UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

[X] ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010

[] TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from ______ to _____

Commission file number: 000-53875

ECOBLU PRODUCTS, INC.

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

Colorado (State of incorporation) 20-8677788

(IRS Employer Identification #)

909 West Vista Way Vista, CA 92083

Address of Principal Executive Offices

Registrants telephone number, including area code _____(909) 519-5470_____

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act: None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer (as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act). [] YES [X] NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to section 13 or section 15(d) of the Act.
[] YES [X] NO

Indicate by check mark whether the issuer (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. [X] YES [] NO

Indicate by check mark whether the issuer has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files. [X] YES [] NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (Section 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the Definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

[] Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer [] Non-accelerated filer [X] Smaller reporting company Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). [] YES [X] NO

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2010, was \$ 863,667 based on 3,755,118 shares at \$0.23 per share.

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's common stock as of June 30, 2010 was 75,594,333.

Table of Contents

| Business | 3 |
|---|------|
| Properties | 7 |
| Legal Proceedings | |
| Market for Registrants Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Iss | suer |
| Purchases of Equity Securities | 9 |
| Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results o | f |
| Operations | 10 |
| Financial Statements | 14 |
| Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Finance | ial |
| Disclosure | 40 |
| Controls and Procedures | 40 |
| Other Information | |
| Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | |
| Executive Compensation | 44 |
| Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Rela | ted |
| Stockholder Matters | 46 |
| Principal Accounting Fees and Services | |
| Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules | |
| Signatures | |

Business

Business Overview

Ecoblu Products, Inc. (formerly, N8 Concepts, Inc.) was incorporated in the state of Colorado on March 21, 2007. In September 2009, we discontinued our plan to market our apparel lines in consideration for the upcoming acquisition of Ecoblu Products, Inc. of Nevada ("ECOBLU"). In anticipation of the acquisition of ECOBLU, we changed our name from N8 Concepts, Inc., to Ecoblu Products, Inc. On October 7, 2009, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger with ECOBLU.

In March 2009, we affected a ten-for-one forward split of our common stock. All share and per share information has been retroactively adjusted to reflect the forward stock split.

On October 7, 2009, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger with ECOBLU. Upon completion of the acquisition and subject to the provisions of the Colorado Corporations Code, ECOBLU merged with and into us. The transaction was treated as a reverse acquisition, whereby we ceased our previous business and the business of ECOBLU continued. Prior to this transaction, we were considered to be a shell company as that term is defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

On October 19, 2009, we completed the Agreement and Plan of Merger with ECOBLU. Upon completion of the acquisition and subject to the provisions of the Colorado Corporations Code; ECOBLU was merged with and into us . We were the surviving corporation in the Merger and continued our corporate existence under the laws of the State of Colorado; the separate existence of ECOBLU ceased. All properties, franchises and rights belonging to us and ECOBLU, by virtue of the Merger and without further act or deed, is vested in the surviving corporation, Ecoblu Products, Inc. The merger between the two companies was treated for financial reporting purposes as a reverse acquisition whereby ECOBLU's operations will continue to be reported as if it had actually been the acquirer.

We are now a manufacturer of proprietary wood products coated with an eco-friendly chemistry that protects against mold, rot, decay, termites and value added fire. We believe that our products utilizing BLUWOODTM technology are the ultimate in wood protection, preservation, and fire safety to building components constructed of wood; from I-joists, beams and paneling, to floors and ceilings.

We hold a license to BLUWOOD[™] and additional marks which comprise a non-toxic, environmentally friendly wood coating chemical . This license allows Ecoblu to blend chemicals, provide coating services and sell its own line of coated lumber and engineered wood products to the construction industry and the do-it-yourself market. Ecoblu also has a license for a non-toxic flame retardant coating called AF-21, developed by Megola, Inc. When both formulas are applied to wood products, it protects against mold, rot, decay, wood ingesting insects including termites, and fire. The flame retardant coating called AF-21 is licensed for the United States. The Bluwood license is for exclusive distribution rights in various territories in the western United States and portions of Canada. The family of Ecoblu products includes dimensional lumber, joists, beams, rim board, sheathing and trim.

Wood components and engineered wood products will be manufactured and coated at the mill and distribution facilities for distribution throughout the United States and for export. These facilities will utilize our custom coating and processing equipment under a leasing agreement.

In order to stimulate rapid adoption of these coatings, Ecoblu will offer production and custom builders a comprehensive value package of coatings, lumber, engineered wood products and supporting engineering services related to design with our products within a value added price range competitive of typical uncoated lumber. Further, Ecoblu will offer an unprecedented limited lifetime warranty for its coated lumber and engineered wood products.

The Ecoblu system of coatings is non-toxic and remains chemically stable over time. The coatings do not emit volatile organic compounds (VOCs), do not leech heavy metals or toxins into groundwater, and do not allow for the growth and propagation of black molds that threaten occupant indoor air quality. The coatings are safe to handle in both their liquid and dry form. More importantly, Ecoblu coatings prevent the degradation of structural lumber that requires existing homes to be periodically rebuilt due to rot or insect damage. Trees and other resources are saved or put to better use elsewhere.

We intend to enter the market as an integrated marketer of coated lumber and engineered wood products that are warranted to be free of mold, rot, decay, infestation by insects and fire for the lifetime of the structure. We will offer all of the protection that the licensed chemistries provide in one product.

Products

Ecoblu will commence operation as a marketer of coated lumber and engineered wood products in two primary markets: (i) builders; and (ii) do-it-yourself retailers. In so doing, we will operate the following profit centers:

- Chemical manufacturer & marketer;
- Wood coating facility;
- Lumber distribution enterprise;
- Engineered wood products manufacturer;
- Cut-and-pack builders' lumberyard.

The Ecoblu product line includes dimensional lumber, wall and floor panels, I-joists, GluLam Beams, LVL beams, truss lumber and trim. These products will be coated at the mill or distributor with our proprietary formula and coating machines.

By supporting and providing value added lumber materials direct from the distributors and manufacturer, Ecoblu can create a compelling value package. This package is offered to builders, and provides the protection of Ecoblu coatings at a price that compares favorably to raw, untreated wood.

Ecoblu has administrative offices in Vista, California which also include showroom facilities which will be developed to showcase applications for our products. We have also recently opened an office in Prosper, Texas that includes warehouse space and coating facilities as well as expansion space for administrative offices.

Markets

Ecoblu will launch its coated lumber and engineered wood products (EWP) to builders and retailers in Southern California and Northeast Texas (greater Dallas) markets – those expected to rebound more quickly as the economy recovers.

Roughly 25,800 new housing units will be built during 2009 in Southern California metro areas alone, and approximately 21,000 new units will be built in the Dallas/Ft. Worth/Arlington area of Texas for a total of 46,800 new builds. This is a sufficient number of homes to generate a viable market opportunity for launching Ecoblu products, new building codes notwithstanding. Information provided from NAHB (National Association of Home Builders) and CIRB (Construction Industry Research Board)

In these same markets, new housing starts correlate to remodeling/repair ("R&R") activities, where R&R conservatively represents one-third of the value of new housing. This market is addressed through retailers such as Lowe's, Home Depot and local building supply stores.

Market Opportunity

Coated or treated lumber and wood products generated approximately \$6 billion in sales, even in a down year like 2008. Prospects are that revenues will rebound into the \$11 billion range by end of year 2010, and likely much higher because of new building codes. Information provided from NAHB (National Association of Home Builders) and CIRB (Construction Industry Research Board)

Growth can occur by several means, some occurring simultaneously:

Ecoblu increases the number and size of supplied projects with large production builders, emanating from its core markets in southern California and the greater Dallas/Northeast Texas area;

Ecoblu licenses additional lumber distributors or yards to apply Ecoblu coatings to raw lumber, and resell

Ecoblu coated and Ecoblu manufactured engineered wood products;

Ecoblu sets up and leases its coating equipment on-site at large lumber producers or mills in the Pacific Northwest, Canada and Southeast US; and

Ecoblu leases its coatings chemistry and coating machinery to facilities in Central and South America, the Caribbean, Europe, Africa and Asia

Each of these scenarios has its own potential risks and rewards. We will be guided by our results in the California and Texas launch markets. If there is interest from larger distributors, producers or wood product manufacturers, then a careful analysis will help chart the course to expanded chemical sales and licensing operations. If consumer demand picks up and production builders are willing to pay a premium for the dimensional lumber and engineered wood products, we may expand our EWP operations across the country.

To leverage our marketing dollars, we will initially publicize our sales to builders in the two launch markets, and work directly with those builders to develop a high profile for coated lumber and EWP. This will be in the form of advertising, on-site marketing materials, an elaborate "home warrant" ' registration process and other means to raise the perceived value and brand recognition of Ecoblu products and even command a price premium for homes constructed using Ecoblu products.

This would include LEEDS and Green certifications if the builder applies for such certifications. High-end custom home builders will be approached in both launch markets and will be provided materials to present to their customers, as well as assistance in applying for various certifications, grants and credits.

We will also begin to explore relationships with big-box retailers.

Competitive Advantages

Ecoblu products have a distinct advantage over non-treated lumber products in that it resists mold, rot, decay, termites and fire. Beyond those clear advantages, Ecoblu products have environmental implications as well.

Termite infestation, mold and wood rot:

Wood must be removed and disposed of in a local landfill

New trees must be sacrificed to save an existing structure

Fumigation is the only recourse for termites, the process is dirty and toxic to the atmosphere

Mold can cause serious health problems and contribute to unhealthy interior air environments

Fire inhibitor:

Reduce the risk of losing homes and commercial properties to fire ; and

Protect life and property

Coating technology:

Bluwood technology is topically applied to all wood members which provides a lower cost treatment

Prior industry methods of incising or pressure treatment to modify structural values ; and

Lower cost application technology provides for lower cost end use product

It is our belief, based upon our experience in the eco-friendly construction industry, that the use of Ecoblu products coated with BLUWOODTM technology will increase the sustainability of our forest by creating a life-long wood product that will never have to be replaced thus reducing consumption.

California is leading the country in local building codes that demand tougher standards for termite infestation, mold, fire and seismic activity. We believe California's proposed fire safety codes for engineered wood joists will create new demand for fire-retardant coatings. Ecoblu will offer mold, rot, fire and insect protection, representing a great value to builders and homeowners.

Homeowners, faced with the prospect of rebuilding all or part of their home, know firsthand how devastating this can be even if insurance pays for most of the repair.

A home riddled with termite damage or dry rot is an impending calamity during an earthquake, tornado or hurricane, as it is no longer structurally sound .

Growth Opportunities

Dimensional (framing) lumber, and engineered wood products (EWP) such as floor joists, laminated wood beams, roof trusses, rim board and structural panels will represent \$18 billion in economic activity when the housing market recovers sometime in 2010. Even in today's depressed market, the industry will still post numbers exceeding \$11 billion for all of 2009. Information provided from NAHB (National Association of Home Builders) and CIRB (Construction Industry Research Board).

By introducing Ecoblu coatings and coated products into southern California and Northeast Texas, We hope to grab market share in two large geographic markets expected to recover more quickly.

Competition

We believe that our coatings and coated wood products are positioned to capture significant market share in the coated and treated wood market. This is a mature market with large established biocide and chemical manufacturers, functionally equivalent technologies and fierce competition. However, we appear to have a unique product with a combination mold, rot, decay, termite and fire retardant coating that satisfies the proposed AC 405 & AC362. In addition AC62, AC66, ASTM D3273, ASTM D1633, ASTM E1294, ASTM G5377, AWPA STD E1-97 and AWPA E10-01 national code requirements and meets HUD standards for above ground structural and sheathing wood components.

It is likely that competitors will field their own offerings, and a few already exist in partial or equivalent form, such as FrameGuard offered by Arch Chemical and Nature Wood offered by Osmose, Inc. However, these are chemical companies that sell to independent wood treaters and lumberyards. They cannot provide an integrated construction package as described herein and therefore provide value pricing to the builder. We believe that for the foreseeable future, the integrated construction value package approach will be an Ecoblu competitive advantage.

From time to time, we will be involved in intense competition with other business entities, many of which will have a competitive edge over us by virtue of their stronger financial resources and prior experience in business. There is no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining suitable investment, financing or purchase contracts for our products.

Employees

As of June 30, 2010 Ecoblu had three full time employees consisting of Mr. Conboy, who is also a Director, Mr. Vuozzo, our General Manager and Secretary and Mr. Rod H. McKinely, our Chief Financial Officer. We anticipate that we will hire additional key staff as operations develop in areas of Chief Operating Officer, Vice President Sales and Marketing; research and development, administration and accounting, business development, operations , and sales and marketing.

We expect to use contract labor, management consultants, attorneys, accountants, engineers, and other professionals as necessary to support our management and administrative requirements. The need for employees and their availability will be addressed on a continuing basis.

Material Contract and Agreements

Purchase, Distribution & Services Agreement

On July 26, 2009, we entered into an AF21 Product, Purchase, Sales, Distribution & Service Agreement, (the "Agreement"), with Megola, Inc., the owner of technical data and intellectual property for a protective coating in order to obtain an exclusive supply of the product, together with certain distribution, marketing and sales rights. The product is a non-toxic non-corrosive fire inhibitor. Pursuant to the Agreement, we guaranteed we will purchase a minimum of four hundred fifty five (455) two hundred and forty five (245) gallon totes of product in the first twelve month period. We are required to increase the minimum quantities in the second year, to 842 totes and in the third year to 1263 totes.

On August 24, 2009, we entered into a Purchase, Distribution & Services Agreement, (the "Agreement"), with BluwoodUSA, Inc., the owner of technical data and intellectual property for protective coatings in order to obtain an exclusive supply of the product, use of the technical data, intellectual property and other information relating to the product and use of the trademarks, together with certain distribution, marketing and sales rights. The coatings provide protection against water, mold, rot, infestation and other damaging effects in wood products. Pursuant to the Agreement, we guaranteed we will purchase a minimum of fifty (50) two hundred and seventy five (275) gallon totes of product in the first twelve month period. We are required to increase the minimum quantities by 25% in the second year, to 62.5 totes. The initial term of the agreement is two years and will renew for additional one year terms without further action unless otherwise terminated.

Governmental Regulation

It is impossible to predict all future government regulation, if any, to which we may be subject until it has been in production for a period of time. The use of assets and/or conduct of business that we are pursuing will be subject to environmental, public health and safety, land use, trade, and other governmental regulations, as well as state and/or local taxation. In acquiring and/or developing businesses in the rubber and recycle industry, management will endeavor to ascertain, to the extent possible due to its current limited resources, the effects of such government regulation on our prospective business . In certain circumstances, however, such as the acquisition of an interest in a new or start-up business activity, it may not be possible to predict with any degree of accuracy the impact of all potential government regulation. The inability to ascertain the complete effect of government regulation on current or future business activity makes our business a higher risk.

Properties

We maintain our official US address of record at 909 West Vista Way, Vista, CA 92083. We do not own any properties and at this time have no agreements to acquire any properties.

Real Estate Lease – Vista, California

In June 2009, we entered into an agreement to lease warehouse and office facilities at 909 West Vista Way, Vista, CA 92083 for three years at a monthly rate of \$5,500 per month. Facilities include a 3,500 square foot building with a detached 1,200 square foot warehouse. Rent expense related to this lease was \$44,350 for the period of inception (May 20, 2009) to June 30, 2010.

Real Estate Lease – Texas Distribution Center

In May 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to lease a distribution center for three years at 300 McKinley Street, Prosper, TX 75078. Rent expense related to this lease was \$157,761 for the period of inception (May 20, 2009) to June 30, 2010. The Company did not pay the majority of its rent and was in default on this obligation. In March 2010, the Company settled this lease obligation through the transfer of equipment and chemical inventories with cost bases totaling \$128,991 in consideration for the cancellation of the Company's lease and amounts due the landlord. The Company recognized a net gain of \$21,270 on the transaction.

Real Estate Lease - Colton, California

In January 2010, the Company entered into a lease of a manufacturing facility in Colton, California for 9 months. These facilities were previously leased and utilized by a company controlled by the Company's President and majority shareholder

Legal Proceedings

EcoBlu v. Bluewood USA

Subsequent to June 30, 2010, the Company filed a legal action in San Diego Superior Court against the company with which we have signed a Purchase, Distribution and Services Agreement, for lack of performance in the delivery of chemical product and protection of sales territory. The Company has not received a formal response from this action

Express Employment v. N8 Concepts

The Company is involved in a collection proceeding in Colorado for Express Employment vs. N8 Concepts, Inc. (the predecessor to EcoBlu Products, Inc.). This is related to alleged temporary labor provided to N8/EcoBlu that was not paid for. A default judgment has not yet been entered but is estimated at approximately \$40,000.

From time to time the Company may be named in claims arising in the ordinary course of business. Currently, no legal proceedings or claims, other than those disclosed above, are pending against or involve the Company that, in the opinion of management, could reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on its business and financial condition.

Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is quoted on the over-the-counter Electronic Bulletin Board under the symbol ECOB. The table below sets forth the high and low bid prices per share of our common stock for each quarter of our last two years. These prices represent inter-dealer quotations without retail markup, markdown, or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

| Fiscal Quarter Ended | Fiscal Quarter Ended High Bid | | Low | Bid |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|------|-----|------|
| | | | | |
| <u>2010</u> | | | | |
| September 30, 2010 | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.09 |
| June 30, 2010 | \$ | 0.28 | \$ | 0.23 |
| March 31, 2010 | \$ | 1.13 | \$ | 0.33 |
| <u>2009</u> | | | | |
| December 31, 2009 | \$ | 0.51 | \$ | 0.20 |
| September 30, 2009 | \$ | 1.25 | \$ | 0.20 |
| June 30, 2009 | \$ | 1.50 | \$ | 1.00 |
| March 31, 2009 | \$ | N/A | \$ | N/A |
| | | | | |
| <u>2008</u> | | | | |
| December 31, 2008 | \$ | N/A | \$ | N/A |

At June 30, 2010, there were approximately 105 holders of record of our Common Stock. There are currently no stock options outstanding and 27,037,500 warrants outstanding to purchase shares of our Common Stock.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The EcoBlu Products, Inc. 2010 Employee and Consultant Stock Plan was adopted by majority vote of the Board of Directors on January 8, 2010. The plan authorizes 3,000,000 shares to be issued under the plan. As of August 31, 2010 a total of 729,731 shares remain available for issuance under the plan.

We have no other equity compensation plan at this time.

Dividend Policy

Since inception, no dividends have been paid on our common stock. The Company does not anticipate paying any cash dividends on its common stock in the next 12 month period. The payment of any dividends is at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

For the three month period ended June 30, 2010, the Company has not made any sales of unregistered securities that have not previously been disclosed on Form S-1 under Item 15 "Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities" filed April 29, 2010 as amended June 29, 2010 and August 13, 2010.

All sales were issued as exempted transactions under Section 4(2) and/or Regulation S of the Securities Act of 1933. They are subject to Rule 144 of the Securities Act of 1933. The recipient(s) of our securities took them for investment purposes without a view to distribution. Furthermore, they had access to information concerning our Company and our business prospects; there was no general solicitation or advertising for the purchase of our securities; and the securities are restricted pursuant to Rule 144.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations <u>Forward-Looking Statements</u>

Certain statements concerning our plans and intentions included herein may constitute forward-looking statements. There are a number of factors that may affect our future results, including, but not limited to, (a) our ability to obtain additional funding for operations, (b) the continued availability of management to develop the business plan and (c) successful development and market acceptance of our products.

This annual report may contain both historical facts and forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, those mentioned above. Moreover, future revenue and margin trends cannot be reliably predicted.

Financial Condition and Results of Operations

We had gross sales of \$ 224,499 and Cost of sales of \$229,894 resulting in a Gross loss of \$5,395 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010. Sales have not yet developed to sufficient levels to improve efficiencies and margins. Operating expenses were \$ 3,493,904; primarily comprised of consulting expense s totaling \$1,990,304, professional fees of \$ 528,085 and rent expenses totaling 419,219.

As noted in our financial statements, we are a development stage enterprise and as such have had little or no operating revenue from the period of inception through June 30, 2010, while incurring \$3,522,499 in operating losses for the same period, of which \$3,499,299 was incurred in the year ended June 30, 2010.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010 net cash used in operating activities totaled \$1,389,280; net cash used in investing activities totaled \$769,280; cash flows from financing activities provided \$2,544,094. The resulting change in cash for the year ending June 30, 2010 was a increase of \$385,534. Cash at the beginning of the period totaled \$0 resulting in \$385,534 cash at the fiscal year ending June 30, 2009.

We are unable to predict the duration, extent or trends related to the current credit and capital markets. We do expect to continue to experience difficulty financing our short term cash requirements as a result of these struggling markets and the U.S. Economy in general. Also, we may not be able to get terms favorable to the company or the existing shareholders if we are able to secure additional financing.

A critical component of our operating plan impacting our continued existence is the ability to obtain additional capital through additional equity and/or debt financing. We do not anticipate enough positive internal operating cash flow until such time as we can generate substantial revenues from business activities, which may take the next few years to realize.

Our near term cash requirements are anticipated to be offset through the receipt of funds from private placement offerings and loans obtained through private sources. Since inception, we have predominantly financed cash flow requirements through the issuance of common stock for cash.

Over the next twelve months we believe that existing capital and funds from intended operations will not be sufficient to sustain operations and planned development of those intended operations. Consequently, we will be required to seek additional capital in the future to fund growth through additional equity or debt financing or credit facilities. No assurance can be made that such financing would be available, and if available it may take either the form of debt or equity. In either case, the financing could have a negative impact on our financial condition and our Stockholders.

We may continue to incur operating losses over the next twelve months. Our operating history makes predictions of future operating results difficult to ascertain. Our prospects must be considered in light of the risks, expenses and difficulties frequently encountered by companies in their early stages of development. Such risks include, but are not limited to, an evolving and unpredictable business model and the management of growth. To address these risks we must, among other things, obtain a customer base, implement and successfully execute our business and marketing strategy, continue to develop and upgrade technology and products, respond to competitive developments, and attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in addressing such risks, and the failure to do so can have a material adverse effect on our business prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

Critical Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Ecoblu Products, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary, Ecoblu Products, Inc. of Nevada. Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are reported at the customers' outstanding balances less any allowance for doubtful accounts. Interest is not accrued on overdue accounts receivable. All of the Company's receivables are pledged as collateral for the Company's \$1,500,000 Senior Secured Convertible Notes (see Note 6).

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

An allowance for doubtful accounts on accounts receivable is charged to operations in amounts sufficient to maintain the allowance for uncollectible accounts at a level management believes is adequate to cover any probable losses. Management determines the adequacy of the allowance based on historical write-off percentages and information collected from individual customers. Accounts receivable are charged off against the allowance when collectability is determined to be permanently impaired.

Inventories

Inventories primarily consist of chemicals and lumber and are stated at lower of first-in-first out (FIFO) cost or market (net realizable value). Net realizable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business. As of June 30, 2010, there were no write-downs of inventory to net realizable value.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Property and equipment purchases with useful lives exceeding one year and major renewals and improvements are charged to the asset accounts, while replacements and maintenance and repairs that do not improve or extend the lives of the respective assets are expensed. At the time property and equipment are retired or otherwise disposed of, the asset and related accumulated depreciation accounts are relieved of the applicable amounts. Gains or losses from retirements or sales are credited or charged to income. Depreciation expense is recorded on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of assets that range from 3 to 7 years. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over their useful life or the term of the related lease, whichever is shorter. Depreciation expense is not recorded on idle property and equipment until such time as it is placed into service.

Long-Lived Assets

The Company accounts for its long-lived assets in accordance with ASC Topic 360-10-05, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." ASC Topic 360-10-05 requires that long-lived assets be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the historical cost carrying value of an asset may no longer be appropriate. The Company assesses recoverability of the carrying value of an asset by estimating the future net cash flows expected to result from the asset, including eventual disposition. If the future net cash flows are less than the carrying value of the asset, an impairment loss is recorded equal to the difference between the asset's carrying value and fair value or disposable value. At June 30, 2010, the Company determined that none of its long-term assets were impaired.

Issuances Involving Non-cash Consideration

EcoBlu Products, Inc.

All issuances of the Company's stock for non-cash consideration have been assigned a dollar amount equaling the market value of the shares issued on the date the shares were issued for such services. The non-cash consideration received pertains to debt placement fees, consulting and advisory services, debt cancellation, rent, and a related party equipment purchase (See Note 7).

Stock Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation under ACS Topic 505-50. This standard defines a fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation. In accordance with ACS Topic 505-50, the cost of stock-based compensation is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and is recognized over the vesting period. The value of the stock-based award is determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, whereby compensation cost is the excess of the fair value of the award as determined by the pricing model at the grant date or other measurement date over the amount that must be paid to acquire the stock. The resulting amount is charged to expense on the straight-line basis over the period in which the Company expects to receive the benefit, which is generally the vesting period.

Loss Per Share

The Company reports earnings (loss) per share in accordance with ASC Topic 260-10, "*Earnings per Share*." Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares available. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed similar to basic earnings (loss) per share except that the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if the potential common shares had been issued and if the additional common shares were dilutive. Diluted earnings (loss) per share has not been presented since the effect of the assumed conversion of warrants and debt to purchase common shares would have an anti-dilutive effect. Potential common shares at June 30, 2010 that have been excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share included convertible debt of \$2,092,000 convertible into 5,421,500 shares of common stock and warrants exercisable into 27,037,500 shares of common stock.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purpose of the statements of cash flows, the Company considers cash and cash equivalents to include all stable, highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affects the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Convertible Debentures

If the conversion feature of conventional convertible debt provides for a rate of conversion that is below market value, this feature is characterized as a beneficial conversion feature ("BCF"). A BCF is recorded by the Company as a debt discount pursuant to ASC Topic 470-20 "*Debt with Conversion and Other Options*." In those circumstances, the convertible debt is recorded net of the discount related to the BCF and the Company amortizes the discount to interest expense over the life of the debt using the effective interest method.

Revenue Recognition and Concentration Risk

The Company recognizes revenue from product sales at the time product is shipped and title passes to the customer. Revenue earned on non-refundable licensing fees is recognized when the licensing fees are received.

The Company had product sales revenue of \$84,971 to a single customer. Sales to this customer represented approximately 39% of the Company's total revenues.

Shipping and Handling Costs

The Company classifies shipping and handling costs associated with the receipt of product as part of cost of sales as reflected in the statement of operations. The Company classifies costs associated with shipping product to customers as part of selling expense as reflected in the statement of operations.

Plan of Operation

The Company originally intended to focus on developing a line of athletic and casual apparel and accessories. We were not able to secure any licensing relationships with any apparel or equipment companies. We have since identified an opportunity to enter the treated lumber products market.

On October 19, 2009 we completed a merger transaction to acquire EcoBlu Products, Inc. (Nevada) which was formed on May 20, 2009 as a Nevada corporation. All operations of Ecoblu Products, Inc.(Nevada) have become the operations of Ecoblu Products, Inc.(Colorado) as of that date with Ecoblu Products, Inc.(Nevada) being the disappearing corporation pursuant to the Merger Agreement.

EcoBlu Products, Inc. develops proprietary wood products coated with an eco-friendly chemistry that protects against mold, rot, decay, termites and value added fire. EcoBlu products utilizing BLUWOODTM technology is the ultimate in wood protection, preservation, and fire safety to building components constructed of wood; from I-joists, beams and paneling, to floors and ceilings.

The Company is committed to the development, marketing and sales of environmentally-responsible building materials. We have agreements authorizing us to coat wood products with various proprietary chemicals to inhibit fire, water damage, degradation from certain pest infestation and other effects. We operate from leased facilities in Colton and San Diego, California. The Company sells our value added chemically treated wood products in various regions of the United States, Canada and Mexico.

EcoBlu Products, Inc. has developed an affiliate coating program. This program is designed to allow lumber companies to coat commodity lumber at their facilities contingent upon their stocking inventory and supporting our EcoBlu EWP products.

We will seek additional financing through equity and/or debt financing to develop operations.

Going Concern

Our continuation as a going concern is dependent upon obtaining the additional working capital necessary to sustain our operations. As shown in the accompanying financial statements, we have incurred a net loss of \$4,188,207 for the period from inception, May 20, 2009 to June 30, 2010, and have generated minimal revenue since inception. Our future is dependent upon our ability to obtain financing and upon future profitable operations. Management plans to seek additional financing through the sale of its common stock through private placements. There is no assurance that our current operations will be profitable or we will raise sufficient funds to continue operating. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets, or the amounts of and classification of liabilities that might be necessary in the event we cannot continue in existence.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements or financing activities with special purpose entities.

(Formerly: N8 Concepts, Inc.)

Financial Statements

Contents

| | Page |
|--|---------|
| Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms | 15-16 |
| Financial Statements | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009 | 17 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended June 30, 2010; the period from inception (May 20, 2009) through June 30, 2009 and for the period from inception (May 20, 2009) through June 30, 2010 | 18 |
| Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the year ended June 30, 2010; the period from inception (May 20, 2009) through June 30, 2009 and for the period from inception (May 20, 2009) through June 30, 2010 | 19 |
| Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity from inception (May 20. 2009) through June 30, 2010 | 21 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 22 - 39 |

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders EcoBlu Products, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of EcoBlu Products, Inc. as of June 30, 2010 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' deficit and cash flows for the year ended June 30, 2010. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of EcoBlu Products, Inc. as of June 30, 2010 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year ended June 30, 2010 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in the Note 1 to the financial statements, the Company is in the development stage with a limited operating history and has incurred operating losses since inception, which raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plan in regard to these matters is also discussed in Note 1. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Cordovano and Honeck LLP Englewood, Colorado October 12, 2010

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To The Board of Directors and Stockholders of EcoBlu Products, Inc:

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of EcoBlu Products, Inc. (a development stage company) as of June 30, 2009, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' deficit, and cash flows for the period of inception (May 20, 2009) to June 30, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of EcoBlu Products, Inc. as of June 30, 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the period of inception (May 20, 2009) to June 30, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company is a development stage company presently generating no operating revenues and its viability is dependent upon its ability to obtain future financing and the success of its future operations. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans concerning these matters are described in Note 1. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Jonathon P. Reuben CPA

Jonathon P. Reuben, CPA An Accountancy Corporation Torrance, California October 1, 2009

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2009 |
|--|---|---|
| Assets | | |
| Current Assets: Cash Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$4,487 Loan receivable - related party Other receivables Inventory Prepaid rent Prepaid loan fees Deposit Total current assets | \$ 385,534 5,477 23,500 5,886 679,380 - 176,607 <u>45,155</u> 1,321,539 | \$ - - - 1,200 - 1,200 |
| Property and Equipment, net | 429,912 | - |
| Other assets: Equipment deposits - related party Security deposit Prepaid trademark costs Total other assets | 161,618 - - 4,871 166,489 | 5,000 |
| Total assets | <u>\$ 1,917,940</u> | \$ 6,200 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) Current liabilities: Accounts payable Payroll and taxes payable Due to related party Other payables and accrued expenses Convertible notes, including accrued interest and net of discount Loans payable - other Derivative and warrant liabilities | \$ 200,900 106,580 - 15,864 341,681 44,500 1,656,217 | \$ 32,000 - 11.200 - - - - |
| Total current liabilities | 2,365,742 | 43,200 |
| Long term liabilities: Convertible notes payable, including accrued interest and net of discount Total long term liabilities Total liabilities | <u>407,779</u> <u>407,779</u> <u>2,773,521</u> | 43,200 |
| Shareholder's Equity (Deficit) Common stock, \$.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized 75,594,333 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2010 65,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2009 Additional paid-in capital Common stock subscription receivable Deficit accumulated during development stage Total shareholders' equity (deficit) Total liabilities and shareholders' equity (deficit) | 75,594 3,368,972 (20,000) (4,280,147) (855,581) \$ 1,917,940 | 65,000 13,140 (115,140) (37,000) \$ 6,200 |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| | Year Ended June 30, 2010 | Period of Inception (May 20, 2009) to June 30, 2009 | Period of Inception (May 20, 2009) to June 30, 2010 |
|---|--|---|--|
| Revenue Product sales License sales Equipment and other sales Total revenue | \$ 186,161 28,600 <u>9,738</u> 224,499 | \$ | \$ 185,161 28,600 <u>9,738</u> 224,499 |
| Cost of Sales | (229,894) | | (229,894) |
| Gross Profit (Loss) | (5,395) | | (5,395) |
| Operating Expenses Research and development Marketing Compensation Rent Professional fees Consulting Other general and administrative | 46,234 58,155 270,048 419,219 528,085 1,990,304 181,859 | 10,000 | 46,234 58,155 270,048 429,219 528,085 2,003,504 181,859 2,517,104 |
| Total operating expenses Loss from operations | <u>3,493,904</u> (3,499,299) | 23,200 (23,200) | <u>3,517,104</u> (3,522,499) |
| Other income (Expenses) Gain on settlement of lease Loss on settlement of debt Change in fair value of derivative liability Interest expense Total other income (expenses) Loss before provision for income taxes Provision for income taxes Net loss | (3,499,299) $21,270$ $(99,017)$ $(51,217)$ $(536,744)$ $(665,708)$ $(4,165,007)$ $-$ $(4,165,007)$ | (23,200) | $(3,322,499)$ $(3,322,499)$ $(99,017)$ $(51,217)$ $(536,744)$ $(665,708)$ $(4,188,207)$ $-$ $\frac{$ (4,188,207)}{}$ |
| Net loss per common share - basic and diluted Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | <u>\$ (0.06)</u> 69,664,125 | <u>\$ (0.00)</u> _63,356,098 | |
| weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 07,004,123 | 03,330,078 | |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| Year Ende June 3 2019 | | Period of Inception (May 20, 2009) to June 30, 2009 | Period of Inception (May 20, 2009) to June 30, 2010 |
|---|----------------------|---|---|
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | |
| Net loss for the period | \$ (4,165,007) | \$ (23,200) | \$ (4,188,207) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash | | | |
| used in operating activities: | | | |
| Gain on settlement of lease | (21,270) | - | (21,270) |
| Loss on settlement of debt | 99,017 | - | 99,017 |
| Interest on amortization of debt discount | 430,417 | - | 430,417 |
| Interest on amortization of loan fees | 61,394 | - | 61,394 |
| Change in fair value of derivative liability | 900 | - | 1,800 |
| Common stock issued for services | 2,106,250 | - | 2,106,250 |
| Common stock issued for payment of rent | 95,000 | - | 95,000 |
| Contributed legal services | - | 3,200 | 3,200 |
| Depreciation expense | 43,713 | - | 43,713 |
| Bad debt expense | 4,487 | - | 4,487 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| (Increase) in accounts receivable | (7,464) | - | (7,464) |
| (Increase) in other receivables | (5,886) | - | (5,886) |
| (Increase) in inventory | (702,705) | - | (702,705) |
| (Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses | 1,200 | (1,200) | - |
| (Increase) in deposit | (45,155) | - | (45,155) |
| (Increase) in security deposits | (20,000) | (5,000) | (25,000) |
| Increase in accounts payable | 391,266 | - | 391,266 |
| Increase in rent payable | 138,316 | 5,000 | 143,316 |
| Increase in other payables and accrued expenses | 122,441 | - | 122,441 |
| Increase in deferred rent expense | 19,445 | - | 19,445 |
| Increase in accrued interest added to principal | 14,044 | - | 14,044 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (1,389,280) | (21,200) | (1,410,480) |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | |
| Payments for loans receivable | (23,500) | - | (23,500) |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (515,885) | - | (515,885) |
| Payments for equipment deposits - related party | (161,618) | - | (161,618) |
| Payments for leasehold improvements | (63,406) | - | (63,406) |
| Payments for prepaid trademark costs | (4,871) | - | (4,871) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (769,280) | | (768,280) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock | 664,794 | 10,000 | 674,794 |
| Proceeds from debt issuances | 2,031,500 | 10,000 | 2,031,500 |
| Advances from related party | 2,031,500 | 11,200 | 11,200 |
| Payments for redemption of common stock | (5,000) | 11,200 | (5,000) |
| Repayment of debt issuances | | - | (75,000) |
| Repayments of related party advances | (75,000) (11,200) | - | (11,200) |
| Payments of loan fees | (61,000) | - | (61,000) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 2,544,094 | 21,200 | 2,565,294 |
| | | 21,200 | |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | 385,534 | - | 385,534 |
| Cash and equivalents, beginning of period | | | - <u>-</u> |
| Cash and equivalents, end of period | <u>\$ 385,534</u> | <u> </u> | <u>\$ 385,534</u> |

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | | Period of | Period of |
|---|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Year | Inception | Inception |
| | Ended | (May 20, 2009) | (May 20, 2009) |
| | June 30, | to June 30, | to June 30, |
| | 2019 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Supplemental disclosure with respect to cash flows: | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | |
| Interest expense | \$ 30,889 | <u>\$</u> | \$ 30,889 |
| Income taxes | <u>\$ -</u> | <u>\$</u> | <u>\$</u> |
| | | | |

Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities

Year Ended June 30, 2010

On October 19, 2009, the Company ("ECOB") completed an Agreement and Plan of Merger with EcoBlu Products, Inc. a Nevada corporation ("ECOBLU"). Upon completion of the acquisition and subject to the provisions of the Colorado Corporations Code, ECOBLU was merged with and into the Company. The transaction was treated as a reverse acquisition, whereby the business of the Company ceased and the business and ECOBLU continued. the reverse acquisition is further described in Notes 1 and 10.

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company issued a convertible note in the amount of \$105,000 and 100,000 shares valued at \$36,000 to a placement advisor for fees due on a \$1,500,000 financing. In May 2010, the Company issued additional common shares to the placement agent other parties valued at \$36,000 in connection with the same financing (See Note 4 and 6).

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company transferred equipment and chemical inventories with a cost basis of \$128,991 in consideration for the cancellation of the Company lease and amounts due the landlord on its Texas facility. the Company recognized a net gain of \$21,270 on the transaction (See Note 12).

In connection with the above indicated \$1,500,00 financing and the related \$105,000 obligation due the placement advisor, the Company recognized a discount of \$1,605,000 which included the fair values of the Notes' conversion features and accompanying warrants with an offsetting amount recorded to liability (See Note 6).

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company issued a convertible note in consideration for \$127,000. The Company recognized a beneficial conversion feature of \$107,000 that was accounted for as a discount against the principal amount due with an offsetting amount recorded to equity (See Note 6).

As of June 30, 2010, the Company recognized derivative and warrant liabilities totaling \$1,605,000 relating to committed and outstanding shares in excess of the number of shares authorized. At June 30, 2010, the fair value of these derivative and warrant liabilities totaled \$1,595,213 (See Note 8).

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company issued 5,483,333 shares of its common stock for consulting and advisory services valued at \$1,974,450. A total of 120,000 of these shares were cancelled in March 2010 (See Note 10).

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company issued 370,000 shares of common stock for legal services valued at \$131,800 (See Note 10).

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company issued 723,806 shares of its common stock in exchange for the cancellation of debt due professionals and consultants. The Company valued the shares at \$306,382 and recognized a net loss on the cancellation of indebtedness of \$99,017 (See Note 10).

In March 2010, the Company issued 250,000 common shares as consideration towards rent due on its Colton facilities. The Company valued these shares at \$95,000 (See Notes 7 and 10).

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company issued 125,000 common shares in exchange for a subscription receivable totaling \$20,000.

Period from May 20, 2009 (Inception) to June 20, 2009

During the period from May 20, 2009 (Inception) to June 30, 2009, the Company recognized additional paid-in capital for legal services contributed by a related party that were valued at \$3,200.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) DEPLOD OF INCEPTION (MAY 30, 2000) TO HINE 30, 2010

| | | | | | | - | Additional | - | Common Stock | - | Accumulated During the | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----|---------------------------|----|---------------|
| | | Ch | C | . 64. | -1- | - | Paid-in | C. | | | | | |
| | Date | Share Price | Common | _ | Amount | - | Capital | _ | ubscription Receivable | 1 | Development Stage | - | Totals |
| | Dute | | Similar | | | | cupitai | _ | | - | Juge | | 10000 |
| BALANCE, May 20, 2009 (Inception) | | | - | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Recapitalization to effect reverse merger with | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ecoblu Products Inc. (Formerly N8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Concepts, Inc.) | | | 64,940,000 | | 64,940 | | - | | - | | (91,940) | | (27,000) |
| Issuance of common stock for cash | 6/30/09 | \$ 0.17 | 60,000 | | 60 | | 9,940 | | - | | - | | 10,000 |
| Contributed legal services | | | - | | - | | 3,200 | | - | | - | | 3,200 |
| Net loss | | | - | _ | - | | - | _ | - | _ | (23,200) | | (23,200) |
| BALANCE, June 30, 2009 | | | 65,000,000 | | 65,000 | | 13,140 | | - | | (115,140) | | (37,000) |
| | 10/1/00 | 0.1 C | 1 125 000 | | 1 125 | | 172.075 | | | _ | | | 175.000 |
| Issuance of common stock for cash | 10/1/09 | \$ 0.16 | 1,125,000 | + | 1,125 | $\left \cdot \right $ | 173,875 | $\left \cdot \right $ | - | | - | ++ | 175,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for cash Issuance of common stock for cash | 10/1/09 11/4/09 | \$ 0.10 \$ 0.05 | 1,137,944 20,000 | + | 1,138 20 | $\left \right $ | 112,656 4,980 | $\left \cdot \right $ | - | | - | ++ | 113,794 5,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for cash | 11/4/09 | | | | 340 | | | | - | | - | | |
| Issuance of common stock for cash | 11/4/09 | \$ 0.15 \$ 0.25 | 340,000 518,000 | + | 518 | | 49,660 128,982 | $\left \cdot \right $ | - | | - | ++ | 50,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for cash | 11/4/09 | \$ 0.23 | 20,000 | | 20 | | 6,480 | | - | | - | | 6,500 |
| Issuance of common stock for cash | 11/4/09 | \$ 0.55 | 380,000 | + | 380 | | 179,620 | + | - | - | - | ++ | 180,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for cash | 12/16/09 | \$ 0.16 | 31,250 | | 31 | | 4,969 | | | - | | | 5,000 |
| | 12/10/09 | \$ 0.10 | 3,572,194 | | 3,572 | | 661,222 | | - | | - | | 664,794 |
| Issuance of common stock for settlement of debt | 1/20/10 | \$ 0.67 | 150.000 | - | 150 | | 100 250 | | | _ | | | 100 500 |
| Issuance of common stock for settlement of debt | 2/1/10 | \$ 0.67 | 150,000 23,334 | | 23 | | 100,350 12,344 | | - | | - | | 100,500 |
| Issuance of common stock for settlement of debt | 2/1/10 | \$ 0.33 | 12,560 | | 13 | | 5,639 | | - | | - | | 5,652 |
| Issuance of common stock for settlement of debt | 3/2/10 | \$ 0.45 | 160,000 | | 15 | | 59,040 | | | | - | | 59,200 |
| Issuance of common stock for settlement of debt | 3/9/10 | \$ 0.36 | 240,000 | | 240 | | 86,160 | | - | - | - | | 86,400 |
| Issuance of common stock for settlement of debt | 3/29/10 | \$ 0.38 | 59,888 | | 60 | | 22,697 | | - | - | - | | 22,757 |
| Issuance of common stock for settlement of debt | 5/17/10 | \$ 0.25 | 78,024 | | 78 | | 19,428 | | - | | - | | 19,506 |
| | | | 723,806 | | 724 | | 305,658 | | - | | - | | 306,382 |
| Issuance of common stock for consulting and advisory services | 11/4/09 | \$ 0.25 | 360,000 | - | 360 | | 89,640 | | - | - | - | | 90,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for consulting and advisory services | 11/4/09 | \$ 0.50 | 175,000 | | 175 | | 87,325 | | - | | - | | 87,500 |
| Issuance of common stock for consulting and advisory services | 2/10/10 | \$ 0.45 | 95,000 | | 95 | | 42,655 | | - | | - | | 42,750 |
| Issuance of common stock for consulting and advisory services | 3/1/10 | \$ 0.36 | 120,000 | | 120 | | 43,080 | | - | | - | | 43,200 |
| Issuance of common stock for consulting and advisory services | 3/5/10 | \$ 0.38 | 3,300,000 | | 3,300 | | 1,250,700 | | - | | - | | 1,254,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for consulting and advisory services | 3/8/10 | \$ 0.43 | 100,000 | | 100 | | 42,900 | | - | | - | | 43,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for consulting and advisory services | 3/9/10 | \$ 0.36 | 100,000 | | 100 | | 35,900 | | - | | - | | 36,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for consulting and advisory services | 5/28/10 | \$ 0.34 | 200,000 | | 200 | | 67,800 | | - | | - | | 68,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for consulting and advisory services | 6/15/10 | \$ 0.30 | 1,033,333 | | 1,033 | | 308,967 | | - | _ | - | | 310,000 |
| | | | 5,483,333 | | 5,483 | - | 1,968,967 | | | - | - | | 1,974,450 |
| Issuance of common stock for legal services | 3/5/10 | \$ 0.38 | 250,000 | | 250 | | 94,750 | | - | | - | | 95,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for legal services | 3/9/10 | \$ 0.36 | 40,000 | | 40 | | 14,360 | | - | | - | | 14,400 |
| Issuance of common stock for legal services | 5/11/10 | \$ 0.28 | 80,000 370,000 | | 80 370 | | 22,320 131,430 | | - | - | - | | 22,400 |
| | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Issuance of common stock for prepaid loan fee | 3/26/10 | \$ 0.36 | 100,000 | \square | 100 | | 35,900 | \square | - | - | - | ++ | 36,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for prepaid loan fee | 5/3/10 | \$ 0.36 | 100,000 200,000 | | 100 200 | | 35,900 71,800 | + | - | | - | | 36,000 72,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for rent | 3/5/10 | \$ 0.38 | 250,000 | | 250 | | 94,750 | | | | | | 95,000 |
| Issuance of common stock for rent Issuance of common stock for note | 10/1/09 | \$ 0.38 | 125,000 | + | 125 | | 94,750 19,875 | + | (20,000) | - | - | ++ | 95,000 |
| Redemption and cancellation of common stock | 10/1/09 | φ U.10 | (130,000) | + | (130) | | (4,870) | + | (20,000) | - | - | ++ | (5,000) |
| Beneficial conversion feature on debt | | | - | + | - | | 107,000 | + | - | - | | ++ | 107,000 |
| Net loss | | | - | | - | | - | | - | | (4,165,007) | | (4,165,007) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS June 30, 2010 and 2009

1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

Organization

Ecoblu Products, Inc. ("The Company") was incorporated in the state of Colorado under the name N8 Concepts, Inc. on March 27, 2007.

On October 19, 2009, the Company merged with Ecoblu Products, Inc., a Nevada Corporation ("ECOBLU"). For financial reporting purposes, the acquisition was treated as a reverse acquisition whereby ECOBLU's operations continue to be reported as if it had actually been the acquirer. Assets and liabilities continue to be reported at the acquiree's historical cost because before the reverse acquisition, the Company had nominal assets, liabilities and operations.

ECOBLU was organized May 20, 2009 in Nevada as a wholesale distributor and manufacturer of proprietary wood products coated with an eco-friendly chemistry that is designed to protect against mold, rot, decay, termites and fire. The Company has also developed an affiliate coating program that allows lumber companies to coat commodity lumber at their facilities contingent upon their stocking the Company's inventory and supporting the Company's products.

The Company is in the development stage, as defined in Accounting Codification Standard ("ACS") topic 915-10, formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 7, *Accounting and Reporting by Development Stage Enterprises*. From inception through June 30, 2010, the Company has recorded revenues totaling \$224,499. The Company will continue to report as a development stage company until significant revenues are produced.

Going Concern

The Company's financial statements are prepared using the accrual method of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the settlement of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company is a development stage company presently generating minimal operating revenues and its viability is dependent upon its ability to obtain future financing and the success of its future operations. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

These financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets or liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

The Company plans to fund its operations through the raising of capital from the sale of its equity instruments or issuance of debt. No assurances can be made that current or anticipated future sources of funds will enable the Company to finance future periods' operations.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

EcoBlu Products, Inc. (A Development Stage Company) Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Ecoblu Products, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary, Ecoblu Products, Inc. of Nevada. Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are reported at the customers' outstanding balances less any allowance for doubtful accounts. Interest is not accrued on overdue accounts receivable. All of the Company's receivables are pledged as collateral for the Company's \$1,500,000 Senior Secured Convertible Notes (see Note 6).

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

An allowance for doubtful accounts on accounts receivable is charged to operations in amounts sufficient to maintain the allowance for uncollectible accounts at a level management believes is adequate to cover any probable losses. Management determines the adequacy of the allowance based on historical write-off percentages and information collected from individual customers. Accounts receivable are charged off against the allowance when collectability is determined to be permanently impaired.

Inventories

Inventories primarily consist of chemicals and lumber and are stated at lower of first-in-first out (FIFO) cost or market (net realizable value). Net realizable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business. As of June 30, 2010, there were no write-downs of inventory to net realizable value.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Property and equipment purchases with useful lives exceeding one year and major renewals and improvements are charged to the asset accounts, while replacements and maintenance and repairs that do not improve or extend the lives of the respective assets are expensed. At the time property and equipment are retired or otherwise disposed of, the asset and related accumulated depreciation accounts are relieved of the applicable amounts. Gains or losses from retirements or sales are credited or charged to income. Depreciation expense is recorded on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of assets that range from 3 to 7 years. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over their useful life or the term of the related lease, whichever is shorter. Depreciation expense is not recorded on idle property and equipment until such time as it is placed into service.

Long-Lived Assets

The Company accounts for its long-lived assets in accordance with ASC Topic 360-10-05, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." ASC Topic 360-10-05 requires that long-lived assets be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the historical cost carrying value of an asset may no longer be appropriate. The Company assesses recoverability of the carrying value of an asset by estimating the future net cash flows expected to result from the asset, including eventual disposition. If the future net cash flows are less than the carrying value of

(A Development Stage Company)

the asset, an impairment loss is recorded equal to the difference between the asset's carrying value and fair value or disposable value. At June 30, 2010, the Company determined that none of its long-term assets were impaired.

Issuances Involving Non-cash Consideration

All issuances of the Company's stock for non-cash consideration have been assigned a dollar amount equaling the market value of the shares issued on the date the shares were issued for such services. The non-cash consideration received pertains to debt placement fees, consulting and advisory services, debt cancellation, rent, and a related party equipment purchase (See Note 7).

Stock Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation under ACS Topic 505-50. This standard defines a fair value based method of accounting for stock-based compensation. In accordance with ACS Topic 505-50, the cost of stock-based compensation is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and is recognized over the vesting period. The value of the stock-based award is determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, whereby compensation cost is the excess of the fair value of the award as determined by the pricing model at the grant date or other measurement date over the amount that must be paid to acquire the stock. The resulting amount is charged to expense on the straight-line basis over the period in which the Company expects to receive the benefit, which is generally the vesting period.

Loss Per Share

The Company reports earnings (loss) per share in accordance with ASC Topic 260-10, "*Earnings per Share*." Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares available. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed similar to basic earnings (loss) per share except that the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if the potential common shares had been issued and if the additional common shares were dilutive. Diluted earnings (loss) per share has not been presented since the effect of the assumed conversion of warrants and debt to purchase common shares would have an anti-dilutive effect. Potential common shares at June 30, 2010 that have been excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share included convertible debt of \$2,092,000 convertible into 5,421,500 shares of common stock and warrants exercisable into 27,037,500 shares of common stock.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purpose of the statements of cash flows, the Company considers cash and cash equivalents to include all stable, highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affects the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and

(A Development Stage Company)

disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Convertible Debentures

If the conversion feature of conventional convertible debt provides for a rate of conversion that is below market value, this feature is characterized as a beneficial conversion feature ("BCF"). A BCF is recorded by the Company as a debt discount pursuant to ASC Topic 470-20 "*Debt with Conversion and Other Options*." In those circumstances, the convertible debt is recorded net of the discount related to the BCF and the Company amortizes the discount to interest expense over the life of the debt using the effective interest method.

Revenue Recognition and Concentration Risk

The Company recognizes revenue from product sales at the time product is shipped and title passes to the customer. Revenue earned on non-refundable licensing fees is recognized when the licensing fees are received.

The Company had product sales revenue of \$84,971 to a single customer. Sales to this customer represented approximately 39% of the Company's total revenues.

Shipping and Handling Costs

The Company classifies shipping and handling costs associated with the receipt of product as part of cost of sales as reflected in the statement of operations.

The Company classifies costs associated with shipping product to customers as part of selling expense as reflected in the statement of operations.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for its income taxes under the provisions of ASC Topic 740 "*Income Taxes*" (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 109). The method of accounting for income taxes under ASC 740 is an asset and liability method. The asset and liability method requires the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between tax bases and financial reporting bases of other assets and liabilities.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In August 2010, the FASB (Financial Accounting Standards Board) issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-22 (ASU 2010-22), Accounting for Various Topics -- Technical Corrections to SEC Paragraphs - An announcement made by the staff of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. This Accounting Standards Update amends various SEC paragraphs based on external comments received and the issuance of SAB 112, which amends or rescinds portions of certain SAB topics. The Company does not expect the provisions of ASU 2010-22 to have a material effect on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

In August 2010, the FASB (Financial Accounting Standards Board) issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-21 (ASU 2010-21), Accounting for Technical Amendments to Various SEC Rules and Schedules: Amendments to SEC Paragraphs

(A Development Stage Company)

Pursuant to Release No. 33-9026: Technical Amendments to Rules, Forms, Schedules and Codification of Financial Reporting Policies. The Company does not expect the provisions of ASU 2010-21 to have a material effect on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

In July 2010, the FASB (Financial Accounting Standards Board) issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-20 (ASU 2010-20), *Receivables (Topic 310): Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses.* The amendments in this Update are to provide financial statement users with greater transparency about an entity's allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of its financing receivables. The disclosures about activity that occurs during the reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The Company does not expect the provisions of ASU 2010-20 to have a material effect on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

In May 2010, the FASB (Financial Accounting Standards Board) issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-19 (ASU 2010-19), *Foreign Currency (Topic 830): Multiple Foreign Currency Exchange Rates.* The amendments in this Update are effective for reported balances in an entity's financial statements that differ from their underlying U.S. dollar denominated values under Subtopic 830-30-S99-1 occurring in the first interim or annual period ending on or after March 15, 2010. The amendments are to be applied retrospectively. The Company does not expect the provisions of ASU 2010-19 to have a material effect on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

In April 2010, the FASB (Financial Accounting Standards Board) issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-18 (ASU 2010-18), *Receivables (Topic 310): Effect of a Loan Modification When the Loan is Part of a Pool That Is Accounted for as a Single Asset-a consensus of the FASB Emerging Task Force.* The amendments in this Update are effective for modifications of loans accounted for within pools under Subtopic 310-30 occurring in the first interim or annual period ending on or after July 15, 2010. The amendments are to be applied prospectively. Early application is permitted. The Company does not expect the provisions of ASU 2010-18 to have a material effect on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

In April 2010, the FASB (Financial Accounting Standards Board) issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-17 (ASU 2010-17), *Revenue Recognition-Milestone Method (Topic 605): Milestone Method of Revenue Recognition.* The amendments in this Update are effective on a prospective basis for milestones achieved in fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. If a vendor elects early adoption and the period of adoption is not the beginning of the entity's fiscal year, the entity should apply the amendments retrospectively from the beginning of the year of adoption. The Company does not expect the provisions of ASU 2010-17 to have a material effect on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

In April 2010, the FASB (Financial Accounting Standards Board) issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-16 (ASU 2010-16), *Entertainment-Casinos (Topic 924): Accruals for Casino Jackpot Liabilities-a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task.* The amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2010. The amendments should be applied by recording a cumulative-effect adjustment to opening retained earnings in the period of adoption. The Company does not expect the provisions of ASU 2010-16 to have a material effect on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

In April 2010, the FASB (Financial Accounting Standards Board) issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-15 (ASU 2010-15), *Financial Services-Insurance (Topic 944): How Investments held through Separate Accounts Affect an Insurer's Consolidation Analysis of Those Investments-a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force.* The amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2010. Early

(A Development Stage Company)

adoption is permitted. The amendments in this Update should be applied retrospectively to all prior periods upon the date of adoption. The Company does not expect the provisions of ASU 2010-15 to have a material effect on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

In April 2010, the FASB (Financial Accounting Standards Board) issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-14 (ASU 2010-14), Accounting for Extractive Activities – Oil & Gas - Amendments to Paragraph 932-10-S99-1 (SEC Update). The Amendments are designed to modernize and update the oil and gas disclosure requirements to align them with current practices and changes in technology. The Company does not expect the provisions of ASU 2010-14 to have a material effect on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

In April 2010, the FASB (Financial Accounting Standards Board) issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-13 (ASU 2010-13), Compensation-Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Effect of Denominating the Exercise Price of a Share-Based Payment Award in the Currency of the Market in Which the Underlying Equity Security Trades - a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force. The amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Earlier application is permitted. The Company does not expect the provisions of ASU 2010-13 to have a material effect on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

In April 2010, the FASB (Financial Accounting Standards Board) issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-12 (ASU 2010-12), *Income Taxes (Topic 740): Accounting for Certain Tax Effects of the 2010 Health Care Reform Acts.* After consultation with the FASB, the SEC stated that it "would not object to a registrant incorporating the effects of the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 when accounting for the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act". The Company does not expect the provisions of ASU 2010-12 to have a material effect on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

In March 2010, the FASB (Financial Accounting Standards Board) issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-11 (ASU 2010-11), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Scope Exception Related to Embedded Credit Derivatives. The amendments in this Update are effective for each reporting entity at the beginning of its first fiscal quarter beginning after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted at the beginning of each entity's first fiscal quarter beginning after issuance of this Update. The Company does not expect the provisions of ASU 2010-11 to have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-09 "Subsequent Events (ASC Topic 855) Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements" ("ASU No. 2010-09"). ASU No. 2010-09 requires an entity that is an SEC filer to evaluate subsequent events through the date that the financial statements are issued and removes the requirement for an SEC filer to disclose a date, in both issued and revised financial statements, through which the filer had evaluated subsequent events. The adoption did not have an impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2010-06, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. ASU No. 2010-06 amends FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 820 and clarifies and provides additional disclosure requirements related to recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements and employers' disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets. This ASU is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009. The adoption of ASU 2010-06 did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

EcoBlu Products, Inc. (A Development Stage Company) 3. Inventories and Concentration Risk

As of June 30, 2010, inventories consist of the following:

| | \$ |
|-----------|---------------------|
| Chemicals | 184,570 |
| Lumber | 494,810 |
| | \$ |
| | <u> 679,380</u> |

All of the Company's inventories are pledged as collateral for the Company's \$1,500,000 Senior Secured Convertible Notes (see Note 6).

Lumber inventories of \$400,886 were purchased from a related party (See Note 7). The Company is reliant on a single vendor for purchases of its chemical inventory.

4. Prepaid Loan Fees

In March 2010, the Company received \$1,500,000 from Iroquois Master Fund, Ltd through the issuance of senior convertible notes and warrants (See Note 6). In connection with this financing, the Company incurred loan fees totaling \$202,000 of which \$105,000 is due to the Placement Agent and is evidenced by a convertible promissory note. The Company also issued 100,000 shares of its common stock to the Placement Agent as partial consideration for its services. The common shares were valued at their respective market value on date the loan closed of \$36,000 and are included in loan fees. The remaining fees of \$61,000 were paid from the proceeds received.

In May 2010, the Company issued additional common shares to the placement agent and other parties valued at \$36,000 in connection with the above financing.

The \$238,000 total prepaid loan fees are being amortized over the one year term of the underlying notes. Amortization charged to interest expense for the year ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$61,393.

5. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment as of June 30, 2010 consists of the following:

| | \$ |
|---|---------|
| Machinery and equipment (useful life of 7 years) | 378,500 |
| Furniture (useful life of 5 years) | 18,223 |
| Computer equipment (useful life of 3 years) | 3,685 |
| Research & development equipment (useful life of 5 years) | 1,684 |

(A Development Stage Company)

| Leasehold improvements (useful life of 3 years) | 63,405 |
|---|--------------------|
| | 456,497 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (35,585) |
| | \$ |
| | <u> 429,912</u> |

Depreciation charged to operations for the year ended June 30, 2010 amounted to \$43,838. There was no depreciation expense for the period of inception (May 20, 2009) to June 30, 2009. All of the Company's property and equipment are pledged as collateral for the Company's \$1,500,000 Senior Secured Convertible Notes (see Note 6).

6. Notes Payable

Convertible Notes - \$1,500,000 Financing

On March 26, 2010, the Company entered into a definitive agreement with accredited investors to borrow \$1,500,000 in gross proceeds before fees and expenses through the issuance of Senior Secured Convertible Notes (the "Notes") due March 26, 2011.

The Notes bear interest at an annual rate of 8% payable quarterly. At the Company's option, the interest can be paid in either cash or, subject to the satisfaction of certain customary conditions, registered shares of the Company's common stock. The effective conversion price for a payment in shares is determined from a computation based on 85% of the volume weighted average price of the Company's common stock for each of the twenty (20) consecutive Trading Days immediately preceding the applicable installment date. At June 30, 2010, the Company has not yet met the customary conditions and thus is currently precluded from paying the interest installments in shares.

The holders, however, may convert the Notes into shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$0.40 at any time which upon full conversion of the Notes would result in the issuance of 3,750,000 shares of common stock. Beginning June 26, 2010, the Notes amortize in ten monthly installments. The amortization payments can be made in, at the Company's option, either cash or, subject to the satisfaction of certain customary conditions, registered shares of common stock. The first installment payment of \$75,000 was made in June 2010.

In connection with the issuance of the Notes, the Company issued seven different series of warrants to the investors to purchase a total of 26,250,000 shares of its common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$0.40 per share to \$0.60 per share. The majority of the warrants expire five years from their respective date of issuance. The warrants allow for cashless exercise.

The Company also entered into a Security Agreement to secure payment and performance of the Company's obligations under the Notes pursuant to which the Company granted the investors a security interest in all of its assets.

The Company also executed a Registration Rights Agreement pursuant to which the Company is required to file a registration statement within 30 days of the Closing Date, and the Company is to use its reasonable best efforts to cause the registration statement to be declared effective within 90 days of the Closing Date (120 days in the event the SEC reviews the registration statement). The SEC is currently in the process of reviewing the registration statement. If the registration statement is not

(A Development Stage Company)

declared effective within the 120 days, the Company is obligated to pay the investors "Registration Delay Payments" equal 1% of 67% of each investor's original principal amount as stated in such investor's Note. the registration delay payment obligation accrues at a rate of \$10,050 in each thirty day period that the registration statement remains not effective commencing on August 23, 2010. Interest accrues on any unpaid Registration Delay Payment at a rate of 1.5% per month (See Note 13 - Subsequent Event).

Pursuant to ASC Topic 470-20, "Debt with Conversion and Other Options," the convertible notes were recorded net of discounts that include the relative fair value of the warrants and the Notes' beneficial conversion features totaling \$1,500,000. The discounts are amortized and charged to operations over the life of the debt using the effective interest method. The initial value of the warrants of \$1,221,600 was calculated using the Black-Scholes Option Model with a risk free interest rate ranging from 1.04% to 2.59%, volatility of 108.37%, and trading price of \$0.36 per share. The beneficial conversion feature of \$278,400 was calculated pursuant to ASC Topic 470-20 using a trading price of \$0.36 per share and an effective conversion price \$0.0742 per share. Amortization of the discounts charged to operations for the year ended June 30, 2010 amounted to \$385,792.

Interest charged to operations on the principal balance of the notes for the year ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$31,667. The balance of the notes at June 30, 2010, net of discounts totaling \$1,114,208, was \$312,459.

The \$1,500,000 discount was offset to liabilities pursuant to ASC Topic 815-40-25,"Contracts in Entity's Own Equity." On the date the proceeds were received, the number of the Company's authorized but unissued common shares were insufficient to meet all of the Company's commitments for share issuances under the terms of its convertible notes, options and warrant agreements, thereby requiring liability accounting.

Placement Agent Loan Fees

In addition, the Company engaged a placement agent with respect to the Notes. Accordingly, as consideration for the placement agent's services, the placement agent received compensation equal to 7% of the aggregate amount raised in the form of the Notes in the aggregate amount of \$105,000 with a voluntary conversion price of \$0.40 which can be converted into 262,500 shares of common stock and also include seven different series of warrants to purchase a total of 787,500 shares of its common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$0.40 per share to \$0.60 per share. The convertible notes were recorded net of discounts that include the relative fair value of the warrants and the Notes' beneficial conversion features totaling \$105,000. The discounts are amortized and charged to operations over the life of the debt using the effective interest method. The initial value of the warrants of \$68,535 was calculated using the Black-Scholes Option Model with a risk free interest rate ranging from 1.04% to 2.59%, volatility of 108.37%, and trading price of \$0.36 per share. The beneficial conversion feature of \$36,465 was calculated pursuant to ASC Topic 470-20 using a trading price of \$0.36 per share and an effective conversion price \$0.1389 per share. Amortization of the discount charged to operations for the three months ended June 30, 2010 amounted to \$27,005.

The majority of the warrants expire five years from their respective date of issuance. The warrants allow for cashless exercise.

In March 2010, the placement agent was also issued 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock valued at \$36,000. The placement agent is also entitled to compensation equal to 7% for any gross proceeds the Company receives from the exercise of any of the Warrants.

(A Development Stage Company)

In May 2010, the Company issued additional common shares to the placement agent and other parties valued at \$36,000 in connection with the same financing.

Interest charged to operations on the principal balance of the notes for the year ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$2,217. The balance of the notes at June 30, 2010, net of discounts totaling \$77,995, was \$29,222.

The \$105,000 discount was offset to liabilities pursuant to ASC Topic 815-40-25,"Contracts in Entity's Own Equity." On the date the proceeds were received, the number of the Company's authorized but unissued common shares were insufficient to meet all of the Company's commitments for share issuances under the terms of its convertible notes, options and warrant agreements, thereby requiring liability accounting.

Convertible Note - \$127,000 Financing

The Company received \$127,000 evidenced by a promissory note that is assessed interest at rate of 5% per annum commencing on December 22, 2009. The note matures on December 22, 2012, when the outstanding principal and accrued interest become fully due and payable. Prior to maturity, the holder has the right to convert the balance owed into 600,000 shares of the Company's common stock.

Pursuant to ASC Topic 470-20, "Debt with Conversion and Other Options," the convertible note was recorded net of a discount that includes a beneficial conversion feature ("BCF") amounting to \$107,000. The discount is amortized and charged to operations over the life of the debt using the effective interest method. The initial value of the BCF of \$107,000 was calculated as the difference between the market value of the 600,000 potential conversion shares at December 22, 2009 (600,000 shares multiplied by the stock price of \$0.39 per share, or \$234,000), less the effective cost of the conversion at such date (the note balance, or \$127,000).

For the year ended June 30, 2010, interest totaling \$3,305 was accrued and charged to operations. During the same period, discount amortization charged to operations totaled \$17,619. The net note balance at June 30, 2010 is \$40,924, which consists of the original note principal of \$127,000 plus accrued interest of \$3,305 less unamortized discounts totaling \$89,381.

Convertible Note - \$360,000 Financing

The Company received \$360,000 evidenced by a promissory note that is assessed interest at rate of 5% per annum commencing on February 11, 2010. The note matures on February 11, 2013, when the outstanding principal and accrued interest become fully due and payable. Prior to maturity, the holder has the right to convert 110% of the balance owed into cashless warrants to purchase the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.50 per share. Interest charged to operations on the principal balance of the notes for the year ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$6,855. The balance of the note at June 30, 2010 was \$366,855.

Summary of Convertible Note Balances

The total balance of the convertible notes as of June 30, 2010 is as follows:

| Principal balance | \$ 2,017,000 |
|--|-----------------|
| Accrued interest | 14,044 |
| Less discount | (1,281,584) |
| | 749,460 |
| Less current balances of convertible notes | (341,681) |
| Long-term convertible notes | \$ 407,779 |

The following is a summary of maturities on the Company's long-term convertible debt for the years ended June 30:

| 2011 | \$ | |
|--|----|----------------------------|
| 2012 | | |
| 2013 | | 497,160 |
| Total maturities of long-term convertible debt Less discount on long-term convertible debt at June 30, 2010 | (| 497,160 <u>89,381</u>) |
| Long-term convertible notes payable at June 30, 2010 | \$ | 407,779 |

Loans Payable - Other

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company received \$44,500 in advances. As of the date these financial statements were issued, no terms for repayment have been agreed to between the Company and the third parties.

7. Related Party Transactions

In 2009, the Company purchased coating machines in cash transactions totaling \$477,294 from two related entities that are controlled by the Company's President, who is also a majority shareholder. The Company also made \$339,119 in equipment deposits to these same related parties for the manufacture of four new coating machines. A total of \$177,500 of these deposits were refunded during the year ended June 30, 2010. Approximately 88% of the Company's Property and Equipment has been purchased from related parties.

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company made inventory purchases totaling \$400,886 from a company controlled by the Company's President.

In January 2010, the Company entered into a lease of a manufacturing facility in Colton, California for 9 months. These facilities were previously leased and utilized by a company controlled by the Company's President and majority shareholder.

In March 2010, the Company issued 3,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock for strategic consulting services valued at \$1,140,000 to an individual that is a 49% owner of a company controlled by the Company's President.

These related party transactions are not considered to have occurred at arm's length.

8. Derivative and Warrant Liabilities

At June 30, 2010 ("the valuation date"), the Company increased its derivative and warrant liabilities and made a correlating charge to operations in the amount of \$51,217 pursuant to ASC 815-40-19 "Contracts in Entity's Own Equity," as the number of Company's potential common shares plus the number of actual common shares outstanding ("Committed Shares") at the valuation date exceeded the number of unissued common shares the Company currently has authorized to issue. The shortage in the number of committed shares over the number of authorized but unissued shares at the valuation date totaled 8,053,333. The total liabilities of \$1,656,217 at the valuation date are the maximum amount the Company believes it would be liable for if it were required to meet all its committed share obligations. The liabilities consist of two components.

The first component is valuing the shortage of common shares of 7,725,000 using the fair value of Series A and E warrants at the valuation date of \$0.2065 per share. The fair value of the Series A and E Warrants of \$1,595,213 was calculated using the Black-Scholes Option Model with a risk free interest rate of 1.79%, volatility of 124.93%, and trading price of \$0.26 per share. This component is classified as a current liability, due to the underlying warrants being immediately exercisable.

The second component is valuing the remaining shortage in common shares of 328,333 based upon the partial conversion of the debt due Iroquois into 328,333 common shares. The 328,333 shares are valued at the valuation date at \$61,004 based upon the difference between the trading price of the Company's common stock of \$0.26 per share and the effective conversion price of \$0.0742. This component is classified as a current liability, commensurate with the term of the debt.

The liability will cease to exist once the Company increases the number of its authorized shares to meet all of its committed share obligations. On September 9, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors approved a resolution to increase the number of authorized shares from 100,000,000 to 500,000,000.

9. Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities

Determination of Fair Value

The Company's financial instruments consist of convertible notes payable, loans payable and a derivative liability. The Company believes all of the financial instruments' recorded values approximate their fair values because of their nature and respective durations.

The Company complies with the provisions of ASC No. 820-10 (ASC 820-10), "*Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*." ASC 820-10 relates to financial assets and financial liabilities. ASC 820-10 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The provisions of this standard apply to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements and are to be applied prospectively with limited exceptions.

(A Development Stage Company)

ASC 820-10 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. ASC 820-10 establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between (1) market participant assumptions developed based on market data obtained from independent sources (observable inputs) and (2) an entity's own assumptions, about market participant assumptions, that are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances (unobservable inputs). The fair value hierarchy consists of three broad levels, which gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under ASC 820-10 are described below:

Level 1. Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level 2. Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, including quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (e.g., interest rates); and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3. Inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable. These inputs rely on management's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. The unobservable inputs are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances and may include the Company's own data.

Application of Valuation Hierarchy

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The following is a description of the valuation methodology used to measure fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Convertible Notes Payable. The Company assessed that the fair value of this liability to approximate its carrying value based on the effective yields of similar obligations.

Loans Payable - Other. The Company assessed that the fair value of this liability approximates its carrying value due to its short-term nature.

Derivative and Warrant Liabilities. The Company assessed that the fair value of these liabilities approximate their carrying value since the carrying value is determined from the trading price of the Company's common shares.

The methodology described above may produce a current fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. If readily determined market values became available or if actual performance were to vary appreciably from assumptions used, assumptions may need to be adjusted, which could result in material differences from the recorded carrying amounts. The Company believes its method of determining fair value is appropriate and consistent with other market participants. However, the use of different methodologies or different assumptions to value certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value.

(A Development Stage Company)

The following table presents the fair value of financial instruments that are measured and recognized on a non-recurring basis classified under the appropriate level of the valuation hierarchy described above, as of June 30, 2010:

| otal |
|---------|
| |
| 749,460 |
| |
| 44,500 |
| |
| 656,217 |
| |

A reconciliation of derivative and warrant liabilities is as follows:

| | \$ |
|---|------------|
| Balance – June 30, 2009 | - |
| Discount recognized on Iroquois financing | 1,605,000 |
| Add: change in fair value of derivative | |
| liabilities charged to operations | 51,217 |
| | \$ |
| Balance - June 30, 2010 | _1,656,217 |

10. Stockholders' Deficit

Reverse Acquisition

On October 19, 2009, The Company, formerly known as N8 Concepts, Inc., ("ECOB") completed an Agreement and Plan of Merger with EcoBlu Products, Inc., a Nevada corporation ("ECOBLU"). Additional parties to the agreement were James H. Watson, Jr., an Individual, Ken Relyea, an Individual, Steve Conboy, an Individual and Mark Vuozzo, an Individual. Mr. Watson and Mr. Relyea were beneficial shareholders of ECOB and Mr. Watson is a director of ECOB. Mr. Conboy is a director and President of ECOB; Mr. Conboy and Mr. Vuozzo were beneficial shareholders of ECOBLU; Mr. Conboy and Mr. Vuozzo were officers of SC Bluwood, Inc.

Upon completion of the acquisition and subject to the provisions of the Colorado Corporations Code; EcoBlu Products, Inc. (Nevada) was merged with and into ECOB. The transaction was treated as a reverse acquisition, whereby the business of the Company ceased and the business and ECOBLU continued. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company's assets as were sold to Mr. Watson for the sum of one dollar (\$1.00). These assets included the name "N8 Concepts, Inc." Pursuant to the reverse acquisition, 100% of the issued and outstanding equity of ECOBLU (consisting entirely of 50,000 common shares held by Mr. Conboy and 10,000 common shares held by Mr. Vuozzo) was exchanged on a 1 for 1 basis, resulting in the issuance of 60,000 common shares of the Company's common stock.

(A Development Stage Company)

In connection with the Agreement and Plan of Merger described above, Mr. Watson sold his 40,000,000 common shares of the Company he held to Mr. Conboy and Mr. Vuozzo for \$125,000. In addition, Mr. Relyea sold his 10,000,000 common shares of the Company to Mr. Conboy and Mr. Vuozzo for \$31,000.

Other Common Stock Issuances

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company issued a total of 10,594,333 shares of its common stock of which 3,572,194 shares were issued for \$664,794 in cash, 5,483,333 shares were issued for consulting and advisory services valued at \$1,974,450, 370,000 shares were issued for legal services valued at \$131,800, 200,000 shares were issued as consideration to a placement advisor and other parties in connection with the Iroquois \$1,500,000 financing valued at \$72,000, 250,000 shares were issued to the Company's landlord on its Colton facility valued at \$95,000, 125,000 shares were issued for a subscription receivable of \$20,000, and 723,806 were issued to various consultants and advisors in connection with the cancellation of \$207,275 of debt due them. The 723,806 common shares were valued at \$306,382 resulting in a net loss from the cancellation of indebtedness of \$99,107 that was charged to operations.

In addition, during the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company repurchased 10,000 shares of its common stock from a shareholder for \$5,000. The 10,000 shares were returned to treasury and subsequently cancelled. During the same period, an additional 120,000 shares that were previously issued for services were also returned to the treasury and cancelled.

Warrants

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company granted warrants to the Iroquois' note holders and placement advisor to purchase a total of 27,037,500 common shares at exercise prices ranging from \$0.40 to \$0.60 per share. The majority of the warrants expire five years from the date of issuance.

The following is a schedule of warrants outstanding as of June 30, 2010:

| | Warrants Outstanding | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Life | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Balance, May 20, 2009 | - | - | - | - |
| Warrants granted | - | - | - | - |
| Warrants expired | | | | |
| Balance, June 30, 2009 | - | \$ - | - | \$ - |
| Warrants granted | 27,037,500 | \$ 0.49 | 4.49 Years | \$ - |
| Warrants expired | | \$ | | \$ - |
| Balance, June 30, 2010 | 27,037,500 | \$ 0.49 | 4.24 Years | \$ - |

All the warrants are available for exercise at June 30, 2010.

11. Income Taxes

(A Development Stage Company)

As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, for income tax purposes the Company has unused operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$1,424,000 and \$20,000 respectively, which may provide future federal tax benefits of approximately \$484,000 and \$7,000 which expire in various years through 2029.

Allowances of \$484,000 and \$7,000 have been provided at June 30, 2010 and 2009 respectively to reduce the tax benefits accrued by the Company for these operating losses to zero as it cannot be determined when, or if, the tax benefits derived from these losses will materialize.

Utilization of the net operating loss carryforward is subject to significant limitations imposed by the change in control under Internal Revenue Code Section 382, limiting its annual utilization to the value of the Company at the date of change in control multiplied by the federal discount rate.

12. Commitments and Contingencies

Real Estate Lease – Texas Distribution Center

In May 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to lease a distribution center for three years. The details on the lease are as follows:

- 1. Base rentals \$5,000 for May 2009, \$0 for June 2009, and \$15,000 per month thereafter.
- 2. Termination date April 30, 2012.
- 3. Renewal Option one option for an additional three year period with rent at \$16,500 per month.
- 4. Security Deposit \$15,000.

Rent expense related to this lease was \$157,761 for the period of inception (May 20, 2009) to June 30, 2010. The Company did not pay the majority of its rent and was in default on this obligation. In March 2010, the Company settled this lease obligation through the transfer of equipment and chemical inventories with cost bases totaling \$128,991 in consideration for the cancellation of the Company's lease and amounts due the landlord. The Company recognized a net gain of \$21,270 on the transaction.

Real Estate Lease - Vista, California

In June 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to lease warehouse and office facilities for three years. The details on the lease are as follows:

- 1. Base rentals \$5,500 per month beginning October 1, 2009.
- 2. Base rentals increase to \$6,000 monthly beginning October 1, 2010 and \$6,500 monthly beginning October 1, 2011.
- 3. Company is responsible to pay its proportionate share of property taxes, insurance and common area maintenance estimated at \$875 per month
- 4. Termination date September 30, 2012.
- 5. Renewal Option one option for an additional three year period.
- 6. Security Deposit \$5,500.
- 7. Rent for month six (March 2010) shall be discounted to by 50%
- 8. Rent for month twelve (March 2011) shall be discounted by 50%

EcoBlu Products, Inc. (A Development Stage Company)

Rent expense related to this lease was \$44,350 for the period of inception (May 20, 2009) to June 30, 2010. The Company had no balance due on this lease at June 30, 2010.

Real Estate Lease - Colton, California

In January 2010, the Company entered into a lease of a manufacturing facility in Colton, California for 9 months. These facilities were previously leased and utilized by a company controlled by the Company's President and majority shareholder (see Note 7).

Total minimum future lease payments under the Company's two remaining real estate leases as of June 30, 2010 are as follows:

| June 30, | |
|------------------------------|---------|
| | \$ |
| 2011 | 122,733 |
| 2012 | 87,000 |
| 2013 | 22,125 |
| | \$ |
| Total minimum lease payments | 231,858 |

Rent expense related to this lease was \$227,108 for the period of inception (May 20, 2009) to June 30, 2010.

Purchase, Distribution & Services Agreement

On August 24, 2009, the Company entered into a Purchase, Distribution & Services Agreement, (the "Agreement"), with the owner of technical data and intellectual property for a protective coating in order to obtain an exclusive supply of the product, use of the technical data, intellectual property and other information relating to the product and use of the trademarks, together with certain distribution, marketing and sales rights. Pursuant to the Agreement, the Company has guaranteed it will purchase a minimum of fifty (50) 275 gallon totes of product in the first twelve month period. The Company is required to increase the minimum quantities by 25% in the second year, to 62.5 totes. The initial term of the agreement is two years and will renew for additional one year terms without further action unless otherwise terminated. The Company's total commitment amount on this agreement at June 30, 2010 was \$2,552,344.

EcoBlu Products, Inc. (A Development Stage Company)

Product, Purchase, Sales, Distribution & Service Agreement

On July 26, 2009, we entered into an AF21 Product, Purchase, Sales, Distribution & Service Agreement, (the "Agreement"), with Megola, Inc., the owner of technical data and intellectual property for a protective coating in order to obtain an exclusive supply of the product, together with certain distribution, marketing and sales rights. The product is a non-toxic non-corrosive fire inhibitor. Pursuant to the Agreement, we guaranteed we will purchase a minimum of four hundred fifty five (455) two hundred and forty five (245) gallon totes of product in the first twelve month period. We are required to increase the minimum quantities in the second year, to 842 totes and in the third year to 1263 totes. The Company's total commitment amount on this agreement at June 30, 2010 was \$10,599,681.

Legal Proceedings

Subsequent to June 30, 2010, the Company filed a legal action against the company with which we have signed a Purchase, Distribution and Services Agreement, for lack of performance in the delivery of chemical product and protection of sales territory. An unfavorable outcome on this case could materially impact the sales territory and availability of the related chemical product.

The Company is involved in a collection proceeding in Colorado for Express Employment vs. N8 Concepts, Inc. (the predecessor to EcoBlu Products, Inc.). This is related to alleged temporary labor provided to N8/EcoBlu that was not paid for. A judgment is estimated at approximately \$40,000. It is at least reasonably possible that this estimate may materially change in the near term.

The Company accrues the legal costs associated with loss contingencies as the associated legal services are rendered.

13. Subsequent Events

From July 1, 2010 to October 12, 2010, the Company issued 5,075,168 shares of common stock.

On September 9, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors approved a resolution to increase the number of authorized shares from 100,000,000 to 500,000,000.

As discussed in Note 6, the Company failed to have its registration statement become effective by the "Effective Deadline" of August 22, 2010. Pursuant to the terms of the Registration Rights Agreement, the Company is obligated to the investors to pay "Delayed Registration Payments" of \$10,050 for each thirty day period after the Effective Deadline that the registration statement is not effective. In addition, any unpaid Delayed Registration Payment accrued interest at a rate of 1.5% per month.

Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting/Financial Disclosure

There have been no disagreements between the Company and its independent accountants on any matter of accounting principles or practices or financial statement disclosure.

Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, or "disclosure controls," pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Disclosure controls are controls and procedures designed to reasonably ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act, such as this quarterly report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer has concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures are not effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, and summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

In our prior report on Form 10Q for the period ended December 31, 2009 we determined that because of the limited personnel and lack of segregation of duties, management determined that a material weakness existed in the processes, procedures and controls related to the preparation of our quarterly financial statements. This material weakness could result in the reporting of financial information and disclosures in future consolidated annual and interim financial statements that are not in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Changes in Internal Controls

The weaknesses noted above, including those related to limited personnel, were addressed during the quarter ended December 31, 2009, the period ended March 31, 2010 and the current period ended June 30, 2010. The Company has taken the following steps to remedy these weaknesses:

- 1. Hired an experienced Controller in December 2009.
- 2. Controller implemented procedures in January 2010 to improve segregation of duties in the cash receipts, disbursements, reconciliation and reporting process.
- 3. Controller also implemented procedures in January 2010 to improve the transaction processing, reconciliation and reporting process.
- 4. Effective April 29, 2010, engaged a Chief Financial Officer (CFO) with substantial experience in the setup and management of accounting and financial systems.

Other than described above, there has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit us to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Other Information

None

Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance Our Current Management

The following table sets forth each of our directors name, age, position and office. Each of their current terms as our directors expires at our next annual shareholder meeting.

| Name | Age | Position |
|--------------|-----|---|
| Steve Conboy | 55 | President, Chief Executive Officer, Principal Executive Officer and sole Director |
| Mark Vuozzo | 45 | Corporate Secretary and General Manager |
| Rod McKinley | 61 | Chief Financial Officer |

All executive officers are elected by the Board of Directors and hold office until the next annual meeting of shareholders, or until their successors are duly elected and qualified.

The following is information on the business experience of each director and officer.

Steven Conboy, President and Chief Executive Officer, Director is the founder of Framers Choice, Inc. and SC Bluwood, Inc. Steve started his career in Southern California in 1979. Following 17 years of framing he went to work for Trus Joist, Weyerhaeuser. During his tenure with Trus Joist Mr. Conboy was transferred to Las Vegas to convert the market from Open-Web joists to I- Joists.

In 2001 Mr. Conboy started Framers Choice Inc. ("FCI") in Las Vegas, Nevada. FCI teamed with Nascor products to market I-Joist in the Western United States. Mr. Conboy's framing background and engineered wood experience were applied to create the "Wide and Deep"TM floor system. He defined and branded the framing package as the Frame Right SystemTM in the spring of 2006.

In the fall of 2006 Mr. Conboy created Southern California Bluwood, Inc. with the purchase of BluWood licensing for Southern California and Arizona. By the end of 2007, Mr. Conboy secured all of the BluWood licensing for the Western United States and renamed the company to SC Bluwood, Inc. FCI and SC Bluwood have developed a family of Blu building products and a builder program utilizing these products. Mr. Conboy served as founder and president of SC Bluwood until the company entered into a Definitive Merger Agreement with Ecoblu Products, Inc. on July 28, 2009, at which point, Mr. Conboy became the president of the newly formed, Ecoblu Products, Inc.

Mark Vuozzo, Secretary, General Manager was the founder and CEO of MV Technical Sales, LLC a privately held company that serviced the Semiconductor Automated Test industry. Mr. Vuozzo grew the company to span across USA, Asia and Europe with sales in excess of 25 million. In 2006, Mr. Vuozzo sold his interest in MV Technical Sales, LLC. In 2007, Mr. Vuozzo joined Steven Conboy to manage SC Bluwood, Inc., and Framers Choice, Inc. Mr. Vuozzo acted in the capacity of General Manager and Director of both organizations. In 2009, Mr. Vuozzo joined Ecoblu Products, Inc., as a General Manager and Corporate Secretary to assist in the execution of our corporate charter. Mr. Vuozzo continues to act in the capacity of General Manager and Corporate Secretary.

Rod H. McKinley, Chief Financial Officer, is currently a partner at Kierland CFO Partners, LLC located in Scottsdale, AZ since August 2009. Kierland CFO Partners, LLC provides personalized CFO Services for a wide range of businesses. From Jaunary 2007 to September 2009, Mr. McKinley was the CFO for Nautical Enterprises, Inc., a yacht broker/dealer company in San Diego, California . At Nautical Enterprises, Inc., Mr. McKinley managed all accounting and finance functions, retooled all accounting and reporting, human resources, technology and budgeting. He was also responsible for banking, insurance and legal relationships, reorganizing service departments into standalone profit centers, and beginning the Sarbanes Oxley compliance process in anticipation of a future equity event.

From 2006 to 2007, Mr. McKinley served as the CFO/Controller for Perry Automotive Group, based in San Diego, CA. His role there included directing the group's accounting and finance functions including daily operating controls, bank relationships, human resources, tax, 401K, insurance, external and regulatory financial reporting, human resources, technology and staff training.

In 2005 to 2006, Mr. McKinley was the Controller at AutoNation, Inc., a franchised business for new cars and body shops. His responsibilities included directing the group's accounting and compliance function for Pontiac, Buick and GMC store; Hyundai and Isuzu; Subaru; Chevrolet and a body shop. Mr. McKinley managed two offices with a total of sixteen staff. Approximately 50% of time was spent on SOX compliance issues.

From 2003 to 2005, Mr. McKinley was a Vice President in the Finance and Administration department of International Cruise and Excursions located in Phoenix, AZ. His responsibilities included directing this private company's accounting and financial operations including financial reporting, budgeting and forecasting, audits, regulatory reporting, cash flow and treasury functions. He was also responsible for banking relationships and all financial processes for international operations in Europe, Mexico and Australia.

Ecoblu Products, Inc. has not, nor proposes to do so in the future, make loans to any of its officers, directors, key personnel, 10% stockholders, relatives thereof, or controllable entities.

We have no pension plans or plans or agreements which provide compensation on the event of termination of employment or change in control of us and have therefore eliminated a column specified by Item 402 (c)(2) titled "Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (h)" in the above Summary Compensation Table No family relationships exist among any directors, executive officers, or persons nominated or chosen to become directors or executive officers.

Employment Agreements

We have not entered into any employments agreements

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's directors and officers, and persons who own more than ten-percent (10%) of the company's common stock, to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission reports of ownership on Form 3 and reports of change in ownership on Forms 4 and 5. Such officers, directors and ten-percent stockholders are also required to furnish the company with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file. Based solely on its review of the copies of such forms received by the company and on written representations from certain reporting persons, the company believes that none of the Section 16(a) reports applicable to its officers, directors and ten-percent stockholders with respect to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 were filed timely.

Code of Ethics

The Company has always encouraged its employees, including officers and directors to conduct business in an honest and ethical manner. Additionally, it has always been the Company's policy to comply with all applicable laws and provide accurate and timely disclosure. Despite the foregoing, we currently do not have a formal written code of ethics for either our directors or employees. We do not have a formal written code of ethics because we currently only have only a few employees and executives. Our officers and directors are held to the highest degree of ethical standards. Once we expand the executive and management further, we will adopt a written code of ethics for all of our directors, executive officers and employees.

Board of Director Meetings and Committees

The Board of Directors held no meetings during the year ended June 30, 2010.

EcoBlu Products does not have a designated Audit, Nominating or Compensation Committee and relies on the board of directors to perform those functions.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

We provide named executive officers and our other employees with a salary to compensate them for services rendered during the fiscal year. Salary amounts for the named executive officers are determined for each executive based on his or her position and responsibility, and on past individual performance. Salary levels are typically considered annually as part of our performance review process. Merit based increases to salaries of the named executive officers are based on our board of directors' assessment of the individual's performance.

The following table shows for the year ended June 30, 2010 and fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, the compensation awarded (earned) or paid by the Company to its named executive officers as that term is defined in Item 402(a)(2) of Regulation S-K. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, Mr. James H. Watson was our President and our only named executive officer. On April 23, 2009, Mr. Watson resigned as President and Mr. Steve Conboy assumed the role of President. In late 2009, Mr. Vuozzo joined Ecoblu Products, Inc., as a General Manager and Corporate Secretary to assist in the execution of our corporate charter. On April 30, 2010, Mr. Rod H. McKinley was appointed to the role of Chief Financial Officer.

| Name and Principal Position | Fiscal Year | Sa | lary (\$) (2) |] | Bonus | Opti Awa | | | Other pensatio n | Та | otal (\$) |
|---|----------------|----------|------------------|----------|--------|-------------|--------|----------|------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Steve Conboy, President, CEO, Director (1) Principal Executive Officer | 2010 2009 | \$ \$ | 0 67,500 | \$ \$ | 0 0 | \$ \$ | | \$ \$ | 0 0 | \$ \$ | 0 0 |
| Rodney H. McKinley, Chief Financial Officer Principal Financial Officer | 2010 2009 | \$ \$ | 0 0 | \$ \$ | 0 0 | \$ \$ | 0 0 | \$ \$ | 0 0 | \$ \$ | 0 0 |
| Mark Vuozzo Secretary, General Manager | 2010 2009 | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| James H. Watson, Former President (2) | 2010 2009 | \$ \$ | 0 0 | \$ \$ | 0 0 | \$ \$ | 0 0 | \$ \$ | 0 0 | \$ \$ | 0 0 |

1 Salary is total base salary earned, either unpaid and accrued or paid.

² Mr. Watson resigned from his position as President and Mr. Conboy was appointed President as of April 23, 2009.

Employment Agreements

We have no employment agreements currently in place.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED

| | Option Awards | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Name | Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) | Value Realized on Exercise (\$) | | | |
| Steven Conboy, | | | | | |
| President, Chief Executive Officer, | | | | | |
| Principal Executive Officer, Director (1) | 0 | \$ | 0 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Rodney H. McKinley | | | | | |
| Chief Financial Officer | 0 | \$ | 0 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Mark Vuozzo | | | | | |
| Secretary, General Manager | 0 | \$ | 0 | | |
| | | | | | |
| James H. Watson | | | | | |
| President (3) | 0 | \$ | 0 | | |
| | Ŭ | Ŧ | | | |

Option Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year End Option Values

None.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

None.

Director Compensation

We do not have a formal plan for director compensation. Our sole director did not receive any type of compensation for serving as a director for the year ended June 30, 2008, June 30, 2009, or June 30, 2010.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Beneficial Ownership Table

The following table sets forth, as of August 12, 2010; the beneficial ownership of our common stock by each person known to beneficially own more than five percent (5%) of our common stock, including options, outstanding as of such date and by our officers and directors as a group. Except as otherwise indicated, all shares are owned directly:

Common Stock

| Name and Address of Beneficial Owner | Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (1) | Acquirabl e | Percentag e of Class (1), (2) (3) |
|---|---|----------------|--|
| Steve Conboy, President and CEO | 45,000,000 | 0 | 58.01% |
| Mark Vuozzo, Secretary, General Manager | 5,000,000 | 0 | 6.44% |
| Rod McKinley, CFO | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Iroquois Master Fund Ltd. | 3,859,215 | 0 | 4.97% |
| Hudson Bay Fund, LP | 5,453,000 | 0 | 7.03% |
| Hudson Bay Overseas Fund, Ltd | 7,847,000 | 0 | 10.11% |
| Alpha Capital Anstalt | 6,650,000 | 0 | 7.73% |
| Officers and Directors as a Group (3 persons) | 50,000,000 | 0 | 64.46% |
| Total | 73,809,215 | 0 | 95.15% |

(1) Gives effect to the shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise of all options exercisable within 60 days of August 12, 2010 and other rights beneficially owned by the indicated stockholders on that date. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and includes voting and investment power with respect to shares.

(2) The denominator is based on total shares outstanding as of July 20, 2010 equal to 77,564,333 held by approximately 110 shareholders of record and an undetermined number of holders in street name.

(3) Includes shares of Common Stock underlying the Series D warrants and/or notes held by the Selling Stockholder that are covered by this prospectus, including any convertible securities that, due to contractual restrictions, may not be exercisable if such exercise would result in beneficial ownership greater than 4.9%.

All ownership is beneficial and of record except as specifically indicated otherwise. Beneficial owners listed above have sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares shown unless otherwise indicated.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The EcoBlu Products, Inc. 2010 Employee and Consultant Stock Plan was adopted by majority vote of the Board of Directors on January 8, 2010. The plan authorizes 3,000,000 shares to be issued under the plan. As of August 31, 2010 a total of 729,731 shares remain available for issuance under the plan. We have no other equity compensation plan at this time.

EcoBlu Products, Inc. No options, warrants or other convertible securities have been issued to any officer, directors, or others at this time.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, the Company made inventory purchases totaling \$400,886 from SC Bluwood, which is controlled by our President, Steven Conboy.

In January 2010, the Company entered into a lease of a manufacturing facility in Colton, California for 9 months. These facilities were previously leased and utilized by a company controlled by the Company's President and majority shareholder.

In March 2010, the Company issued 3,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock for strategic consulting services valued at \$1,140,000 to an individual that is a 49% owner of a company controlled by the Company's President.

As of December 31 2009, we purchased coating machines totaling \$477,294 from one related entity, SC Bluwood, Inc. which is controlled by our President, Steven Conboy, who is also a majority shareholder. We also made \$339,119 in equipment deposits to these same related parties for the manufacture of four new coating machines. A total of \$177,500 of these deposits were refunded during the year ended June 30, 2010. Approximately 88% of the Company's Property and Equipment has been purchased from related parties.

In 2007, Our Secretary Mr. Vuozzo joined our President Steven Conboy to manage SC Bluwood, Inc and Framers Choice, Inc. Mr. Vuozzo acted in the capacity of General Manager and Director of both organizations. SC Bluwood is a company which developed wood frame building components with a proprietary factory applied, two-part coating technology.

On March 20, 2009, we entered into a Letter of Intent ("LOI") with SC Bluwood, Inc a California company, whereby we would acquire SC Bluwood. This LOI was subsequently terminated by mutual agreement of the parties.

In addition, we have acquired license rights for the treatment of wood through license agreements with BluwoodUSA, Inc.. We depend upon such agreements to protect our proprietary rights.

In accordance with Item 404 of Regulation S-K, we have described any transaction or any proposed transaction, since the beginning of its last fiscal year, in which we were or are to be a participant and the amount involved exceeds \$120,000.00, and in which any related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Our related party transactions are not considered to have occurred at arm's length. In addition, we do not currently maintain policies and procedures for the review, approval or ratification of transactions with "related persons" as described in Item 404 of Regulation S-K. However, we intend to create such policies and procedures in the future.

Mr. Conboy has direct beneficial ownership of 45,000,000 shares of voting Common Stock, which represents 58.01% of the issued and outstanding voting Common Stock as of July 22, 2010.

Director Independence

Our Common Stock trades on the OTC Bulletin Board. As such, we are not currently subject to corporate governance standards of listed companies, which require, among other things, that the majority of the board of directors be independent. We are not currently subject to corporate governance standards defining the independence of our directors, and we have chosen to define an "independent" director in accordance with the NASDAQ Global Market's requirements for independent directors. We do not list the "independent" director definition we use on our Internet website.

Currently, Mr. Conboy serves as the sole member of our Board of Directors, and he is the only member of management who also serves on the Board of Directors. Under the NASDAQ rules, we have determined that Mr. Conboy currently does not qualify as an independent director.

Our Board of Directors will review at least annually the independence of each director. During these reviews, our Board of Directors will consider transactions and relationships between each director (and his or her immediate family and affiliates) and us and our management to determine whether any such transactions or relationships are inconsistent with a determination that the director was independent. The Board of Directors will conduct its annual review of director independence and to determine if any transactions or relationships exist that would disqualify any of the individuals who then served as a director under the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market, or require disclosure under SEC rules.

Principal Accountant Fees and Services

(1) Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed for each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for the audit of the registrant's annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in the registrant's Form 10-K or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2010 was: \$13,643 and June 30, 2009 was: \$3,200.

(2) Audit-Related Fees

No aggregate fees were billed in each of the last two fiscal years for assurance and related services by the principal accountant that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the registrant's financial statements and are not reported under item (1) for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2010 and 2009.

(3) Tax Fees

No aggregate fees were billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2010 and 2009.

(4) All Other Fees

No aggregate fees were billed for professional services provided by the principal accountant, other than the services reported in items (1) through (3) for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2010 and 2009.

(5) Audit Committee

The registrant's Audit Committee, or officers performing such functions of the Audit Committee, have approved the principal accountant's performance of services for the audit of the registrant's annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in the registrant's Form 10-K or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010. Audit-related fees, tax fees, and all other fees, if any, were approved by the Audit Committee or officers performing such functions of the Audit Committee.

(6) Work Performance by others

The percentage of hours expended on the principal accountant's engagement to audit the registrant's financial statements for the most recent fiscal year that were attributed to work performed by persons other than the principal accountant's full-time, permanent employees was less than 50 percent.

Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

Exhibits

Ecoblu Products, Inc. includes by reference the following exhibits:

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation, filed as exhibit 3.1.1 with the registrant's Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as amended; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 23, 2007.
- 3.2 Bylaws, filed as exhibit 3.2 with the registrant's Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as amended; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 23, 2007.
- 3.3 Amended Articles of Incorporation ; filed as exhibit 3.1 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 22, 2009
- 4.1 Securities Purchase Agreement dated March 26, 2010; filed as exhibit 10.1 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 29, 2010
- 4.2 Form of Secured Convertible Note; filed as exhibit 10.2 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 29, 2010
- 4.3 Form of Security Agreement; filed as exhibit 10.3 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 29, 2010
- 4.4 Form of Registration Rights Agreement; filed as exhibit 10.4 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 29, 2010
- 4.5 Form of Series A Warrant ; filed as exhibit 10.5 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 29, 2010
- 4.6 Form of Series B Warrant ; filed as exhibit 10.6 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 29, 2010
- 4.7 Form of Series C Warrant ; filed as exhibit 10.7 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 29, 2010
- 4.8 Form of Series D Warrant ; filed as exhibit 10.8 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 29, 2010
- 4.9 Form of Series E Warrant ; filed as exhibit 10.9 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 29, 2010
- 4.10 Form of Series F Warrant ; filed as exhibit 10.10 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 29, 2010
- 4.11 Form of Series G Warrant ; filed as exhibit 10.11 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 29, 2010
- 4.12 Convertible Promissory Note, dated December 22, 2009; filed as exhibit 10.5 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 10-Q; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 22, 2010
- 4.13 Convertible Promissory Note, dated February 11, 2010; filed as exhibit 10.6 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 10-Q; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 22, 2010
- 10.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger between Ecoblu Products, Inc. (Colorado) and Ecoblu Products Inc. (Nevada), dated October 7, 2009. Additional Parties to the agreement are James H. Watson, Jr., Ken Relyea, Steve Conboy and Mark Vuozzo, as Individuals, filed as exhibit 10.1 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 22, 2009.

- 10.2 AF21 Product, Purchase, Sales, Distribution & Service Agreement, (the "Agreement"), between Ecoblu Products, Inc. and Megola, Inc., dated November 11, 2009 filed as exhibit 10.2 with the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 23, 2009.
- 10.3 Purchase, Distribution & Service Agreement, (the "Agreement"), between Ecoblu Products, Inc. Bluwood USA, Inc., dated August 24, 2009, filed as exhibit 10.3 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 22, 2009.
- 10.4 Application System Purchase Agreement between Ecoblu Products, Inc. and SC Bluwood Inc., dated September 28, 2009, filed as exhibit 10.4 with the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K; filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 22, 2009.
- 23.1 Consent of Cordovano and Honeck LLP.
- 23.2 Consent of Jonathan P. Reuben, CPA.

Ecoblu Products, Inc. includes herewith the following exhibits:

- 31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer (Rule 13a-14(a)/15(d)-14(a))
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer (Rule 13a-14(a)/15(d)-14(a))
- 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer (18 U.S.C. 1350)
- 32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer (18 U.S.C. 1350)

EcoBlu Products includes herein the following financial statements:

| | Page |
|--|---------|
| Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms | 15-16 |
| Financial Statements | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009 | 17 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended June 30, 2010; the period from inception (May 20, 2009) through June 30, 2009 and for the period from inception (May 20, 2009) through June 30, 2010 | 18 |
| Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the year ended June 30, 2010; the period from inception (May 20, 2009) through June 30, 2009 and for the period from inception (May 20, 2009) through June 30, 2010 | 19 |
| Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity from inception (May 20. 2009) through June 30, 2010 | 21 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 22 - 39 |

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

| | EcoBlu Products, Inc. |
|------------------------|---|
| | Registrant |
| Date: October 13, 2010 | By: <u>\s\ Steve Conboy</u> Steve Conboy, President Principal Executive Officer |
| Date: October 13, 2010 | By: <u>\s\</u> Rod H. McKinley Rod H. McKinley, CFO Principal Financial Officer |

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: October 13, 2010

By: <u>\s\</u> Steve Conboy, President Steve Conboy, President and Director Principal Executive Officer