $82 \%$ ( $\$ 4.0$ million).

This improvement to the financial results of the Canadian business segment is due in part to the Partnership's focus on a plan to accelerate the path to profitability for the Canadian segment ("Canada Plan to Profitability"). The Canada Plan to Profitability includes several strategic initiatives to increase revenue and reduce expenses. The revenue initiatives included the roll out of a managed fee-based program as well as other new products or enhancements, launched throughout 2010. Expense reduction efforts put into place throughout 2010 included a change to new financial advisor compensation, conversion of certain communication systems to lower-cost options and review and renegotiation of several vendor contracts. These initiatives put into place throughout 2010 have improved the financial results of the Canadian business segment for the quarter ended March 25, 2011 as compared to the quarter ended March 26, 2010.

However, the fact that the Partnership has had lower financial advisor recruits and a decrease in the number of financial advisors could impact the Partnership's ability to grow revenue in the future, and thus could impact the ability of the Partnership to reach its plan to profitability for the Canadian business segment.

## REGULATORY REFORM

Financial Services Regulatory Reform. The SEC is responsible for implementing a series of regulatory initiatives under the DoddFrank Act. Among numerous other provisions, the Dodd-Frank Act directs the SEC to study existing practices in the industry and grants the SEC discretionary rulemaking authority to establish, among other things, comparable standards of conduct for brokerdealers and investment advisers when providing personalized investment advice about securities to retail customers and such other customers as the SEC provides by rule. For further discussion of this Act, see "Legislative and Regulatory Initiatives" risk factor in Part I, Item 1A - Risk Factors section in the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Healthcare Reform. The PPACA was signed into law on March 23, 2010. PPACA requires employers to provide affordable coverage with a minimum essential benefit to full-time employees or pay a financial penalty. The bill contains provisions that go into effect over the next several years that expand employee eligibility for the Partnership's medical plan and places limits on plan design. Regulatory guidance required to fully assess the impact of this law is still forthcoming. Accordingly, the Partnership is not yet able to determine the full potential financial impact on its operating results. However, the Partnership has performed an initial evaluation of the impact
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of the increase in dependent eligibility applicable to 2011 and related premiums to employees and has determined that this element of the reform is not expected to have a material impact on the Partnership's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Rule 12b-1 Fees. The Partnership receives various payments in connection with the purchase, sale and holding of mutual fund shares by its clients. Those payments include "Rule $12 \mathrm{~b}-1$ fees" and expense reimbursements. Rule $12 \mathrm{~b}-1$ allows a mutual fund to pay distribution and marketing expenses out of the funds assets. The SEC currently does not limit the size of Rule 12b-1 fees that funds may pay. FINRA does impose such limitations. However, on July 21, 2010 the SEC proposed reform of Rule 12b-1 under the ICA. The proposal includes: rescission of Rule $12 \mathrm{~b}-1$ and a proposed new Rule $12 \mathrm{~b}-2$ which would allow funds to deduct a fee on an annual basis of up to 25 basis points to pay for distribution expenses without a cumulative cap on this fee. Additionally, the proposal includes other amendments that would permit funds to deduct an asset-based distribution fee in which the fund may deduct ongoing sales charges with no annual limit, but cumulatively the asset-based distribution fee could not exceed the amount of the highest frontend load for a particular fund. The proposed rule also allows funds to create and distribute a class of shares at net asset value and dealers could establish their own fee schedule. The proposal includes additional requirements for disclosure on trade confirmations and in fund documents. These proposed rules have not been enacted and the Partnership cannot predict with any certainty whether or which of these proposals will be enacted in their current form, revised form or not enacted at all. In addition, the Partnership is not yet able to determine the potential financial impact on its operating results, related to this proposed reform of Rule 12b-1.

## MUTUAL FUNDS AND ANNUITIES

The Partnership derived $69 \%$ and $66 \%$ of its total revenue from sales and services related to mutual fund and annuity products in the first quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively. In addition, the Partnership derived $20 \%$ and $24 \%$ of its total revenue from one mutual fund vendor in the first three months of 2011 and 2010, respectively. All of the revenue generated from this vendor relates to business conducted with the Partnership's U.S. segment.

Significant reductions in the revenues from this mutual fund source due to regulatory reform or other changes to the Partnership's relationship with mutual fund vendors could have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's results of operations.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Partnership requires liquidity to cover its operating expenses, net capital requirements, capital expenditures, debt repayment obligations and redemptions of partnership interests. The principal sources for meeting the Partnership's liquidity requirements include existing liquidity and capital resources of the Partnership and funds generated from operations. The Partnership believes that the liquidity provided by these sources will be sufficient to meet its capital and liquidity requirements for the next twelve months. Depending on conditions in the capital markets and other factors, the Partnership will, from time to time, consider the issuance of debt and additional partnership capital, the proceeds of which could be used to meet growth needs or for other purposes.
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## Partnership Capital

A portion of the Partnership's growth in capital has historically been through the sale of limited partnership interests to its employees and existing limited partners, the sale of subordinated limited partnership interests to its current or retiring general partners and retention of general partner earnings.

The Partnership completed a limited partnership offering on January 3, 2011 with $\$ 223.9$ million limited partner capital accepted. The Partnership intends to use the proceeds of the limited partnership offering for working capital and general corporate purposes, including continued satisfaction of regulatory net capital requirements. For further information on the 2011 limited partnership offering, refer to the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The Partnership's capital subject to mandatory redemption at March 25, 2011, net of reserve for anticipated withdrawals, was $\$ 1.7$ billion, an increase of $\$ 230.1$ million from December 31, 2010, which includes the proceeds of the limited partnership offering discussed above. The increase in the Partnership's capital subject to mandatory redemption is primarily due to the retention of general partner earnings ( $\$ 20.3$ million) and the issuance of limited partner, subordinated limited partner and general partner interests ( $\$ 223.6$ million, $\$ 26.3$ million and $\$ 102.3$ million, respectively), partially offset by redemption of limited partner, subordinated limited partner and general partner interests ( $\$ 2.0$ million, $\$ 0.9$ million and $\$ 51.2$ million, respectively) and partnership loans outstanding ( $\$ 88.3$ million). The Partnership Agreement provides, subject to the Managing Partner's discretion, that it is the intention, but not requirement, of the Partnership to retain approximately $23 \%$ of income allocated to general partners. During the quarters ended March 25, 2011 and March 26, 2010, the Partnership retained $23.0 \%$ and $27.6 \%$, respectively, of income allocated to general partners. Beginning in 2011, the Partnership decreased the amount of retention to approximately $23 \%$ from $28 \%$ of net income allocated to general partners. If individual income tax rates increase, the Partnership may, in the future, decrease the percentage of retained net income further.

Under the terms of the Partnership Agreement, a partner's capital is required to be redeemed by the Partnership in the event of the partner's death, resignation or termination from the Partnership, subject to compliance with ongoing regulatory capital requirements. In the event of a partner's death, the Partnership must generally redeem the partner's capital within six months. The Partnership has withdrawal restrictions in place limiting the amount of capital that can be withdrawn at the discretion of the individual partner. Further, under the terms of the Partnership Agreement, limited partners withdrawing from the Partnership due to the partner's termination or resignation from the Partnership are to be repaid their capital in three equal annual installments beginning the month after their resignation or termination. The capital of general partners resigning or terminating from the Partnership is usually converted to subordinated limited partnership capital or, at the discretion of the Managing Partner, redeemed by the Partnership. Subordinated limited partners are repaid their capital in six equal annual installments beginning the month after their request for withdrawal of contributed capital. The Partnership's Managing Partner has discretion to waive these withdrawal restrictions and to accelerate the return of capital.

Partnership capital consists of capital contributions made by individual limited partners, general partners and subordinated limited partners of the Partnership. Effective January 2011, general partners may elect to finance a portion or all of their purchase of partnership interests through loans made available from the Partnership.
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Loans made by the Partnership to general partners are generally for a period of one year and bear interest at the prime rate, as defined in the loan documents. The Partnership will recognize interest income for the interest paid by general partners in connection with such loans. General partners borrowing from the Partnership will be required to repay such loans by applying the majority of earnings received from the Partnership to such loans, net of amounts retained by the Partnership and amounts distributed for income taxes. However, any bank loans held by a general partner will be repaid prior to any application of earnings towards that partner's Partnership loan. The Partnership will have full recourse against any general partner that defaults on loan obligations to the Partnership. The Partnership does not anticipate that these changes will have a significant impact on the Partnership's liquidity or capital resources.

In addition, the Partnership has not and will not provide loans to members of the Executive Committee. Executive Committee members who require financing for some or all of their individual partner capital contributions will continue to have a loan directly with banks willing to provide such financing on an individual basis.

Any purchases of partnership interests financed through banks are unsecured bank loan agreements and are between the individual and the bank. The bank loans of the individual general partners, obtained for new general partner capital purchases prior to 2011, are one year term loans due on February 24, 2012, which are subject to annual renewal and have no required principal payments prior to maturity. The current bank loans of the individual subordinated limited and limited partners are due on January 3, 2012 and also have no required principal payments prior to that time. The Partnership does not guarantee these bank loans nor can the individual general, subordinated limited or limited partners pledge their partnership interest as collateral for the bank loan.

Additionally, the Partnership has performed certain administrative functions in connection with its partners who have elected to finance a portion of the purchase of partnership interests through individual unsecured bank loan agreements from banks with whom the Partnership has other banking relationships. For all individual purchases financed through such agreements, the individual partners provide an irrevocable letter of instruction instructing the Partnership to apply the proceeds from the liquidation of that individual's capital account to the repayment of their bank loan prior to any funds being released to the partner. In addition, the partner is required to apply partnership earnings, net of any firm retention and any distributions to pay taxes, to service the interest and principal on the bank loan. Should a subordinated limited or limited partner's individual bank loan not be renewed upon maturity for any reason, the Partnership could experience increased requests for capital liquidations, which could adversely impact the Partnership's liquidity.

Individual partners who finance all or a portion of their partnership interest with bank financing may be more likely to request the withdrawal of capital to meet bank financing requirements should the individual partners experience a period of reduced earnings, including potential operating losses. As a partnership, any withdrawals by general partners, subordinated limited partners or limited partners would reduce the Partnership's available liquidity and capital.
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As mentioned above, many of the same banks that provide financing to individual partners also provide various forms of financing to the Partnership. To the extent these banks increase credit available to the individual partners, financing available to the Partnership may be reduced.

The Partnership, while not a party to any individual partner unsecured bank loan agreements, does facilitate making payments of allocated income to certain banks on behalf of the partner. The following table represents amounts related to individual partnership loans as well as bank loans (for which the Partnership facilitates certain administrative functions). Individual partners may have arranged their own bank loans to finance their partnership capital for which the Partnership does not facilitate certain administrative functions and therefore any such loans are not included in the table.

| (Dollars in thousands) | As of March 25, 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Limited <br> Partnership <br> Interests | Subordinated <br> Limited <br> Partnership <br> Interests |  | General Partnership Interests | Total <br> Partnership Capital |
| Partnership capital ${ }^{*}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total partnership capital | \$672,865 | \$ | 247,366 | \$894,911 | \$1,815,142 |
| Partnership capital owned by partners through individual loans | \$440,919 | \$ | 656 | \$429,761 | \$ 871,336 |
| Partnership capital owned by partners with individual loans as a percent of total partnership capital | 65.5\% |  | 0.3\% | 48.0\% | 48.0\% |
| Individual loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individual bank loans | \$ 142,397 | \$ | 225 | \$ 91,472 | \$ 234,094 |
| Individual partnership loans | - |  | - | 88,345 | 88,345 |
| Total individual loans | \$142,397 | \$ | 225 | \$179,817 | \$ 322,439 |
| Individual loans as a percent of total partnership capital | 21.2\% |  | 0.1\% | 20.1\% | 17.8\% |
| Individual loans as a percent of partnership capital owned by partners with individual loans | 32.3\% |  | 34.3\% | 41.8\% | 37.0\% |

* Partnership capital, as defined for this table, is before the reduction of partnership loans and is net of reserve for anticipated withdrawals.

Historically, neither the amount of partnership capital financed with individual loans as indicated in the table above, nor the amount of individual partner capital withdrawal requests has had a significant impact on the Partnership's liquidity or capital resources.
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## Partnership Debt

The following table shows the composition of the Partnership's aggregate bank lines of credit in place as of:

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 25, \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Committed unsecured credit facilities: |  |  |  |
| 2010 Credit Facility | \$ - | \$ | 320,000 |
| 2011 Credit Facility | 395,000 |  | - |
| Total committed | 395,000 |  | 320,000 |
| Uncommitted credit facilities: |  |  |  |
| Secured | 595,000 |  | 595,000 |
| Unsecured | - |  | 50,000 |
| Total uncommitted | 595,000 |  | 645,000 |
| Total bank lines of credit | \$990,000 | \$ | 965,000 |

In April 2010, the Partnership entered into an agreement with eight banks for a $\$ 320$ million committed unsecured revolving line of credit ("2010 Credit Facility"), which had a final maturity date of April 20, 2011. The 2010 Credit Facility was intended to provide short-term liquidity to the Partnership should the need arise.

In March 2011, the Partnership replaced the 2010 Credit Facility by entering into an agreement with 10 banks for a three year $\$ 395$ million committed unsecured revolving line of credit ("2011 Credit Facility"), which has a final maturity date of March 18, 2014. The 2011 Credit Facility is intended to provide short-term liquidity to the Partnership should the need arise. The 2011 Credit Facility has a tiered interest rate margin based on the Partnership's leverage ratio (ratio of total debt to total capitalization). Borrowings made with a three-day-advance notice will have a rate of LIBOR plus a margin ranging from $1.50 \%$ to $2.25 \%$. Same-day borrowings, which are subject to certain borrowing notification cutoff times, will have a rate consisting of a margin ranging from $0.50 \%$ to $1.25 \%$ plus the greater of the prime rate, the federal funds effective rate plus $1.00 \%$ or the one month LIBOR rate plus $1.00 \%$. In accordance with the 2011 Credit Facility, the Partnership is required to maintain a leverage ratio of no more than $35 \%$ and minimum partnership capital, net of reserve for anticipated withdrawals, of at least $\$ 1.2$ billion plus $50 \%$ of subsequent issuances of partnership capital. As of May 6, 2011, the Partnership has not borrowed against the 2011 Credit Facility.

The Partnership's uncommitted lines of credit are subject to change at the discretion of the banks and, therefore, due to credit market conditions and the uncommitted nature of these credit facilities, it is possible that these lines of credit could decrease or not be available in the future. During the first quarter of 2011, the Partnership's uncommitted lines of credit were reduced by $\$ 50.0$ million by a bank participating in the Partnership's agreement for the 2011 Credit Facility. This decrease reduced the aggregated uncommitted bank lines of credit to $\$ 595.0$ million.
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Actual borrowing availability on the secured lines is based on customer margin securities and Partnership securities, which serve as collateral on loans. There were no amounts outstanding on the uncommitted lines of credit as of March 25, 2011 and December 31, 2010. In addition, the Partnership did not have any draws against these lines of credits during the three months ended March 25, 2011 or March 26, 2010.

The following table shows the activity for the Partnership's long-term debt agreements during the quarter-ended March 25, 2011:

| (Dollars in thousands) |  | ember 31, $2010$ |  | wings | Payments |  | 25,2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity during period: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 Fixed Rate Mortgage | \$ | 7,427 | \$ | - | \$ (225) | \$ | 7,202 |
| 2009 Office Equipment Note Payable |  | 23,694 |  | - | $(1,875)$ |  | 21,819 |
| 2009 Fixed Rate Mortgage |  | 35,276 |  | - | (326) |  | 34,950 |
| Total long-term debt | \$ | 66,397 | \$ | - | \$(2,426) | \$ | 63,971 |

In 2009, the Partnership entered into a financing agreement with three banks to fund up to $\$ 30.0$ million for purchases of office equipment. The Partnership has borrowed the entire $\$ 30.0$ million under this financing agreement and each borrowing will be repaid over a term of 48 months at a rate of 315 basis points ( $3.15 \%$ ) in excess of the one-month LIBOR rate and is secured by the equipment being financed.

In addition, the Partnership also entered into a $\$ 36.4$ million fixed rate mortgage in 2009, collateralized by a headquarters building and related parking garage located on its St. Louis, Missouri, north campus location. The loan has a fixed monthly principal and interest payment beginning February 1, 2010 through December 1, 2012. On the maturity date of December 22, 2012, the entire unpaid balance plus accrued interest, totaling $\$ 32.7$ million, is due. The fixed interest rate of $3.5 \%$ is contingent upon the Partnership, or a subsidiary, maintaining a matching interest bearing deposit account with the lender in an amount equal to or exceeding the principal amount. If the matching deposit is withdrawn, the interest rate converts to a floating rate of the one-month LIBOR rate plus $5.0 \%$. The matching deposit is currently maintained by Edward Jones, and the Partnership anticipates that the matching deposit will continue to be maintained throughout the life of the loan.

In 2002, the Partnership entered into a $\$ 13.1$ million fixed rate mortgage on a headquarters building located on its Tempe, Arizona campus location, which has monthly principal and interest payments ending June 1, 2017. The note payable is collateralized by the related building.

As of March 25, 2011, the Partnership is in compliance with all covenants related to the previously mentioned debt agreements. For further details on covenants, see discussion regarding debt covenants in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## Cash Activity

As of March 25, 2011, the Partnership had $\$ 117.5$ million in cash and cash equivalents and $\$ 1.2$ billion in securities purchased under agreements to resell, which have maturities of less than one week. This totals $\$ 1.3$ billion of Partnership liquidity as of March 25, 2011, a 30\% ( $\$ 0.3$ billion) increase from $\$ 1.0$ billion at December 31, 2010. In addition, the Partnership also had $\$ 3.7$ billion and $\$ 3.6$ billion in cash and investments segregated under federal regulations at March 25, 2011 and March 26, 2010, respectively, which was not available for general use.

During the first quarter of 2011, cash and cash equivalents of $\$ 117.5$ million increased $\$ 29.9$ million from $\$ 87.6$ million as of December 31, 2010. Cash used in operating activities was $\$ 50.5$ million. The primary sources of cash used in operating activities include a net increase in assets ( $\$ 306.6$ million), partially offset by income before allocations to partners ( $\$ 117.6$ million) adjusted for depreciation expense ( $\$ 23.0$ million) and a net increase in liabilities ( $\$ 115.5$ million). During the first quarter of 2011, cash used in investing activities was $\$ 15.0$ million consisting of capital expenditures supporting the growth of the Partnership's operations and for the construction of a new parking garage as noted above. During the first quarter of 2011, cash provided by financing activities was $\$ 95.4$ million, consisting primarily of issuance of partnership interests ( $\$ 262.9$ million) and repayment of partnership loans ( $\$ 1.0$ million), partially offset by partnership withdrawals and distributions ( $\$ 112.0$ million), redemption of partnership interests ( $\$ 54.1$ million) and repayment of debt ( $\$ 2.4$ million).

## Regulatory Requirements

As a result of its activities as a U.S. broker-dealer, Edward Jones, is subject to the net capital provisions of Rule 15c3-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") and withdrawal rules of the FINRA Rule 4110. Under the alternative method permitted by the rules, Edward Jones must maintain minimum net capital, as defined, equal to the greater of $\$ 0.25$ million or $2 \%$ of aggregate debit items arising from customer transactions. The net capital rules also provide that partnership capital may not be withdrawn if the resulting net capital would be less than minimum requirements. Additionally, certain withdrawals require the approval of the SEC and FINRA to the extent they exceed defined levels, even though such withdrawals would not cause net capital to be less than $5 \%$ of aggregate debit items. As of March 25, 2011, Edward Jones' net capital of $\$ 731.8$ million was $34.3 \%$ of aggregate debit items and its net capital in excess of the minimum required was $\$ 689.1$ million. Net capital as a percentage of aggregate debit items after anticipated withdrawals was $31.7 \%$. Net capital and the related capital percentage may fluctuate on a daily basis. As of March 25, 2011, the Partnership's Canadian broker-dealer's regulatory risk adjusted capital of $\$ 46.5$ million was $\$ 35.6$ million in excess of the capital that IIROC requires to be held. In addition, EJTC was in compliance with regulatory capital requirements in the jurisdiction in which it operates.

## OFF BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

The Partnership does not have any significant off-balance-sheet arrangements.
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## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Partnership's financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which may require judgment and involve estimation processes to determine its assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses which affect its results of operations.

The Partnership believes that of its significant accounting policies, the following critical policies require estimates that involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity.

Customers' transactions are recorded on a settlement date basis with the related revenue and expenses recorded on a trade date basis. The Partnership may be exposed to risk of loss in the event customers, other brokers and dealers, banks, depositories or clearing organizations are unable to fulfill contractual obligations. For transactions in which it extends credit to customers, the Partnership seeks to control the risks associated with these activities by requiring customers to maintain margin collateral in compliance with various regulatory and internal guidelines. Securities owned and sold, not yet purchased, including inventory securities and investment securities, are recorded at fair value.

The Partnership provides for potential losses that may arise out of litigation, regulatory proceedings and other contingencies to the extent that such losses can be estimated, in accordance with ASC No. 450, Contingencies. See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Part I, Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Mutual Funds and Annuities and Part II, Item 1 - Legal Proceedings for further discussion of these items. The Partnership regularly monitors its exposures for potential losses. The Partnership's total liability with respect to litigation and regulatory proceedings represents the best estimate of probable losses after considering, among other factors, the progress of each case, the Partnership's experience and discussions with legal counsel.

The Partnership's periodic evaluation of the estimated useful lives of equipment, property and improvements is based on the original life determined at the time of purchase and any events or changes in circumstances that would result in a change in the useful life.

Included in Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk and in the notes to the financial statements (see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements), are additional discussions of the Partnership's accounting policies.

## THE EFFECTS OF INFLATION

The Partnership's net assets are primarily monetary, consisting of cash and cash equivalents, cash and investments segregated under federal regulations, securities owned and receivables less liabilities. Monetary net assets are primarily short-term in nature and would not be significantly affected by inflation. Inflation and future expectations of inflation influence securities prices, as well as activity levels in the securities markets. As a result, profitability and capital may be impacted by inflation and inflationary expectations. Additionally, inflation's impact on the Partnership's operating expenses may affect profitability to the extent that additional costs are not recoverable through increased prices of services offered by the Partnership.
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## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report on Form 10-Q, and in particular Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of the words "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate," "project," "will," "should," and other expressions which predict or indicate future events and trends and which do not relate to historical matters. You should not rely on forward-looking statements, because they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, some of which are beyond the control of the Partnership. These risks, uncertainties and other factors may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Partnership to be materially different from the anticipated future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements.

Some of the factors that might cause differences include, but are not limited to, the following: (1) general economic conditions; (2) regulatory actions; (3) changes in legislation; (4) actions of competitors; (5) litigation; (6) the ability of customers, other brokerdealers, banks, depositories and clearing organizations to fulfill contractual obligations; (7) changes in interest rates; (8) changes in technology; (9) a fluctuation or decline in the fair value of securities; and (10) securities theft. These forward-looking statements were based on information, plans, and estimates at the date of this report, and the Partnership does not undertake to update any forwardlooking statements to reflect changes in underlying assumptions or factors, new information, future events or other changes.
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The SEC requires market risk disclosures of accounting policies for derivatives and other financial instruments and to provide quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk inherent in derivatives and other financial instruments. Various levels of management within the Partnership manage the Partnership's risk exposure. Position limits in trading and inventory accounts are established and monitored on an ongoing basis. Credit risk related to various financing activities is reduced by the industry practice of obtaining and maintaining collateral. The Partnership monitors its exposure to counterparty risk through the use of credit exposure information, the monitoring of collateral values and the establishment of credit limits. For further discussion of monitoring, see the Risk Management discussion in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of the Partnership's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

The Partnership is exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates. Such changes in interest rates impact the income from interest earning assets, primarily receivables from customers on margin balances and short-term investments, which averaged $\$ 2.2$ billion and $\$ 4.9$ billion for the quarter ended March 25,2011 , respectively. The changes in interest rates may also have an impact on the expense related to liabilities that finance these assets, such as amounts payable to customers and other interest and non-interest bearing liabilities.

The Partnership performed an analysis of its financial instruments and assessed the related interest rate risk and materiality in accordance with the SEC rules. Under current market conditions and based on current levels of interest earning assets and the liabilities that finance these assets, the Partnership estimates that a 100 basis point $(1.00 \%)$ increase in short-term interest rates could increase its annual net interest income by approximately $\$ 32.0$ million. Conversely, the Partnership estimates that a 100 basis point $(1.00 \%)$ decrease in short-term interest rates could decrease the Partnership's annual net interest income by approximately $\$ 7.0$ million. A decrease in short-term interest rates currently has a less significant impact on net interest income due to the current low interest rate environment. The Partnership has two distinct types of interest bearing assets: customer receivables from margin accounts and short-term, primarily overnight, investments, which are primarily comprised of cash and investments segregated under federal regulations and securities purchased under agreements to resell. These investments have earned interest at an average rate of approximately 21 basis points $(0.21 \%)$ in the first three months of 2011 , and therefore the financial dollar impact of further declines in rates is minimal. The Partnership has put in place an interest rate floor for the interest charged related to its customer margin loans, which helps to limit the negative impact of declining interest rates.

In addition to the interest earning assets and liabilities noted above, the Partnership's revenue earned related to its minority ownership interest in the advisor to the Edward Jones money market funds is also impacted by changes in interest rates. As noted in previous discussions, as a $49.5 \%$ limited partner of Passport Research Ltd., the investment adviser to some of the money market funds made available to Edward Jones customers, the Partnership receives a portion of the income of the investment adviser. Due to the current historically low interest rate environment, the investment adviser voluntarily chose (beginning in March 2009) to reduce certain fees charged to the funds to a level that will maintain a positive customer yield on the funds. This reduction of fees reduced the Partnership's revenue by a total of $\$ 20.4$ million for the quarter ended March 25, 2011 and is expected to continue at that level in future periods, based upon the current interest rate environment. Alternatively, if the interest rate environment improved such that this reduction in fees was no longer necessary to maintain a positive customer yield, the Partnership's revenue could increase annually by up to $\$ 80$ million.

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Partnership maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures which are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Partnership in the reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Partnership's certifying officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Based upon an evaluation performed as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Partnership's certifying officers, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, have concluded that the Partnership's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 25, 2011.

There have been no changes in the Partnership's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The following information supplements the discussion in Part I, Item 3 "Legal Proceedings" in the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010:

Lyondell. This lawsuit concerns a 2007 leveraged buyout in which a foreign chemical company acquired Lyondell Chemical Company from its then shareholders. The trustee of Creditor Trust alleges that the payments to the former Lyondell shareholders for their stock were constructive and intentional fraudulent transfers. Of the $\$ 5.9$ billion in alleged fraudulent transfers, plaintiff alleges that Edward Jones' customers received approximately $\$ 7$ million.

In December 2010, the same trustee, acting for a different trust created under the Lyondell plan of reorganization (the "LB Litigation Trust") filed a second complaint, in U.S. Bankruptcy Court. This complaint also asserts fraudulent transfer claims, seeks similar relief, and, names many of the same defendants, including Edward Jones, as does the Creditor Trust action. Edward Jones was served with a complaint in January 2011, and recently filed a Motion to Dismiss plaintiff's claims which is pending.

Yavapai County Litigation. This litigation relates to bonds underwritten by Edward Jones and other brokerage firms for the purpose of financing construction of an event center in Prescott Valley, Arizona. Edward Jones sold approximately $\$ 2.9$ million of the bonds. The plaintiffs allege the underwriters, including Edward Jones, made material misrepresentations and omissions in the Preliminary Official Statement and/or in the Official Statement. In January 2011, Edward Jones filed a Third-Party Complaint and Counterclaim against Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., solely in its capacity as Indenture Trustee, asserting a claim for contribution based on Wells Fargo's involvement with the bond documents and Official Statement.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in the Partnership's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit
Number
3.1 * Eighteenth Amended and Restated Agreement of Registered Limited Liability Limited Partnership of the Registrant, dated as of November 26, 2010, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K dated November 26, 2010.
3.2 * Second Amendment of Seventeenth Restated Certificate of Limited Partnership of The Jones Financial Companies, L.L.L.P., dated as of August 16, 2010, as amended, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 24, 2010.

* Eleventh Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership Agreement of Edward D. Jones \& Co., L.P., dated March 10, 2010, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.
** Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) of the Securities Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
** Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) of the Securities Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
** Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
** Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
* Incorporated by reference to previously filed exhibits.
** Filed herewith.


## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

THE JONES FINANCIAL COMPANIES, L.L.L.P.
By: /s/ James D. Weddle
James D. Weddle
Managing Partner (Principal Executive Officer) May 6, 2011

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

| $\underline{\text { Signatures }}$ | Title | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $/ \mathrm{s} /$ James D. Weddle | Managing Partner <br> (Principal Executive Officer) | May 6, 2011 |
| James D. Weddle | Chief Financial Officer <br> (Principal Financial and <br> Accounting Officer) | May 6, 2011 |
| Kevin D. Bastien |  |  |

## CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER CERTIFICATION

I, James D. Weddle, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of The Jones Financial Companies, L.L.L.P. (the "Registrant").
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
/s/ James D. Weddle
Chief Executive Officer
The Jones Financial Companies, L.L.L.P.
May 6, 2011

## CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER CERTIFICATION

I, Kevin Bastien, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of the Jones Financial Companies, L.L.L.P. (the "Registrant").
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and have:
(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
(c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial information; and
(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Kevin D. Bastien<br>Chief Financial Officer<br>The Jones Financial Companies, L.L.L.P.<br>May 6, 2011

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002
In connection with the quarterly report of The Jones Financial Companies, L.L.L.P. (the "Registrant") on Form 10-Q for the period ending March 25, 2011, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, James D. Weddle, Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:
(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.
/s/ James D. Weddle
Chief Executive Officer
The Jones Financial Companies, L.L.L.P.
May 6, 2011

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002
In connection with the quarterly report of The Jones Financial Companies, L.L.L.P. (the "Registrant") on Form 10-Q for the period ending March 25, 2011, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Kevin Bastien, Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:
(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Registrant.
/s/ Kevin D. Bastien
Chief Financial Officer
The Jones Financial Companies, L.L.L.P.
May 6, 2011

